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BERLIOZ' TREATISE
UPON MODERN
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INTRODUCTION.

At no period in the History of Music has there been greater mention made of Instrumentation, than at the present time. The reason of this is doubtless to be found in the completely modern development which has taken place in this branch of the Art; and perhaps, also, in the multitude of criticisms, opinions, different doctrines, judgments, rational and irrational arguments spoken or written, for which the slightest productions of the most inferior composers form a pretext.

There appears at present to be great importance attached to this art of instrumenting, which was unknown at the commencement of the last century; and of which, sixty years ago, many persons who passed for sincere friends of Music, endeavoured to prevent the advance. There is an effort, now-a-days, to place an obstacle in the way of musical progress, upon other points. It has always been thus; therefore it can scarcely create surprise. At first, music was only acknowledged to exist in a series of consonant harmonies, intermingled with a few discords of suspension; and when Monteverde attempted to subjoin the chord of the seventh on the dominant without preparation, blame and invective of all kinds failed not to be levelled at him. But this seventh once admitted, in spite of all, with the discords of suspension, there were not wanting those among so-called learned authorities who held in contempt all compositions of which the harmony was simple, sweet, clear, sonorous, natural; it was absolutely requisite, to please these gentry, that it should be crammed with chords of the second major and minor, with sevenths, ninths, fourths, and fifths, employed without reason or intention, unless that of being as frequently as possible harsh to the ear. These musicians took a fancy for dissonant chords, as certain animals have a predilection for salt, prickly plants, and thorny shrubs. It was the exaggeration of reaction.

Melody was not to be found among these fine combinations; when it appeared, it was cried down, as the ruin of Art, the neglect of time-honored rules, etc., etc.; all was apparently lost. Nevertheless, melody maintained its ground; a reaction of melody, in its turn, was not long in appearing. There were fanatical melodists, to whom every piece of music in more than three parts was inapplicable. Some of them asserted that, in the majority of cases, the subject should be accompanied by a bass only, leaving to the hearer the delight of imagining the complementary notes of the chords. Others went still farther, desiring to have no accompaniment at all, affirming that harmony was but a barbarous invention.

Then came the turn of modulations. At the period when the habit was to modulate only in relative keys, the first who ventured to pass into a foreign key, was treated with contumely—as might have been expected. Whatever the effect of this new modulation, masters severely objected to it. The innovator vainly pleaded:—“Listen to it; observe how agreeably it is brought in, how well worked, how strikingly linked with that which precedes and succeeds, and how deliciously it sounds!” “That’s not the question!” was the reply. “This modulation is prohibited; therefore it must not be made!” But as, on the contrary, that is the precise question throughout, irrelative modulations did not fail soon to appear in grand music, aiding in producing effects no less felicitous than unexpected. Almost immediately arose a new order of pedantry; when people thought themselves degraded by modulating into the dominant; and who frolicked sweetly, in the smallest rondo, from the key of C natural into F # major.

Time, little by little, has re-arranged each thing in its place. A too rigid adherence to custom has been distinguished from the reactions of vanity, folly, and obstinacy; and it is pretty generally agreed to allow, at present, in all that regards harmony, melody, and modulation, that whatever produces a good effect is good, as that whatever produces a bad one is bad; and that the authority of a hundred old men, even if they were each a hundred and twenty years of age, cannot make ugly that which is beautiful, nor beautiful that which is ugly.

As for instrumentation, expression, and rhythm, that is quite another affair. Their turn for being discerned, denounced, admitted, fettered, freed, and exaggerated, not having come until much later, they cannot have attained the point previously reached by other branches of the Art. It may be said, that instrumentation, as first in order, is at the stage of exaggeration. It requires much time to discover Musical Mediterraneans; and still more, to master their navigation.
CHAPTER I.

Every sonorous body employed by the composer is a musical instrument. Hence, the following division of the means now at his disposal:—

Stringed instruments

- Violins
- Their vibration
- Violas d'amour
- Double basses
- Played by hand
- Harps
- Violenemetery
- Guitars
- Mandolins
- With keys—Pianoforte.

Wind instruments

- Oboes
- Clarinets
- Bassoons
- Cornets
- Corni di bassetti
- Bass-clarinets
- Saxophones

Without reeds—Flutes, great and small
- Clarinet
- Saxophone

With reeds
- Corni inglese
- Bassoons
- Bassoon de quinte
- Clarinet
- Corni di bassetti
- Bass-clarinets
- Saxophones

With keys—Melodion
- Concertina
- Horns
- Trumpets
- Cornets
- Bugles
- Trombones
- Ophicleides
- Bombardons
- Bass-tuba

Voices of men, women, children, and artificial soprano.

Instruments of percussion

- Kettle-drums
- Ancient cymbals
- Set of bells
- Glockenspiel
- Keyed harmonica
- Bells

The employment of these various sonorous elements, and their application, either for colouring the melody, harmony, and rhythm, or for producing peculiar impressions (originating or not in an intention of expression) independently of all aid from the three other great musical powers,—constitutes the art of instrumentation.

Considered in its poetical aspect, this art is as little to be taught, as that of inventing beautiful subjects, fine successions of chords, or original and striking rhythmical forms. That which suits various instruments, that which is practicable or not for them, easy or difficult, dull or sonorous,—may be indicated; it may also be pointed out, that such and such an instrument is more fitted than such another, to produce certain effects, and to express certain sentiments: but as for stating their due grouping and associating, in small orchestras, or by large masses,—as for the art of uniting them, mixing them, in such a way as to modify the sound of some by that of others, giving the combined effect of a particular note, which could be produced by none of them singly, nor by joining it to instruments of its own species,—this can only be done, by instancing the results obtained by masters in the Art, and tracing their mode of procedure; results which, doubtless, could be again modified a thousand-fold, well or ill, by such composers as should reproduce them.

The object of this work, then, is, first,—the indication of the extent, and of certain essential parts of the mechanism of instruments; and then, the study (hitherto much neglected) of the nature of quality of tone, particular character, and powers of expression, pertaining to each of them; and lastly, the best method known of proceeding, in order to group them appropriately. To endeavour to step beyond that, would be setting foot on the domain of inspiration; where genius alone is capable of making discoveries, because to genius alone is reserved the right of traversing it.

CHAPTER II.

INSTRUMENTS PLAYED WITH A BOW.

The Violin.

The four strings of a violin are usually tuned by fifths; thus:

- 1st String
- 2nd String
- 3rd String
- 4th String

The highest string, E, is also called the first string; and generally known as such.

These strings, when the fingers of the left hand do not modify the sound by shortening more or less the portion affected by the bow, are called open strings: the notes which are to be played on an open string being indicated by an O placed above them.

Some eminent composers and performers have not chosen to hold themselves restricted to this method of tuning the violin. Paganini, in order to give more brilliancy to the instrument, occasionally raised all the strings half a tone;—

and, consequently transposing his part, he played in G, while the orchestra was in E, while the orchestra was in B♭, &c., thus keeping the majority of his strings open; the sonorouusness being greater when open, than when pressed by the finger, in keys where they could not have occurred, with the ordinary method of tuning.

De Beriot frequently raises merely the G a whole tone in his concertos.

Baillot, on the contrary, sometimes lowers the G half a tone, in order to produce softer and deeper effects.

Winter has even employed F♭ instead of the G with a similar intention.

According to the degree of skill at present attained by our young violinists, this is about the extent which may be assigned to the violin in a well-constituted orchestra:—
The great performers exceed this extent of the violin by several notes higher; and even in the orchestra, a farther degree of acuteness may be obtained by means of harmonics,—of which mention will be made hereafter.

Shakes are practicable on all the notes of this long scale of three octaves and a half; but the extreme difficulty of those which occur on the three topmost notes—A, B, C—is to be dreaded; and in the orchestra, it would be even prudent not to employ them.

The minor shake on the fourth string, of the G to the A, should be avoided as much as possible:

\[ \text{\textit{it is harsh; and its effect is but little agreeable.}} \]

Chords of two, three, or four notes, which may be struck, or played in arpeggio, on the violin, are very numerous; and the different effects they produce are extremely various.

Chords of two notes, resulting from what is called the double-string, are well suited to melodic designs, to sustained phrases either forte or piano, to accompaniments of all kinds, and to the tremolo.

Chords of three or four notes, on the contrary, produce rather a bad effect, when played piano; they seem rich and energetic only when played loud and boldly; as the bow can then strike the strings sufficiently together to make them vibrate simultaneously.

It should not be forgotten, that, of these three or four notes, two at most can be sustained; the bow being compelled to quit the others, as soon as struck. It is therefore useless, in a slow or measured movement, to write thus:

\[ \text{\textit{the two upper notes are alone capable of being held on; therefore, it is better to indicate the passage in this way:}} \]

\[ \text{\textit{all chords contained between the low G and the low D are evidently impossible; since there is only a single string to produce the two notes. When there is need of a harmony in this extreme point of the scale, it can be obtained in the orchestra only by dividing the violins. This division is indicated by the Italian word \textit{divisi}; or by the French words \textit{divis\-\-s} (divided), and \textit{\-\-deux} (in two), written over the passage:}} \]

\[ \text{\textit{the violins are then separated, that one set may play the high part, and the other the lower. Beginning with D (3rd string) all intervals of a second, a third, a fifth, a sixth, a seventh, an octave, are practicable. But they become more and more difficult in proportion as they advance upon the high strings.}} \]

\[ \text{\textit{the unison is sometimes employed on a double string; but, besides that it can be done upon many other notes, it is well to limit it to these three, D, A, E; because they are the only ones that offer, with the facility which ensures good execution, a variety of quality in tone, and a force of sonority, which result from the circumstance of one of the strings being open:}} \]

\[ \text{\textit{in the other unisons there is no open string, their execution becomes rather difficult, and consequently, their strict intonation very rare.}} \]

\[ \text{\textit{a bass string can cross an upper open string, by pursuing an ascending movement while the open string remains as a pedal:}} \]

\[ \text{\textit{it will be seen that the D, here, remains open, while the ascending scale is executed throughout upon the fourth string.}} \]

\[ \text{\textit{the intervals of a ninth and a tenth are feasible, but much less easy than the preceding; it is much better not to write them, for the orchestra, unless}} \]
the lower string is open, in which case there is no danger:

With the chromatic intervals.

Care should be taken to avoid, as excessively difficult,—not to say, impossible,—leaps of a double string, which demand an immense displacing of the hand:

In general, such leaps should not be written, unless the two upper notes belong to a chord of four notes which may be struck together:

This is feasible, because the four notes may be struck at once:

In the following example, however, the four notes cannot be struck simultaneously, but with some difficulty (those of the last chord alone excepted); and the leap from low to high is not less easy,—the two lower notes being on open strings, and the two others with the first and third fingers:

Among chords of three, and particularly of four notes, the best and most sonorous are always those which contain most open strings. I even think that if none of these strings can be had, for a chord of four notes, it is better to rest contented with a chord of three notes.

Here are those most used; the most sonorous, and the least difficult:

It is better in all the chords marked thus * to let them remain with three notes, and to omit the lowest sound.

All those chords linked in this manner are not difficult. They may be executed in arpeggio; that is to say, by letting their notes be heard consecutively, from which frequently results the most agreeable effects—in a pianissimo especially:

Nevertheless, there are designs, similar to the preceding, of which the four notes cannot, without extreme difficulty, be played at once, yet which are easily executed in arpeggio; by means of the first and second fingers passing from the fourth string to the first, in order to produce the low note and the high note:

By omitting the high note or the low note of the preceding examples, so many chords of three notes are obtained; there must be superadded those which result from the various notes produced by the first string above the two centre open strings, or by the first string, and the A above the open D only:
If it be required to strike an isolated chord, in D minor or major, the disposition of letter A should not be employed, being too difficult when not led up to; it is better to take the following, which is quite easy, and more sonorous, on account of the effect of the two open strings:

It may be seen by the preceding examples that all chords of three notes are possible for the violin; if care be taken, in those which contain no open string to spread the parts sufficiently to allow an interval of a fifth or sixth to subsist between them. The sixth may be found either above or below, or in both at once:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Sixth} & \quad \text{Sixth} & \quad \text{Sixth} \\
\text{Sixth} & \quad \text{Sixth} & \quad \text{Sixth}
\end{align*}
\]

Certain chords of three notes being practicable in two ways, it is always better to choose that one which contains an open string:

\[
\text{Good.} \quad \text{Better.} \quad \text{or.} \quad \text{or.}
\]

Double shakes in thirds may be made, beginning with first Bb below:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Double cord.} & \\
\text{Double cord.}
\end{align*}
\]

But as they are of more difficult execution than simple shakes, and as the same effect may be obtained more neatly by means of two separate violin parts, it is better, in general, to abstain from them in the orchestra.

The tremolo, simple or double, by many violins, produces several excellent effects; it expresses trouble, agitation, terror, shades of piano, of mezzo-forte, and of fortissimo, when it is placed on one or two of the three strings, G, D, and A; and when it is not carried much above the middle Bb:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Double cord.} & \\
\text{Double cord.}
\end{align*}
\]

It has something of a stormy, violent character, in the fortissimo on the middle of the first or second string:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{It becomes, on the contrary,} & \quad \text{on the contrary,} \\
\text{on the contrary,} & \quad \text{on the contrary,}
\end{align*}
\]

Occasion may here be taken to mention, that the custom is to divide the violins into two sets; but there is no reason why they should not be subdivided into two or three sets, according to the object which the composer has in view. Sometimes, it is even advantageous to carry the number of violin sets up to eight, either for the sake of isolating from the grand mass eight single violins (playing in eight parts), or in order to divide the whole of the first and second violins into four equal portions.

To return to the tremolo. The chief point, in order to ensure its complete effect, is, that the movement of the bow shall be sufficiently rapid to produce an actual tremulousness or quivering. The composer must therefore write with precision, keeping in view the nature of the movement itself, in which the tremolo occurs; for the performers, delighted to avoid a kind of execution which fatigues them, will not fail to profit by any latitude which may be left them in this respect.

Thus, in an Allegro assai, if this were to be written for a tremolo:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{which produces} & \\
\text{produces}
\end{align*}
\]

the tremulousness would certainly exist; but if the tremolo of an Adagio were indicated also by semiquavers, the performers would strictly keep to semiquavers; of which the result would be, instead of a tremulousness, an effect of heaviness and flatness the most detestable. Therefore, in this case, it should be written:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{and even sometimes, if the movement} & \\
\text{and even sometimes, if the movement is still slower than an Adagio}
\end{align*}
\]

The tremolo below and in the middle of the third and of the fourth string, is much more characteristic in fortissimo, if the bow strike the strings near the bridge. In large orchestras, and where the performers take pains to give it its full effect, it produces a sound like that of a rapid and powerful cascade. This mode of execution should be indicated by the words: near the bridge.

A fine application of this kind of tremolo occurs in the scene of the oracle, in the first act of Gluck's Alceste.

The effect of the tremulousness of the second violins and violas, is there redoubled by the grand and emphatic progression of the Double-basses, by the blow struck from time to time in the first violins, by the successive introduction of the wind instruments, and lastly by the sublime recitativo which this surging of the orchestra accompanies. I know nothing of this kind, more dramatic or more terrible.

However, the idea of the tremolo near the bridge, not having been expressed by Gluck in his score, cannot be ascribed to him. The honor of it reverts entirely to Mr. Habeneck, who, when directing the studies of the Conservatoire pupils in this stupendous scene, desired the violins to try this energetic style of execution—of which the advantage, in such a case, is incontestable:
No. 1.

Violins.

Apol-no has regard to our de-pressed pray'rs,

Recitato.

Apol-lon est sen-sible à nos jemis-sons.

Violas.

and with portends sure conveys to me th'as-surance

Et des si-gnes cer-tains m'en don-vent l'as-ur-ance.

High Priest.

Hausboys.

Fill'd by the ho-ly fire, attendant on His presence, I am rais'd by his pow'r far above mortal man.

Plain de l'esprit di-vin qu'in-inspire sa pré-sen-ces je me sens à la-voir au-dessus d'un mor-tal.

Double Basses.

See where the flame bright ens flow, sur-rounds with light the sta-tue,

f Animate.

Moderate.
and on the altar burns,
et brûle sur l’autel

all proclaims to my soul that the God comes in
tout m’annoncé du Dieu la présence en

person, and of our future fate to speak himself the purport, Feelings of a Holy surrounding
pré-nds ce dieu sur nos destins veut s’ex-pli-guer lui - mest - ne l’horreur d’une sainte éponome la

fills my mind with awful dread; the ground beneath my step seems to be falling
se repousse autour de moi, la terre sous mes pas suit et se préci-
from me.
Marble itself has life.

Clarinet in C.

Horns in B.

The holy tripod trembles.

Terror pervades on ev'ry side.
He now will speak. Bent low with fear and dread respect,
people observe a perfect silence.
O Queen, quit his coming these the empty trappings of thy power.
Tremble!
Advantageous use is sometimes made, for certain dramatic accompaniments of an agitated character, of the broken tremolo, sometimes upon one string:

\[ \text{broken tremolo} \]

sometimes upon two strings:

\[ \text{double tremolo} \]

Lastly, there is another kind of tremolo, never employed now-a-days, but of which Gluck has made admirable use in his recitatives; and may be entitled the undulating tremolo. It consists of a not very rapid utterance of two bound notes on the same tone; whilst the bow never quite the string.

In these unmeasured accompaniments, the performers cannot precisely hit the same number of notes played in each bar,—some playing more, others fewer; and there results from these differences a sort of fluctuation, or indecision in the orchestra, perfectly adapted for rendering the uneasiness and anxiety of certain scenes. Gluck wrote thus:

\[ \text{undulating tremolo} \]

The different kinds of bowing are of great importance, and exercise a singular influence on the sonorou-ness and the expression of peculiar features and melodies. They should therefore be carefully indicated,—according to the nature of the idea which is to be conveyed,—by the following signs:

For detached notes:

\[ \text{detached bowing} \]

For slurred notes, two and two:

\[ \text{slurred bowing} \]

For extended slurs:

\[ \text{extended slurs} \]

For staccato, or lightly detached notes, simple or double, which are to be executed during a single drawing of the bow, by means of a succession of small jerks advancing as little as possible:

\[ \text{staccato bowing} \]

For markedly detached notes, which are to give to the string all possible sonorou-ness, by permitting it to vibrate alone after the bow has vigorously struck it, and which particularly suit pieces of a haughty, grand character, and of moderate movement:

\[ \text{markedly detached bowing} \]

Reiterated notes, two, three, and four times (according to the rapidity of the movement), give more force and agitation to the sound of the violins, and suit many orchestral effects, in all kinds of shades:

\[ \text{iterated bowing} \]

Nevertheless, in a phrase of broad movement and vigorous character, simple notes markedly detached, produce a much better effect, when the true tremolo is not employed upon each note. The following passage:

\[ \text{true tremolo} \]

would be,—taking into consideration the slowness of the movement,—of an incomparably more noble and more powerful sonorou-ness, than this one:

\[ \text{slower tremolo} \]

Composers might be considered too minute, probably, should they indicate the movements of the bow in their score; still, it is well, when a passage demands lightness, extreme energy or amplitude of sound, to indicate the mode of execution by these words:—"With the point of the bow;" or "with the heel of the bow;" or "with the full length of the bow," written over each note. So the words,—"On the bridge," and "On the finger-board," designating the spot nearer or farther from the bridge, where the bow should strike the strings, the same remarks may apply. Those metallic sounds, slightly rough, which the bow draws forth, when near the bridge differs greatly from those soft veiled sounds, which are generated when it is passed across the finger-board.

In a symphonic piece, where the terrible mingles with the grotesque, the back of the bow has been employed in striking the strings. The use of this whimsical means should be very rare, and maturely considered; and moreover it has perceptible effect only in a large orchestra. The multitude of bows then falling precipitately on the strings, produces a sort of snapping noise, which would be scarcely noticeable, if the violins were few in number, so weak and so short-lived is the sonorou-ness thus obtained.

Harmonics are those sounds which are generated by touching the strings with the fingers of the left hand, so as to divide them in their length, yet not with sufficient pressure to place them in contact with the finger-board, as is the case for ordinary sounds.

These Harmonics possess a singular character of mysterious softness; and the extreme acuteness of some of them, afford the violin, in the upper part, an immense compass. They are natural, or artificial.
Natural harmonics are those which are produced by touching certain points of open strings. Here are some which may be produced most surely and with most sonorously upon each string.

The black notes represent the real harmonics; and the white notes indicate the notes touched upon the open string:

First string, E.

Second string, A.

Third string, D.

Fourth string, G.

Artificial harmonics are to be obtained very distinctly throughout the extent of the gamut, by means of the first finger; which, firmly pressed upon the string, while the other fingers touch it, serves for a movable nut.

Here is the table of touched intervals, and of the real harmonics which they produce:

The touched octave gives its unison:

This fingering is little used, excepting for the 4th string, on account of its inconvenience.

The touched fifth gives its octave above:

This fingering is more easy than the preceding, and less so than the following.

The touched fourth gives its twelfth above:

This fingering is the most easy, and is that which is to be preferred for the orchestra, when the object is not to obtain as a real harmonic the twelfth of an open string; for in this case the fingering by fifth is preferable. Thus, in order to sound singly a B in alt:

it is better to employ this position:

on account of the open E, of which the touched fifth (B) gives its octave above; and which is more sonorous than a string upon which the first finger must be pressed; as for example:

which gives equally:

The fingerings of the touched major third and minor third, are very little used; the harmonics being thus produced much less well:

The touched major third gives its double octave above:

The minor third gives its major seventeenth above.
The touched major sixth gives its twelfth above. This fingering is less used than that of the fourth; it is nevertheless tolerably good, and often useful.

The positions of touched fourth and fifth are undoubtedly the most advantageous.

Some performers sound double strings in harmonics; but this effect is so difficult to obtain, and, consequently, so hazardous, that composers can never be advised to write it.

The harmonics of the fourth string, have something of the quality of a flute; they are preferable for delivering a slow air. Paganini employed them with wonderful success in the prayer of Moses. The harmonics of the other strings acquire more delicacy and tenacity in proportion as they are higher; it is precisely this character, and their crystalline quality which renders them appropriate to chords that may be called fairy-like,—that is to say, to those effects of harmony which inspire brilliant musicings, and carry the imagination towards the most graceful fictions of the poetical and supernatural world. However they may have become familiar, now-a-days, to our young violinists, they should never be employed in a lively movement; or, at least, care should be taken not to give them rapid successions of notes, if their perfect execution is to be ensured.

It is lawful for a composer to write them in two, three, and even in four parts, according to the number of violin parts. The effect of such chords sustained, is very remarkable, if it be warranted by the subject of the piece, and well mingled with the rest of the orchestration. I have employed them for the first time, in three parts, in the scherzo of a symphony, above a fourth violin part not in harmonics, which shakes continually on the least high note. The extreme delicacy of the harmonics is still more enhanced in this passage, by the use of sordines; and, thus deadened, they issue among the ultra heights of the musical scale, where it would be impossible to attain, with ordinary sounds.

It would be well, in writing such chords in harmonics, not to neglect designating by notes of different shape and size, placed one above another, the note for the finger touching the string, and that of the real harmonic (when touching an open string) and the note of the finger pressing, that of the finger touching the string, and that of the real harmonic, in the other cases. It is therefore sometimes necessary to employ three signs together for a single sound; as without this precaution, the execution might become an inextricable muddle, in which the author himself would have difficulty in recognizing his own production.
Sordines (or mutes) are little wooden implements which are placed on the bridge of stringed instruments in order to deaden their sonorosity; and which give them at the same time a mournful, mysterious, and softened tone, which is frequently to be felicitously applied in all styles of music. Sordines are most generally used in slow pieces; but they serve scarcely less well, when the subject of the piece admits it, for rapid and light designs, or for accompaniments of hurried rhythm. Gluck has effectually proved this in his sublime Italian monologue of Alceste, "Chi mi parla."

The custom is, when employing sordines, to cause them to be used by all the band of stringed instruments; nevertheless, there are certain circumstances, more frequent than may be imagined, under which sordines placed in a single part (in the first violins, for instance), will colour the instrumentation with a very particular impression, by the mixture of clear sounds and veiled sounds. There are others also, where the character of the melody is sufficiently dissimilar from that of the accompaniments, which render the use of the sordine advisable.

The composer, when introducing the use of sordines in the middle of a piece (which is indicated by these words "con sordini"), should not forget to give the performers time to take them and place them; consequently, he will be careful to arrange a previous rest for the violin parts about equivalent to the duration of two bars in four-time (moderato).

A rest of such length is not necessary, when the words "sensa sordini" indicate they are to be removed; this operation requiring much less time. The sudden transition of sounds thus deadened in a mass of violins, to sounds clear and natural (without sordines), is often of immense effect.
MODERN INSTRUMENTATION AND ORCHESTRATION.

No. 3.

Romeo and Juliet—BERLIOZ.

Scherzo—Prestissimo.

Flutes.

Hautbois.

Clarinet in B♭.

1st Violins divided.

2nd Violins divided.

Violins.

Violoncelli divided.

Double-Basses.
No. 4.

Adagio non molto.

Con Sordini, 6

Violins.

Violas.

Hautbois.

Obae.

Bassoons.

Altojos.

Chi mi parla!

Che riso.

Violoncelli.

Double-Basses.
The *Pizzicato* is still in general use for instruments played with the bow. The sounds obtained by vibrating the strings with the finger produce accompaniments approved by singers, since they do not cover the voice; they do well also for symphonic effects, even in vigorous orchestral sallies, either in the whole band of stringed instruments, or in one or two parts alone.

Here is a charming example of the employment of the *Pizzicato* in the second violins, violas, and basses, while the first violins are playing with the bow. These contrasted effects of sound blend, here, in a truly marvellous style, with the melodious sighs of the clarinet, whose expression they serve to heighten:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. 5.</th>
<th>Symphony in B flat—Beethoven.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flute.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clar. in B♭</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horns in B♭</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassoons.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violins.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viola.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violoncello.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-bass.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If the pizzicato be employed in a forte, it becomes necessary to write it, generally, neither too high nor too low; the extreme upper notes being shrill and wiry, and the deeper ones too dull. Thus, in a strenuous tutti of wind instruments, a remarkably impressive effect will result from a pizzicato like this, assigned to all the stringed instruments:

```
Violins together.  
Pizz.  
Violins and Basses.  

Pizzicato chords of two, three, and four notes, are equally valuable in a fortissimo; the single finger which violinists use, then traverses the strings so rapidly that they seem struck altogether, and vibrate almost simultaneously.
```

Accompaniments pizzicato piano, have always a graceful effect; they afford a sense of repose to the hearer, and impart—when not abused—variety to the aspect of the orchestra. In future, doubtless, more original and striking effects will be obtained from pizzicato, than have hitherto been essayed. Violinists, not considering pizzicato as an integral portion of violin-playing, have studied it but little. Even yet, they have only cared to use the thumb and forefinger in playing pizzicato; so that they have never been able to execute passages or arpeggios more rapid than the semiquavers of a bar in four-time of very moderate rate. Instead of which, if, laying down their bow, they were to use the thumb and three fingers, letting the little finger support the right hand, by resting upon the violin, as when playing the guitar, they would soon obtain facility in executing passages such as the following, impossible at present:

```
The figures placed above the notes, show the fingers of the right hand that are employed; + + indicating the thumb.

Allegro non troppo.  
```

```
Some of our young violinists have learned from Paganini to execute rapid pizzicato descending scales, by plucking the strings with the fingers of the left hand resting on the neck of the instrument, and the pizzicato passages (still with the left hand) with a mixture of strokes from the bow, or even as serving for accompaniment to an air played by the bow. These various feats will doubtless become, in course of time, familiar to every violin-performer; and then, will be available in composition.

Violins are able, now-a-days, to execute whatever they will. They play up to the extreme height, as easily as in the middle; passages the most rapid, desirous the most eccentric do not dismay them. In an orchestra, where they are sufficiently numerous, that which one fails to perform, is done by others; and the result is, that without any apparent mistake, the phrase is delivered as the author wrote it.

In cases, however, where the rapidity, complication, and height of a passage would render it too hazardous, or merely that more sureness and neatness of execution should be obtained, it should be dispersed; that is to say, the mass of violins should be divided, and one portion given to some, and the rest to others. In this way, the passage of each part, is sprinkled with little rests unperceived by the hearer; thus allowing, as it were, breathing-space to the violinists, and affording them time to take the difficulties carefully, so as to give the necessary firmness for a vigorous mastery of the strings:

```
Here the division becomes unnecessary; the passage presenting no difficulty, dispersing it would only weaken the general effect of the violins.
If it be desired to have a similar or still more different passage played by the whole mass of violins, it will be always better, as in the preceding example, to divide the first violins into two sets, and the second violins also, causing these latter to double the two parts of the first violins, than to allow all the first violins to play one portion, and all the second violins another; for the distance of the two points of departure of the sounds, will break the unity of the passage, rendering the join too apparent. Whereas, the same division occurring on both sides among the two sets of violins, and between the two performers who read from the same desk, one playing the first part and the other the second, it follows that the divided sets are so near each other that it is impossible to perceive the dispersion of the passage, and the hearer may imagine it to be executed entire by all the violins. It should therefore be written thus:

Moreover, this mode of procedure is applicable to all the parts of the orchestra which possess in themselves analogies of quality of tone or lightness; and it should be employed in all cases where a phrase is too difficult to admit of being well executed by a single instrument, or single set of instruments.

I think there might be better use made in the orchestra, than has hitherto been done, of phrases on the fourth string; and, for certain melodies, of the high notes of the third string. When used in this manner, a particular string, it should be indicated with precision whereabouts this string is to be used exclusively; otherwise, the performers would not fail to indulge in the habit and facility of passing from one string to another, and playing the phrase in the usual way:

It frequently happens, that in order to give a passage greater energy, the first violins are doubled by the second violins an octave lower; but, if the passage do not lie excessively high, it is better to double them in unison. The effect is thus incomparably finer and more forcible. The overwhelming effect of the peroration of the first movement in Beethoven's C minor Symphony, is attributable to an unison of the violins. It happens even, in such a case, that if, the violins being thus together, their additional force should be desirable by subtracting the violas an octave below, this weak lower doubling,
on account of the disproportionate upper part, produces a futile murmur, by which the vibration of the high violin notes are rather obscured than assisted. It is preferable, if the viola part cannot be planned in a prominent manner, to employ it in augmenting the sound of the violoncello, taking care to put them together (as much as the low compass of the instrument will permit) in the unison and not in the octave. This is what Beethoven has done in the following passage:

No. 6.

Symphony in C minor.—Beethoven.
Violins are more brilliant, and play more easily in keys which leave them the use of the open strings. The key of C, alone, appears to form an exception to this rule, on account of its sonorosity, which is evidently less than that of the keys of A and E, although it keeps four open strings, while A keeps but three, and E two only. The quality of the various keys for the violin may be thus characterized; together with their greater or less facility of execution:—

### Major

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Major Qualities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C#</td>
<td>Very difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Difficult; but less so than the preceding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D#</td>
<td>Easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D²</td>
<td>Almost impracticable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E#</td>
<td>Not very difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Impracticable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F#</td>
<td>Easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F²</td>
<td>Very difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Very difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G#</td>
<td>Easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G²</td>
<td>Nearly impracticable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Not very difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A#</td>
<td>Easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A²</td>
<td>Impracticable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B#</td>
<td>Not very difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Almost impracticable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Minor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Minor Qualities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C#</td>
<td>Tolerably easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Very difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D#</td>
<td>Easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D²</td>
<td>Almost impracticable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Difficult.                     Very vague; and very mournful.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E#</td>
<td>Easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Impracticable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F#</td>
<td>Rather difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F²</td>
<td>Less difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Impracticable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G#</td>
<td>Easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G²</td>
<td>Very difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Very difficult; almost impracticable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A#</td>
<td>Easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A²</td>
<td>Impracticable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Difficult.                     Very sonorous; wild; rough; ominous; violent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B#</td>
<td>Easy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Impracticable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gloomy; not very sonorous.  
Tragic; sonorous; elegant.  
Serious; not very sonorous.  
Lugubrious; sonorous; somewhat commonplace.  
Dull.  
Very vague; and very mournful.  
Screamy; and slightly commonplace.  
Not very sonorous; gloomy; violent.  
Tragic; sonorous; dashing.  
Melancholy; tolerably sonorous; soft.  
Not very sonorous; mournful; elegant.  
Very dull, and mournful; but noble.  
Tolerably sonorous; soft; mournful; rather noble.  
Gloomy; dull; hoarse; but noble.  
Very sonorous; wild; rough; ominous; violent.
Instruments played with a bow, of which the combination forms what is somewhat improperly termed a *quartet*, are the base and constituent element of the whole orchestra. From them is evolved the greatest power of expression, and an incontestable variety of different qualities of tone. Violins particularly are capable of a host of apparently inconsistent shades of expression. They possess (as a whole) force, lightness, grace, accents both gloomy and gay, thought, and passion. The only point is, to know how to make them speak. Moreover, it is not needful to calculate for them—as for wind instruments—the duration of a holding-note, and to contrive for them occasional rests; they are sure never to be out of breath. Violins are faithful, intelligent, active, and indefatigable servants.

Slow and tender melodies, confided too often now-a-days, to the wind instruments, are nevertheless never better rendered than by a mass of violins. Nothing can equal the touching sweetness of a score of first strings made to sing by twenty well-skilled bows. That is, in fact, the true female voice of the orchestra—a voice at once passionate and chaste, heart-rending, yet soft, which can weep, sigh, and lament, chant, pray, and muse, or burst forth into joyous accents, as none other can do. An imperceptible movement of the arm, an almost unconscious sentiment on the part of him who experiences it, producing scarcely any apparent effect when executed by a single violin, shall, when multiplied by a number of them in unison, give forth enchanting gradation, irresistible impulse, and accents which penetrate to the very heart's core.

CHAPTER III.

The Viola.

The four strings of the viola are generally tuned in fifths, like those of the violin; and at a fifth below them:—

\[ \text{\textbf{G}} \]

Its ordinary compass is at least three octaves:—

\[ \text{\textbf{G}} \]

With the chromatic intervals.

It is written on the C clef (3rd line); and on the G clef, when it extends high.

What has been said in Chapter II. on the subject of shakes, bowing, chords struck together or played arpeggio, harmonics, &c., is throughout applicable to the viola—considered as a violin a fifth lower.

Of all the instruments in the orchestra, the one whose excellent qualities have been longest misappreciated, is the viola. It is no less agile than the violin, the sound of its strings is peculiarly telling, its upper notes are distinguished by their mournfully passionate accent, and its quality of tone altogether, of a profound melancholy, differs from that of other instruments played with a bow. It has, nevertheless, been long neglected, or put to a use as unimportant as ineffectual,—that of merely doubling, in octave, the upper part of the bass. There are many causes that have operated to induce the unjust servitude of this noble instrument. In the first place, the majority of the composers of the last century, rarely writing four real parts, scarcely knew what to do with it; and when they did not readily find some filling-up notes in the chords, for it to do, they hastily wrote the fatal *col Basso,*—sometimes with so much inattention, that it produced a doubling in the octave of the basses, irreconcilable, either with the harmony, or the melody, or with both one and the other. Moreover, it was unfortunately impossible, at that time, to write anything for the violas of a prominent character, requiring even ordinary skill in execution. Viola players were always taken from among the refuse of violinists. When a musician found himself incapable of creditably filling the place of violinist, he took refuge among the violas. Hence it arose, that the viola performers knew neither how to play the violin nor the viola. It must even be admitted, that at the present time, this prejudice against the viola part is not altogether destroyed; and that there are still, in the best orchestras, many viola-players who are not more proficient on that instrument than on the violin. But the mischief resulting from this forbearance towards them, is daily becoming more felt; and, little by little, the viola will, like other instruments, be confided only to clever hands. Its quality of tone so strongly attracts and captivates the attention, that it is not necessary to have in the orchestra quite so many violas as second violins; and the expressive powers of this quality of tone are so marked, that in the rare occasions when the old masters afforded its display, it never failed to fulfil their intention. The profound impression is well known, which is produced by that movement in the *Iphigenia in Tauride*; where Orestes, overcome with joy, panting, oppressed with remorse, grows more tranquil as he repeats:—“Composure lufts again my heart!” while the orchestra, deeply agitated, utters sobs, and convulsive sighs, attended throughout by the fearful and persevering mutter of the violas. Although, in this unspeakably fine piece of inspiration, there is not a note of voice or instrument without its sublimes intention, yet it should be noted that the fascination exercised over the hearers, and the sensation of horror which causes their eyes to dilate and fill with tears, are principally attributable to the viola part, to the quality of its third string, to its syncopated rhythm, and to the strange effect of unison resulting from the syncopation of the A abruptly broken off in the middle by another A in the basses marking a different rhythm:—
Once more a moment's pause is vouch-saf'd ville O
vous laisse respirer le parc-ci de O

res-te. Heaven's justice, Heaven's
res-te. Dées justice, Ciel...  

ven-gance! Yes, yes, con-
ven-gance! oui, oui, le

po-sure hulla again my heart.
cal-me rem-tre dans mon cœur.
In the overture of *Iphigenia in Aulis*, Gluck has ingeniously made them sustain alone the lower part of the harmony; not so much, in this case, for the sake of producing an effect arising from the peculiarity of their quality of tone, but in order to accompany as softly as possible the air of the first violins, and to heighten the tremendous impression of the basses coming in upon the forte after a considerable number of rests. Sacchini has also given the lower part to the violas alone, in the air of *Edipus*—"Your court became my refuge;" without intending, however, to prepare an outburst. On the contrary, the instrumentation here gives to the phrase of melody it accompanies, a most delicious calm and freshness. Melodies on the high strings of the viola have a marvellous beauty in scenes of a religious and antique character. Spontini was the first to conceive the idea of assigning the melody to them in several passages of his admirable prayers in the *Vestale*. Méhul, allured by the sympathy existing between the tone of the viola and the imaginative character of Osianic poetry, constantly availed himself of them, even to the exclusion of the violins, in his operas of *Ida*. Hence arose what the critics of the time called an intolerable monotony detrimental to the work's success. It was in reference to this, that Grétry exclaimed:—"I'd give a guinea to hear a first string!" This quality of the viola, no choice when it is judiciously employed, and skilfully contrasted with the qualities of tone of violins and other instruments,—necessarily soon palls; it is too unvaried, and too much imbued with mournfulness, for this to be otherwise. It is not unfrequent, at the present day, to divide the violas into first violas and second violas; and in orchestras like that of the opera, where they are almost sufficiently numerous, there is no difficulty in writing for them thus; but in others, where there are scarcely four or five violas, this division can only serve to diminish the effect of a body already so weak in itself, and which the other instruments are ever tending to overwhelm. It should also be remarked that the majority of violas at present used in our French orchestras are far from possessing the requisite degree of power; they have neither the size, nor consequently the strength of tone of veritable violas—being almost violins strung with viola strings. Musical directors should absolutely prohibit the use of these mongrel instruments; the slender sonorosity of which impairs one of the most interesting parts in the orchestra, by depriving it of energy, and of its fine depth of tone.

When the violoncellos play the air, it is sometimes excellent to double them in unison by the violas. The tone of the violoncellos then acquires additional roundness and purity, without becoming less predominant. As an example of this,—here is the theme of the Adagio in Beethoven's C minor Symphony:

---

No. 8.
CHAPTER IV.

The Viole d'Amour.

This instrument is rather larger than the viola. It has almost universally fallen into disuse; and were it not for Mr. Urban—the only player of the instrument in Paris—it would be known to us only by name.

It has seven catgut strings, the three lowest of which—like the C and G of the viola—are covered with silver wire. Below the neck of the instrument, and passing beneath the bridge, are seven more strings, of metal, tuned in unison with the others, so as to vibrate sympathetically with them; thereby giving to the instrument a second resonance, full of sweetness and mystery. It was formerly tuned in several different whimsical ways; but Mr. Urban has adopted the following mode of tuning in thirds and fourths, as the most simple, and the most rational:

\[
\text{1st String: } f, d, a, f, d, a, f \\
\text{2nd String: } f, d, \text{[fig]} \\\n\text{3rd String: } f, d, a, f, d, a, f \\
\text{4th String: } f, d, a, f, d, a, f \\
\text{5th String: } f, d, a, f, d, a, f \\
\text{6th String: } f, d, a, f, d, a, f \\
\text{7th String: } f, d, a, f, d, a, f
\]

The compass of the viole d'amour is three octaves and a half, at least. It is written—like the viola—on two clefs:

\[
\text{With the Chromatic Intervals.}
\]

Thus may be seen, by the disposal of its strings, that the viole d'amour is peculiarly appropriate to chords of three, four, or more notes, whether played arpeggio, or struck, or sustained; and above all, to melodies of double notes. Only, it is evident that, in designing harmonies for this instrument, a different plan must be pursued from that employed for violins, viola, and violoncello, which are tuned by fifths; and that care must be taken to avoid the notes of chords beyond a third or fourth in general, unless the lower string be an open string. Thus, the A of the second octave gives every latitude to the high D, to extend its scale above itself:

\[
\text{[Figures and notation indicating notes and intervals.]
\]

It is needless to observe that the chords of the minor third and the second:

\[
\text{[Figures and notation indicating notes and intervals.]
\]

are impracticable below; since the sounds that constitute them are necessarily on the D string. A moment's reflection shows similar impossibilities on the lowest string of all instruments played with a bow.

Harmonics have an admirable effect on the viole d'amour. They are obtained precisely in the same way as those of the violin and viola; excepting that its seven open strings being disposed as a common chord, give the viole d'amour great facility in producing with rapidity the arpeggios of its chord of D major, in the octave and double octave above; those of the chord of A major in the twelfth above; and those of the chord of F♯ major in the seventeenth above:
violet d'amour, the keys of D, G, A, F♯ or B♭, are
those which will best allow of so doing. As these
three chords would doubtless not suffice for accompa-
nying uninterruptingly an air somewhat modulated,
there is no reason why a set of violet d'amour should
not be had, tuned in a different way: in C, for ex-
ample; or in D♭; according to the chords required
by the composer for his piece. The extreme charm
of these arpeggio harmonics on the open strings,
quite deserve that every pains should be taken to
render them available.

The quality of the violet d'amour is faint and sweet;
there is something seraphic in it,—partaking at once
of the viola, and of the harmonics of the violin. It
is peculiarly suitable to the legato style, to dreamy
melodies, and to the expression of ecstatic or religious
feelings. Mons. Meyerbeer has felicitously intro-
duced it in Raoul's Romance, in the first act of the

No. 9.

The Huguenot — Meyerbeer.

Violet d'amour.

Raoul.

Violoncello.

Double-bass.

But this is merely a solo effect. What would not
be that, in an andante, of a mass of violet d'amour
playing a fine prayer in several parts, or accompa-
nying with their sustained harmonics, a melody of
violas, or of violoncellos, or of corni inglesi, or of a
horn, or of a flute in its middle part, mingled with
harp arpeggios! It would really be a great pity to
allow this choice instrument to become lost; upon
which any violinist might learn to play, by a few
weeks' practice.
CHAPTER V.
The Violoncello.

Its four strings are tuned in fifths, and precisely an octave lower than the four strings of the viola:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st String</th>
<th>2nd String</th>
<th>3rd String</th>
<th>4th String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Its compass may be, even in the orchestra, three octaves and a half:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1st String:} & \quad \text{F} - \text{A} - \text{C} - \text{E} - \text{A} \\
\text{2nd String:} & \quad \text{E} - \text{G} - \text{B} - \text{D} - \text{G} \\
\text{3rd String:} & \quad \text{D} - \text{F} - \text{A} - \text{C} - \text{F} \\
\text{4th String:} & \quad \text{C} - \text{E} - \text{G} - \text{B} - \text{E} \\
\end{align*}
\]

With the Chromatic Intervals.

The great performers go still higher; but, in general, these extreme upper notes—which have no beauty excepting in the conclusion of slow passages—are seldom given in natural sounds; they are mostly taken in harmonics, which are produced more easily, and are of better quality. It may not be amiss, before going farther, to premonish the reader of the double sense given to the G clef in violoncello music. When it is written from the commencement of a piece, or immediately after the F clef, it presents to the eye the octave above the real sounds:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1st String:} & \quad \text{F} - \text{A} - \text{C} - \text{E} - \text{A} \\
\text{2nd String:} & \quad \text{E} - \text{G} - \text{B} - \text{D} - \text{G} \\
\text{3rd String:} & \quad \text{D} - \text{F} - \text{A} - \text{C} - \text{F} \\
\text{4th String:} & \quad \text{C} - \text{E} - \text{G} - \text{B} - \text{E} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Except—Union of the Violins.

It has its full value only when succeeding the C clef (on the fourth line); in which case it represents the real sounds, and not their octave above:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1st String:} & \quad \text{F} - \text{A} - \text{C} - \text{E} - \text{A} \\
\text{2nd String:} & \quad \text{E} - \text{G} - \text{B} - \text{D} - \text{G} \\
\text{3rd String:} & \quad \text{D} - \text{F} - \text{A} - \text{C} - \text{F} \\
\text{4th String:} & \quad \text{C} - \text{E} - \text{G} - \text{B} - \text{E} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Except—Union of the Violins.

This custom, which there is nothing to justify, leads to errors the more frequent, from certain violoncellists refusalng to conform to it, and choosing to receive the G clef in its true acceptance. In order to avoid all misconception, it will be here employed only after the C clef; and when this would lead us too far beyond the stave, the G clef shall always represent the real sounds, as in the preceding example.

That which has been said respecting double strings, arpeggios, shakes, and the bowing of the violin, applies equally to the violoncello. It should, however, never be lost sight of, that the violoncello strings, being longer than those of the violin, demand a wider extension of the fingers of the left hand; whence it follows that passages of tenths on a double string, practicable on the violin and viola, are not so on the violoncello; and that an isolated tenth cannot be struck, unless the lower note is on an open string.

The following tenths would be impossible:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1st String:} & \quad \text{F} - \text{A} \\
\text{2nd String:} & \quad \text{E} - \text{G} \\
\text{3rd String:} & \quad \text{D} - \text{F} \\
\text{4th String:} & \quad \text{C} - \text{E} \\
\end{align*}
\]

The violoncello, on account of the depth of its quality and the thickness of its strings, is not susceptible of the extreme agility belonging to the violin and viola. As to the natural and artificial harmonics—of which frequent use is made on the violoncello in solo passages—they are obtained by the same means as those of the violin and viola. The length of its strings even contributes to render the extreme upper notes in harmonics, which are produced near the bridge, much more easy and more beautiful than those of the violin. Here is a table of those which are best obtained from each string:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1st String:} & \quad \text{F} - \text{A} \\
\text{2nd String:} & \quad \text{E} - \text{G} \\
\text{3rd String:} & \quad \text{D} - \text{F} \\
\text{4th String:} & \quad \text{C} - \text{E} \\
\end{align*}
\]

The best way of obtaining artificial harmonics, is that which consists in touching the fourth, while the first finger or thumb, strongly pressed, forms the factitious moveable nut.
This fingering is even almost the only one practicable on the violoncello; the position of the fifth finger, would hardly be used, excepting in the upper part of the string; because the distances and the proportions becoming much smaller than in the lower, and the extension of the left hand proportionably less, they then allow of the fifth being touched with the fourth finger, while the thumb serves for the nut.

(The sign n indicates that the thumb is to be placed transversely across the strings.)

Scale of natural and artificial harmonics:

Harmonics in harmonics on the violoncello would doubtless have a charming effect in the orchestra, where the piece was soft and slow; nevertheless, it is easier, and consequently less hazardous, to obtain the same result by means of divided violins playing high on the first string with sordines. These two qualities of tone resemble each other so closely as to be almost undistinguishable.

Example of harmonics:

The same passage reproduced exactly, and more easily, in usual sounds, by violins:

To violoncellos in the orchestra, is ordinarily given the part of the double-bass; which they double, an octave above or in unison: but there are many instances when it is advisable to separate them, either to let them play on the high strings, a melody or melodious phrase; or to take advantage of their peculiar sonority on an open string, for producing a specific harmonical effect, by writing their part below the double-basses or, lastly, to assign them a part nearly like that of the double-basses, but giving them more rapid notes, which the latter could not well execute.

Here the part of the violoncellos, more agitated and turbulent in its movement, nevertheless plays nearly the same notes as that of the double basses, the design of which it follows almost throughout. In the following example, on the contrary, the violoncellos are entirely distinct from the double-basses, and go beneath them, in order to obtain the tremendous conflict of the minor second below, and at the same time the rough vibration of the C—the fourth open string of the violoncello—while the double basses grind out against the high octave of this C, the Bpq, which they take forcibly on their first string:
The composer should never—without an excellent reason, that is to say, without being sure of producing thereby a very marked effect—entirely separate the violoncellos and double-basses; nor even write them, as many authors have done, a double octave above. Such procedure has the result of considerably weakening the sonorosity of the fundamental notes of the harmony. The bass part, thus forsaken by the violoncellos, becomes dull, bald, extremely heavy, and ill-connected with the upper parts, which are held at too great distance by the extreme depth of tone of the double-basses. When it is required to produce a very soft harmony of stringed instruments, it is, on the contrary, often well to give the bass to the violoncellos, omitting the double-basses, as Weber has done, in the accompaniment to the Andante of Agatha's sublime air, in the second act of the Freischatz. It is worthy of remark in this example, that the violas alone give the bass beneath a harmony of violins in four parts; the violoncellos only coming in, a little later, to double the violas:
No. 11.

Flutes.

Oboes.

Clarinets.

Basses.

Adagio. Der Prophetin—Waver.

Distet, con sordet.

Distet, con sordet.

Agatha.

Oh love—ly night

Soft—ly sighs the

Ray—on—me aux Cieux

Ma pri—ère

voice of ev—ning, steal—ing thro’ your will—low grove,

While the

pround des es—tes ver les saprles é—ner—iel —las

plais —
stars, like guardian spirits, Set their nightly watch above

Thro' the dark blue vault of ether Silence reigns with soothing power But a storm o'er yonder mountain, Darkly

Queul beau ciel et que d'etoiles dans les eaux de la mere mais que sans de nombreuses voltes Flori...
oloncellos together, to the amount of eight or
are essentially melodious; their quality, on the
strings, is one of the most expressive in the
vess. Nothing is more voluptuously melange
ning, or more suited to the utterance of tender,
ishing themes, than a mass of violoncellos
ung in unison upon their first string. They are
excellent for airs of a religious character; when
poser ought to select the strings upon which
phrase should be executed. The two lower
as, C and G, especially in keys which permit
the use of them as open strings, are of a smooth and
deep sonorousness, perfectly appropriate in such a
case; but their depth itself scarcely ever permits of
giving them any other than basses more or less
melodious—the actual airs being reserved for the
upper strings. Weber, in the Overture to Oberon,
has, with rare felicity, caused the violoncellos to sing
above; while the two clarinets in A, in unison, give
beneath them their lower notes. It is both new and
striking:

No. 12.
Although our violoncello-players of the present day are very skilful, and well able to execute all sorts of difficulties, yet it is seldom that rapid passages of violoncellos do not produce some confusion in the lower part. As for those which require the use of the thumb, and lie among the higher notes, there is less to be expected; they are not very sonorous, and are always of dubious precision. In modern richly-filled orchestras, where the violoncellos are numerous, they are frequently divided into firsts and seconds; the firsts executing a special part of melody or harmony, and the seconds doubling the double-basses, either in octave or in unison. Sometimes even, for accompaniments of a melancholy, veiled, and mysterious character, the bass is left to the double-basses alone, while above them are designed two different parts for the violoncellos, which, joining the viola part, give a four-part deep harmony. This method is rarely well-contrived; and care should be taken not to misuse it.
The tremolo in double string, and arpeggios in forte, suit violoncellos perfectly; they add greatly to the richness of the harmony, and augment the general sonorosity of the orchestra. Rossini, in the introduction of the overture to Guillaume Tell, has written a quintet for five solo violoncellos, accompanied in pizzicato by the other violoncellos, divided into firsts and seconds. These deep-toned qualities of the same kind, are there of excellent effect; and serve to make still more impressive the brilliant orchestration of the succeeding Allegro.

The pizzicato of the violoncello cannot have much rapidity, and the means proposed for improving the execution of that of violins, cannot avail in this case, owing to the thickness and tension of the strings, and to their too great elevation above the finger-board of the instrument. According to the procedure generally in use, players seldom exceed, in pizzicato, the rapidity of eight quavers in a bar in two-time (Allegro non troppo), or that of twelve semiquavers, arpeggio, in a 3 bar (Andantino).

CHAPTER VI.

Double-basses.

There are two kinds; those with three, and those with four strings. Those with three strings are tuned in fifths:

Those with four, are tuned in fourths:

The sound of both is an octave lower than the note written. Their compass in the orchestra is two octaves and a quarter; allowing, for three-stringed double-basses, two notes less below.

Double-bass with four strings

Double-bass with three strings

With the chromatic intervals.

The four-stringed double-bass appears to me preferable to the other: first, on account of the facility in execution,—tuning in fourths not compelling the performer to adjust in playing the scale; and next, because of the great utility of the three low sounds, E, F, and G, which are wanting in double-basses tuned in fifths, and the absence of which occurs perpetually to disturb the order of the best-designed bases, by bringing in, of necessity, for those notes, an ungraceful and unseasonable transposition above. These observations are still more applicable to English double-basses: which, although tuned in fourths, have, nevertheless, only three strings, A, D, G.

In a well-constituted orchestra, there should be several double-basses, tuned in thirds and fifths:—

Thus there would be, together with the other double-basses tuned in fourths, an increase of open strings most favorable to sonorosity.

Double-basses tuned in thirds and fifths.

Double-basses tuned in fourths.

To double-basses belong, in the orchestra, the lowest sounds of the harmony. In a preceding chapter, it has been stated, upon what occasion they may be separated from the violoncellos; and then may be palliated, to a certain degree, the defect which arises for the bases out of this disposal, by doubling them in octave, or in unison with the bassoons, the corni di bassetto, the bass clarinets, or the ordinary clarinets, in the extreme lower notes. But for my part, I detest the mode which certain musicians have, on such occasions, of using trombones and ophicleides—the quality of tone of which, having neither sympathy nor analogy with that of double-basses, of course mixes execrably with it. There are cases where the harmonics of the double-basses may be successfully introduced. The extreme tension of the string, their length, and their distance from the finger-board, do not permit however, of having recourse to artificial harmonics; as for natural harmonics, they come out very well, particularly commencing from the first octave, occupying the middle of the string; they are the same, in the octave below, as those of violoncellos. Strictly speaking, chords and arpeggios may be used on the double-bass; but it must be by giving them two or three notes at the utmost, of which only one need not be open.
The intermittent tremolo may easily be obtained, thanks to the elasticity of the bow, which causes it to rebound several times on the strings, when a single blow is somewhat sharply struck.

This does not hold good in the following passage; it is only to be rendered by means of the continuous tremolo, with some trouble, and by striking the strings with the end of the bow, which lacks force, and brings out little tone.

The semiquavers meeting only at the commencement of each accented part of the bar with the quavers in triplets of the other part, produce a dull murmur something like the tremolo, which is thus tolerably well supplied. On many occasions, these different rhythms thus heard together, are even preferable. Rapid diatonic groups of four or five notes have frequently an admirable effect, and are readily executed, provided the passage contain at least one open string:

More difficult, on account of the descending notes:

If a long rapid passage be absolutely requisite for the double-basses, the best way is to divide them, and apply the dispersing process recommended for violins; at the same time, taking great care not to remove the first double-basses from the seconds.

They are so inocuous, now-a-days, as to write for the heaviest of all instruments, passages of such rapidity, that violoncellos themselves would find difficulty in executing them. Whence results a serious inconvenience: lazy or incapable double-bass players, dismayed by such difficulties, give them up at the first glance, and set themselves to simplifying the passage; but this simplifying of some, not being that of others, since they have not all the same ideas upon the harmonical importance of the various notes contained in the passage, there ensues a horrible disorder and confusion. This buzzing chaos, full of strange noises and hideous grumblings, is completed and still heightened by the other double-bass players, either more zealous, or more confident of ability, who toil away in ineffectual efforts at executing the passage just as it is written. Composers should therefore be careful to ask of double-basses no more than possible things; of which the good execution shall not remain doubtful. It is enough to say, that the old system of double-bass players, who simplify,—a system generally adopted in the ancient instrumental school, and of which the danger has just been demonstrated,—is at present utterly renounced. If the author have written no other than passages suitable to the instrument, the performer must play them, nothing more, nor nothing less. When the blame lies with the composer, it is he, and the audience, who take the consequences; and the performer is no longer responsible.
Flights of little notes, before large ones:

are executed by sliding rapidly on the string, without paying attention to the precision of any of the intermediate sounds; and have an extremely good effect. The furious shock given to the whole orchestra by the double-basses coming upon the high F, by four little preceding notes, B, C, D, E, in the infernal scene in *Orfeo*, on the words, "At the dire howling of Cerberus," is well known. This hoarse barking,—one of the finest inspirations of Gluck,—is rendered the more terrible, by the author having placed it on the third inversion of the chord of the diminished seventh (F, G, B, D); and, for the sake of giving his idea all the effect and vehemence possible, he has doubled the double-basses in the octave, not only with the violoncellos, but with the violas, and the entire mass of violins:

No. 14.
Beethoven, also, has availed himself of these scarcely articulate notes; but (contrary to the previous example), by accenting the first note of the group more than the last. He has done this in this passage of the Storm in the Pastoral Symphony; which so well depicts the raging of a violent wind and rain, with the muffled rumblings of the gust. It is to be observed, that Beethoven, in this example, and in many other passages, has given to the double-basses deep notes, beyond their power of executing, which leads to the supposition, that the orchestra he wrote for, possessed double-basses descending as low as the C, an octave below the violoncello C,—no longer to be found now-a-days.
Sometimes it has a fine and dramatic effect, to give the violoncellos the real bass, or, at least, the notes which determine the chords, and strike the accented parts of the bar: while beneath them, the double-bass has an isolated part, the design of which, interrupted by rests, allows the harmony to rest upon the violoncellos. Beethoven, in his admirable scene of Fidelio, where Leonora and the jailer are digging Florestan's grave, has displayed all the pathetic and gloomy sadness of this mode of instrumentation. He has, however, given, in this case, the real bass to the double-basses:—

No. 16.

Andante con moto.

Clarinetts in D.

Basses.

Horns in C.

Tenor Trombone.

Bass Trombone.

Double Bassoon.

1st Violins with sordine.

2nd Violins with sordine.

Violes with sordine.

Violoncelli.

Contre-basse.
Come dig away, for time flies quickly,
Ne tardons point travaillons si to,
the governor will soon be
le gouverneur bientôt vies...
LENDON.

Your sea-lous toll should well con-

here;...comedy a-way, The governor will soon be here.

dou... le gouver-nour, le gouverneur bien-loi viendro.
Your zealous toil should well content him; My willing aid you shall not

Du sole ar- dent qui vous a-gi- te sans doute un jour il vous paise.

Nor... will soon be here.

Now... bientôt viendra.
Quick here your hand, to help me lift this burden.
Viens donc m'aider enlevez cette pierre
my fa- ther, my
mom pê - re, mom

'tis well, 'tis well,
fort bien, fort bien,
Do not give way!
soutiens la bien
father, I'll do my best to give assistance.

pé - re est - ce bien là ce qu'il faut sui - re

push steadily.

'Tis

rem - ov - so

fort
father, I'll do my best to give assistance.

It moves!

push steadily.

'Tis

fort
One effort more
Ah! what a load!
Ah, what a load
Ah! what a load
Ah! what a load
Ah! what a load
Ah! what a load
Ah! what a load
Ah! what a load
Ah! what a load

Do not give way.
'Tis done at last.

Blessed.

Soutiens le bien.
Je le vois bien.
With the view of expressing a lugubrious silence, I have, in this cantata, endeavoured to divide theIBLE-basses into four parts; causing them to sustain rather long pianissimo chords, beneath a decrescendo all the rest of the orchestra.

No. 17.

The 5th of May, or, the Emperor's death.—Beethoven.

Ah! how mournful the vision, the flag is black! What! he is dead!

Mette que vois—je au risque ! Un drapeau noir ! Quid ! lui mourir !
He is dead! He! O glory, lonely widow;

lui meurt! lui! O gloire quel naufrage!

He is dead! He! O glory, lonely widow!

lui meurt! lui! O gloire quel naufrage!
Far from that rock let us hurry in silence; star of the day, cease to shine in the
Lois de ce roc nous fuyons en silence, l'astre du jour, abandonnez-le
Star of the day, cease to shine in the sky.

Citizen, l'entre du jour a-bom-dou ne les Citoyen.

Ah!

Ah!
The pizzicato of double-basses, either loud or soft, is of good sonoroussness; unless it be employed on very high sounds; but it changes character, according to the harmonies beneath which it occurs. Thus, the famous pizzicato A, in the overture to Freischutz, is big with threats and infernal accents, only because of the reflex of the chord of the diminished seventh, (F#, A, C, Bb,) the first inversion of which it resolves on the unaccented part of the bar. Let it become the major tonic, or dominant, produced mezzo-forte, as in the case in question, and this A would no longer have anything strange in its effect. Sordinas are employed on double-basses, as on other instruments played with a bow; but the effect they produce is little marked: they only diminish somewhat the sonoroussness of double-basses, by rendering it more gloomy and more vague. A Piedmontese artist, M. Langlois, who played in Paris about fifteen years ago, obtained with the bow, by pinching the high string of the double-bass between the thumb and forefinger of the left hand, instead of pressing it on the finger-board, and by rising thus, nearly to the bridge, high sounds of singular acuteness, and incredible power. If there were need to introduce in the orchestra, a loud female cry, no instrument could better utter it than double-basses employed in this way. I doubt whether our artists are acquainted with M. Langlois' method of producing acute sounds; but they would soon be able to acquire the knowledge.
Stringed Instruments played with the hand.

The Harp.

This instrument is essentially anti-chromatic; that is to say, successions by half-tones are almost interdicted for it. The reason of this will be presently stated. Its compass was formerly but five octaves and a sixth:

\[
\text{\begin{align*}
\text{C}_{\sharp} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{C}_{\sharp} \\
\text{D}_{\sharp} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{D}_{\sharp} \\
\text{E}_{\sharp} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{E}_{\sharp} \\
\text{F}_{\sharp} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{F}_{\sharp} \\
\text{G}_{\sharp} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{G}_{\sharp} \\
\text{A}_{\sharp} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{A}_{\sharp} \\
\text{B}_{\sharp} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{B}_{\sharp} \\
\end{align*}}
\]

This scale, it will be seen, belongs to the key of $E_{\flat}$; and in this key it was, that all harps were tuned: when the skilful manufacturer, Erard, seeking to remedy the inconveniences of this system, invented the mechanism which obviated them, and proposed tuning the harp in $G_{\flat}$; which has been adopted by all harp players of the present day. The chromatic intervals can be obtained on the ancient harp only by means of seven pedals, put in motion by the player, and fixed one after the other with the foot, each of which heightens by half a tone, the note to which its mechanism applies, but throughout the extent of the scale, and not singly. Thus the $F_{\sharp}$ pedal cannot sharpen an $F$, without sharpening all the other $E$s in the scale by the same action. Hence it results, that every chromatic scale (unless in an excessively slow movement), every progression of chords proceeding chromatically, or belonging to different keys, the majority of florid passages containing appoggiaturas with accidentals, or small chromatic notes, are all impracticable, or, at best, extremely difficult, and detestably ugly. There are even on the harp in $E_{\flat}$, four chords of seventh major, and four chords of ninth major, totally impossible to play; and which ought therefore to be banished from the composer's store of harmonies. These are they:

\[
\text{\begin{align*}
\text{C}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{C}_{\flat} \\
\text{D}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{D}_{\flat} \\
\text{E}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{E}_{\flat} \\
\text{F}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{F}_{\flat} \\
\text{G}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{G}_{\flat} \\
\text{A}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{A}_{\flat} \\
\text{B}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{B}_{\flat} \\
\end{align*}}
\]

It is, in fact, evident that every chord in which $C_{\flat}$ is to be heard simultaneously with $B_{\flat}$, cannot be possible; since (the harp being tuned in $E_{\flat}$, and the pedals raising each string only a half-tone) $C_{\flat}$ can only be produced by taking the $B_{\flat}$ pedal, which immediately destroys all the $E$s in the scale. The same is the case with the $D_{\flat}$, which results from raising the $C_{\flat}$; and with the $G_{\flat}$, produced by the raising of the $F$. The mechanism of the pedals of the harp in $E_{\flat}$, only serving to restore the three flattened notes ($B$, $E$, $A$) to their natural state, and to sharpen four other notes ($F$, $C$, $G$, $D$), it follows, that this harp can only be prepared in eight keys; namely, $E_{\flat}$, $B_{\flat}$, $F$, $C$, $G$, $D$, $A$, $E$. The flattened keys are only produced in harmonies, and by taking and leaving quickly one or more pedals. In $A_{\flat}$, for instance, the $D_{\flat}^{\sharp}$ is only the harmonic of $C_{\flat}$; and the player should quit this $C_{\flat}$ pedal immediately he has taken it; otherwise, he will not be able to make the $C_{\flat}$ heard, the major third of the key in which he is playing; and more generally, he must skip a string ($D_{\flat}^{\sharp}$) when ascending diatonically, which is so inconvenient, that the use of such scales may be considered impracticable:

\[
\text{\begin{align*}
\text{C}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{C}_{\flat} \\
\text{D}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{D}_{\flat} \\
\text{E}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{E}_{\flat} \\
\text{F}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{F}_{\flat} \\
\text{G}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{G}_{\flat} \\
\text{A}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{A}_{\flat} \\
\text{B}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{B}_{\flat} \\
\end{align*}}
\]

This inconvenience, as this difficulty become doubled in $D_{\flat}$ and in $G_{\flat}$, both keys nearly inaccessible, except for certain chords. Again, the key of $G_{\flat}$, like that of $C_{\flat}$, presents another difficulty, that of compelling the player to an actual transposition for some notes of his scale; since he must strike the $F_{\sharp}$ string when the written note is $G_{\flat}$; the $B_{\flat}^{\sharp}$ string when the note is $C_{\flat}$; and the $C_{\flat}$ string when the note is $D_{\flat}$. As for the key of $C_{\flat}$, it becomes less inaccessible, if written in its own form, that of $B_{\flat}^{\sharp}$; but all the pedals being taken, there still remains to be overcome in this scale (as in that of $A_{\flat}$) the horrible difficulty of skipping a string, and of quitting a pedal to retake it again, for the leading note (in harmonic) and the tonic, which occur upon the same string:

\[
\text{\begin{align*}
\text{C}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{C}_{\flat} \\
\text{D}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{D}_{\flat} \\
\text{E}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{E}_{\flat} \\
\text{F}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{F}_{\flat} \\
\text{G}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{G}_{\flat} \\
\text{A}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{A}_{\flat} \\
\text{B}_{\flat} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{B}_{\flat} \\
\end{align*}}
\]

It will be perceived that, for the execution of a chromatic scale of two octaves' extent, like this:

\[
\text{\begin{align*}
\text{\textit{Allegro.}} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{\textit{Allegro.}} \\
\text{\textit{Ped.}} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{\textit{Ped.}} \\
\text{\textit{Ped.}} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{\textit{Ped.}} \\
\text{\textit{Ped.}} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{\textit{Ped.}} \\
\text{\textit{Ped.}} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{\textit{Ped.}} \\
\text{\textit{Ped.}} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{\textit{Ped.}} \\
\end{align*}}
\]

it is necessary to put in action five pedals, rapidly in succession, for the first octave only; and also that they must all be very promptly quitted, in order to replace in their primitive condition those notes which they raised, and which are to recur in the upper octave, to be again retaken as in the first octave. Such a scale therefore, even in a movement of moderate time, is impossible for any harp. If the object be a succession of chords belonging to different keys, the impossibility becomes still more evident; because, in that case, several pedals will have to be taken at once and successively:

\[
\text{\begin{align*}
\text{\textit{Allegro.}} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{\textit{Allegro.}} \\
\text{\textit{Ped.}} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{\textit{Ped.}} \\
\text{\textit{Ped.}} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{\textit{Ped.}} \\
\text{\textit{Ped.}} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{\textit{Ped.}} \\
\text{\textit{Ped.}} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{\textit{Ped.}} \\
\text{\textit{Ped.}} & \quad \text{octave} \quad \text{\textit{Ped.}} \\
\end{align*}}
\]

Certain appoggiaturas and ornaments containing chromatic successions, may, in fact, be executed after a fashion: but the majority of these ornaments, as I have already observed, are scarcely practicable; and
those which form the exceptions, produce a very indifferent effect, on account of the influence which the movement of the pedal taken and quitted at the same instant, exercises over the sonorouslyness of the string:

Possible.

Allegro.

Possible.

Moderato.

Better.

Allegro.

The following example, on the contrary, and all those, which, like it, contain several semitones in a short space, and in a quick movement, are next to impossible:

Allegro.

It should now be stated, that the harp being played with two hands, is therefore written for upon two lines. The lower line usually has the F clef, and the upper one the G clef; according to the height of the bass notes, or the depth of the treble notes, the G clef or the F clef may also find themselves on the two lines at one and the same time.

It will be seen that this disposal renders the inexecutable passages still more numerous for the harp in B♭; since a passage that may be easy for the right hand, becomes impossible, if the left hand wish to strike certain notes of accompaniment which are altered by a pedal in the melody, while the harmony admits of them only in their ordinary condition:

The two chords marked with a cross cannot be played; since they contain an F♯, sharpened in the upper part. In such a case, therefore, the note which thus presents itself under a double aspect, must be suppressed in one or other of the parts. In the preceding example, it is better to mutilate the chord in the left hand, and leave out the F♯.

When a melody already played by other instruments is to be repeated on the harp, and contains chromatic passages either impossible or hazardous, it should be dexterously modified, by substituting for one or more of the altered notes, other notes comprised in the harmony. Thus, instead of giving to the harp the following air, as previously played by the violins

the author should have written it in the following manner:

The nature of the harp's mechanism requires the sacrifice of the four successive semitones in the third bar.

Struck with the important obstacles just cited, M. Erard invented, some years ago, that mechanism which has given to instruments so constructed the name of double-action harps. This is of what it consists; and wherefore it allows the harp—if not to play chromatic successions, at least to play in all keys, and to strike or arpeggio all chords.

The double-action harp is tuned in C♯; and its compass is six octaves and a quarter:

The seven pedals with which it is furnished are made so that the player may, by means of each of them, raise at option each string a tone, or a semitone only. By taking in succession the seven semitone pedals, the harp in C♯ can therefore be set in G♯, in D♯, in A♭, in E♭, in B♭, in F, or in C♯. In still farther raising each string another semitone, by means of the second action of the pedals, the seven notes of the natural scale will become sharpened; since the seven pedals produce F♯, G♯, D♯, A♭, E♭, and B♭, which gives to the harp the power of playing in the keys of G, D, E, B, F♯, and C♯.

These, then, are all the keys accessible to the harp; only, the minor scales cannot be set, unless by treating them in ascending as in descending, without regarding the usage adopted with respect to the sixth and seventh notes; otherwise, two pedals must be taken and quitted:

By adopting the interval of the augmented second between the sixth and seventh note, the minor scale can be set, and the accidental use of the pedals will not be necessary; which is a considerable advantage, and should suffice to make this scale preferred:

As for the chords interdicted to the harp in E♭, it will be seen that the double action renders them possible.
Nothing more easy than to produce these four notes being in the scale of the harp in Cb.

The chord, merely requires the use of the two semitone pedals, D♭ and F♯; wants only two also, F♯ and C♯; must have three, C♭, E♭, and G♭; need have but one, F♭; and requires three, F♯, A♭, and C♭.

All this is done without difficulty. Even the chord which seems to contain at once C♯ and C♭, is equally practicable:

The D♭♭ (or C♭) is gained by means of the pedal raising the C♭ a semitone; and the C♭ is produced by the pedal which raises the B♭ a semitone. The A♭♭ comes from the G♭ raised a semitone, and the F♭ has no need of a pedal, being in the scale of the harp in C♭. This chord, such as I have just written it, will therefore be played under this singular form: Therefore, it would be better to write it in C major, and under the following aspect:

If double-action harps have to be employed in an orchestral piece set for other instruments in B♭ major, it would be greatly better for the sonorosity, and for the convenience of execution, to write them transposed into their key of C♭:

Composers should have a care, in writing harp parts, to forewarn the player, a little in advance, of the change he will have to make, and of the pedal he will soon have to take, by these words placed a few bars before the occurrence of the modulation:

Prepare the G♭, Prepare the key of C♭, etc.

The nature of the instrument having been explained, we proceed now to the fingering; which many composers confound with that of the pianoforte, which it nowise resembles. With each hand, chords of four notes may be struck, of which the two extreme notes do not extend beyond an octave:

Also, by a great stretch of the thumb and little finger, chords of a tenth may be reached; and consequently, such chords as these may be struck:

But this position is less convenient, less natural, and therefore less sonorous; since none of the fingers can attack the string with as much force as in the ordinary position. Incidentally, those chords shall be cited, which, lying in the extreme lower part of the instrument, form groups without sonorosity, and produce confused harmonies that should be avoided: These deep sounds are only fit for doubling a bass in the octave below:

The successive execution of the notes of a chord, either ascending or descending, is perfectly in the character of the harp; it is even after its Italian name, arpa, that these passages have obtained the title of arpeggios. Generally speaking, they should not exceed an octave extent; particularly, if the movement be quick; otherwise, they would necessitate a change of position of extreme difficulty.

The note which exceeds the extent of an octave should never be written but for the termination of a phrase; as thus:

The following is very easy; because the change of position occurring from below to above does not require the use of the little finger, which can be little employed, or merely for playing two notes consecutively with the third:

Care should be taken, in general, not to write for the hands too near together; and to keep them sepa-
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rated by an octave or a sixth at least, otherwise they interfere with each other.

Moreover, if the two hands play an arpeggio chord in thirds with each other, the same string being retained by the finger of one hand, at the moment when that of the other hand has just played it, it necessarily follows that the string has not time to vibrate, and that its sound is stifled as soon as born:

Very bad:

Very good; because of the distance between the two hands:

All successions which oblige the same fingers to skip from one string to another, can only be written for a movement in very moderate time.

When a rapid series of diatonic octaves is desired, they should generally be written for the two hands. This equally applies to series of sixths. They are always—as with scales in thirds—practicable for a single hand: but only in descending; the thumb then sliding from one to the other the upper notes, while the lower notes are played by the three fingers,

Difficult; because of the stretch it occasions between the thumb and fingers:

Less difficult:

Less difficult:

As an exception to what has been said above respecting the distance between the parts, these same scales in thirds are practicable for two hands; because, in the diatonic movement, the inconvenience of a string taken by one finger and retaken by another, is much less great, from the intermediate note allowing a little more time for vibration. Nevertheless, it is still better, either to write these series of thirds for two harps, by giving the higher part to one and the lower part to another; or,—if there be but one harp, and much sound is wished to be obtained,—by separating the parts an octave, and then to write series of tenths.

If the object be to let a rapid ascending or descending arpeggio be heard, which exceeds the extent of an octave, instead of writing it in two parts, it should be dispersed, by giving a fragment to one hand while the other changes its position; and so on, reciprocally. The passage would then be written thus:

If doubled in the octave, it would be impracticable.

Impossible in a quick movement; but possible in a slow one:

The shake exists for the harp; but its effect is only tolerable on the high notes. The iteration of the same note, unpleasant and difficult upon ancient harps, on account of the slight grating produced upon the string by the second finger which comes to strike it after the first, and interrupts its vibration,

is easy, and of good effect upon modern harps: the double action of the pedals allowing the player to raise by a tone the next string to the one which gives the iterated sound, so that the iteration is thus produced on two strings in unison:

Iteration in two or four parts (very useful sometimes in the orchestra) may likewise be obtained, and more simply, by employing two or more harps, and by writing cross fires, which present no difficulty in the execution, and produce precisely the desired effect:
The effect of harps (when not speaking of familiar music intended to be heard near, as in a private room) is in proportion better, as they are in greater number. The notes, the chords, or the arpeggios which they then throw out amidst the orchestra and choir, are of extreme splendour. Nothing can be more in keeping with ideas of poetic festivities, or religious rites, than the sound of a large body of harps ingeniously introduced. Alone, or in groups of two, three, or four, they have also a most happy effect, either uniting with the orchestra, or serving to accompany voices and solo instruments. Of all known qualities of tone, it is singular that the quality of horns, of trombones, and generally of brass instruments, mingles best with theirs. The lower strings (exclusive of the soft and dull strings of the extreme depth), the sound of which is so veiled, so mysterious, and so fine, have scarcely ever been employed but for bass accompaniments of the left hand; and the more the pity. It is true, that harp-players care little to play long pieces among these octaves so far removed from the body of the performer, that he must lean forward, with his arms at full length, maintaining this awkward posture for more or less time; but this motive can have had but little weight with composers. The fact is, they have not thought to avail themselves of this especial quality in tone.

Example of a beautiful and soft sonorosity in the bass strings.

The strings of the last upper octave have a delicate crystalline sound, of voluptuous freshness, which renders them fit for the expression of graceful fairy-like ideas, and for giving murmuring utterance to the sweetest secrets of smiling melodies; on condition, nevertheless, of their never being attacked with violence by the performer; as, in this case, they yield a dry hard sound, similar to that of broken glass,—disagreeable and snapping.

The harmonics of the harp,—particularly of many harps in unison,—are still more magical. Solo-players frequently employ them in the pedal-points and cadences of their fantasias, variations, and concertos. But nothing comes near the sonorosity of these mysterious notes, when united to chords from flutes and clarinets playing in the medium. It is really strange, that only once,—and that not more than three years ago,—the affinity of these qualities, and the poetry of their association, should have been demonstrated. *

The best, and almost the only, harmonics for the harp, are those obtained by touching with the lower and fleshy part of the palm of the hand the centre of the string, while playing with the thumb and two first fingers of the same hand; thus producing the high octave of the usual sound. Harmonics may be produced by both hands.

It is even possible to produce two or three at a time, with one hand; but then, it is prudent to let the other have but one note to play.

* See example (No. 3) of the harmonics on the violin employed in combination with those on the harp.
All the strings of the harp are not fit for harmonics: only the two last low octaves should be employed for this purpose; they being the sole ones of which the strings are sufficiently long to admit of being divided by touching in the centre, and sufficiently tightened, for neatly producing harmonics.

Harmonics.

In case the quickness of the composition and the character of the instrumentation demands a speedy transition of a harp part from one key into another, very remote from that which precedes it (from Eb into E₄, for instance), it cannot be effected upon the same instrument; there must then be a harp tuned in the sharpened key, to succeed immediately the one playing in the flattened key. If the transition be not sudden, and that there be but one harp-player to be had, the composer must still let the performer have a sufficient number of rests to give him time to apply the requisite pedals for modulation. When the harps are numerous,—treated as integral parts of the orchestra, and not merely used to accompany a vocal or instrumental solo,—they are generally divided into firsts and seconds, with distinct written parts for each; which greatly adds to the richness of their effect. A still greater number of different harp-parts might doubtless be excellently employed; and indeed, they become indispensable, as has just been seen, when the object is to render possible, without interruption to their playing, a sudden change of key.

The Theban bas-reliefs, where an elaborate representation of antique harps may be found, prove that they had no pedals; and that, consequently, they were incapable of modulation.

Those, not less antique, employed in our own days, by the Welsh and Irish bards, have several rows of strings; and without doubt, this arrangement places modulations and the chromatic style more or less within their power.

I have specified above, in speaking of iteration, the essential advantage possessed by modern harps, of placing two strings in unison, by means of the double-action pedals:

One of these C₄'s being produced by the C₄ string, and the other by the D₄ string raised a semitone; or one of these E₅'s being produced by the E₅ string, and the other by the F₅ string raised two semitones. It is hardly to be believed the resources which great harp-players at present are able to derive from these double notes,—which they have named synonimes. Mr. Parish Alvares, the most extraordinary player, perhaps, that has ever been heard on this instrument, executes passages and arpeggios which at first sight appear utterly impossible; and of which the whole difficulty, nevertheless, consists only in an ingenious use of the pedals. He plays, for instance, with wonderful rapidity, passages like the following:

It will be understood how easy such a passage is, when found that the player has only to slide three fingers from top to bottom along the harp-strings, without fingers, and as fast as he likes; since, by means of synonimes, the instrument is self-tuned exclusively in series of minor thirds, producing the chord of the diminished seventh; and that, instead of having for the scale:

he has:

Only, the A₆ must be remarked; which cannot be double, and therefore has no repercussion. In fact, it is not possible to have four synonimes at once, since there are only seven notes in the scale, and that four synonimes would require eight strings. Moreover, be it observed, that the A₆ can only be obtained upon one string (the A₆ string), and does not exist upon the next string (the G₃ string); this latter being only to be raised by the two actions of the pedal two semitones, which only bring it to A₆. This objection occurs also upon two other strings, that of C₄ and F₄.

There are therefore still wanting on the harp three synonimes, D₃, G₄, and A₆; but this defect—for it is a serious one—will be obviated when the manufacturers contrive (as Mr. Parish Alvares proposes) to construct for the harp-pedals of the three notes, C₄, F₄, and G₄, a triple action which will permit them to be raised three semitones.

Mr. Erard will be wrong to suffer such a want still to remain in this instrument; it would be worthy so skilful a manufacturer's ingenuity to be the first to supply it.

It is evident that if all the synonim strings be not used at once, there will be other chords left than those of the diminished seventh; and the various combinations that each affords, when an exact account is taken of the action of the pedals on the strings, will be still more numerous when the triple action of the pedals, C₄, F₄, and G₄, shall have furnished the three synonimes of which the harp at present is deficient.

The Guitar.

The guitar is an instrument suited for accompanying the voice, and for figuring in a few unison compositions, as also for executing singly pieces more or less complicated in several parts, which possess a true charm when performed by really good players.
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The guitar has six strings, tuned in fourths and thirds, as thus:

\[ \text{Chord A} \]

It is sometimes tuned in the following manner; especially for pieces written in the key of E:

\[ \text{Chord B} \]

The three lower strings are of silk covered with silver wire; and the three others, of catgut. The guitar is a transposing instrument of three octaves and a fifth in compass; and written for with the G clef, an octave above the real sound:

\[ \text{Chord C} \]

With the chromatic intervals.

\[ \text{Chord D} \]

Major and minor shakes can be played throughout the extent of this scale.

It is almost impossible to write well for the guitar without being a player on the instrument. The majority of composers who employ it, are, however, far from knowing its powers; and therefore they frequently assign it things to play, of excessive difficulty, of little sonorosity, and little effect.

It shall be our endeavour, notwithstanding, to point out the proper method of writing simple accompaniments for it.

In the usual position of the right hand, the little finger resting upon the body of the instrument, the thumb is used for playing the three lower strings, \[ \text{Chord E} \]; the forefinger plays the G, \[ \text{Chord F} \]; the middle finger, the B, \[ \text{Chord G} \]; and the third finger, the first string, or E, \[ \text{Chord H} \]; whence it arises that in playing chords of more than four notes, the thumb is obliged to slide over one or two of the lower strings, while the three fingers directly strike the three high strings. In chords of four notes, each finger strikes only the string appropriated to it; the fingers change strings only when there is occasion to strike low chords like these:

\[ \text{Chord I} \]

The guitar being especially an instrument of harmony, it is very important to know the chords and likewise the arpeggios which it can execute.

Here is a certain number in different keys. We will commence by the easiest—those which are played without the use of Barré (marked Barré), a procedure by which the forefinger of the left hand placed across the neck of the instrument, upon two, three, or four strings, serves as a temporary fret. (The fret is the little piece across the neck, on which rests the strings, and which decides the proper length to be put in vibration.)

\[ \text{Chord J} \]

And all the portions of these chords.

\[ \text{Chord K} \]

The flat keys are incomparably more difficult than the preceding; and all require Barré. The most easy chords are the following:

\[ \text{Chord L} \]

In all chords, the employment of the first and the third of the lower strings without the second should be avoided; because the thumb would then be obliged to skip over this second string in order to go from the first to the third:

\[ \text{Chord M} \]

It is impossible to strike these chords; but, by adding the second string to them, they become easy:

\[ \text{Chord N} \]
Chords of the dominant seventh also should not be written in the usual position of three thirds above each other, as—

They are next to impossible; this one is difficult, but still, practicable, on account of the G, which is played on an open string. The following is very easy, and at the same time sonorous:— on account of the E on the open string.

The three following chords are easy, and link well together, in all keys:

Likewise in F, in G, in Ab, &c.:

Of course, these chords may sometimes have more than four notes, in keys which permit their having a low open string; in A, for instance, in E, in G, in F; in short, wherever one of these three notes may be introduced as the bass:

This succession, which requires Barrage (marked Barré) of four strings, is equally practicable on the two lower thirds of the neck of the guitar:

and then, ascending by semitones to which is the extreme point of height where this fingering can be employed.

The following arpeggios have an excellent effect on the guitar:

In this last arpeggio the two bound high notes are played by catching the first string with the little finger of the left hand.

Arpeggios from high to low are rather troublesome of execution, but quite feasible:

The same, reversed, are, on the contrary, very easy.

On account of the retrograde movement of the thumb on the two low notes, the following are much more difficult, and less advantageous:

Scales bound by twos and twos, with the reiteration of a note, are elegant, and tolerably sonorous; particularly in the brilliant keys of the instrument:

Scales in thirds, although difficult in their two extremes, may be used in a moderately quick movement:

This applies equally to series of sixths and octaves.

Reiterated notes, two, three, four, and even six or eight times repeated, are easily done; prolonged reiterations (roulements) on the same note are rarely good excepting on the first string, or at the utmost on the three high strings:
The fifth should produce the twelfth.

The fourth should produce the double octave.

The major third produces the seventeenth.

The minor third produces the nineteenth, or octave above the eighteenth.

These latter harmonics are the least sonorous, and are obtained with difficulty. It should be understood that this expression of real harmonics is relative to the diapason belonging to the guitar, and not to diapason in general; for, strictly speaking, these real harmonics are heard an octave below, like all the other sounds on this instrument.

On each string, moreover, chromatic and diatonic scales in artificial harmonics can be produced. For this purpose, the fingers of the left hand must be pressed on the notes desired to be heard an octave higher; and then to touch the middle of the string with the right forefinger, and to play behind the forefinger with the thumb of the same hand.
studied it, and still study it, as a solo instrument; in such a way as to derive effects from it, no less original than delightful. Composers employ it but little, either in church music, theatrical music, or concert music. Its feasible amount of sonority, which does not admit of its being united with other instruments, or with many voices possessed but of ordinary brilliancy, is doubtless the cause of this. Nevertheless, its melancholy and dreamy character might more frequently be made available; it has a real charm of its own, and there would be no imposability in writing for it so that this should be made manifest. The guitar—unlike the majority of instruments—loses by being employed in aggregate. The sound of twelve guitars playing in unison is almost absurd.

The Mandolin.

The mandolin has almost fallen into desuetude at present; and this is a pity: for its quality of tone—thin and nasal though it be—has something appealing and original about it, which might occasionally be made of effective use.

There are several kinds of mandolins; the best known has four double strings; that is to say, four times two strings in unison, and tuned in fifths, like the viola.

It is written on the G clef:

The E strings are of catgut; the A strings, of steel; the D strings, of copper; and the G strings, of catgut covered with silver wire.

The compass of the mandolin is about three octaves:

With the chromatic intervals.

It is an instrument more for melody than for harmony; its strings being put in vibration with a quill or plectrum, which the player holds in the left hand, certainly may allow chords of four notes to be heard, such as these—

obtained by passing the quill rapidly over the four double notes; but the effect of these groups of simultaneous notes is rather poor, and the mandolin has its real character and effect only in such melodious accompaniments as the one written by Mozart in the second act of Don Giovanni:
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The mandolin is at present so neglected, that, in theatres where *Don Giovanni* is got up, there is always a difficulty in performing this serenade piece. Although a few days' study would enable a guitar-player, or even an ordinary violin-player, to acquire sufficient knowledge of the mandolin for the purpose, yet so little respect is entertained for the intentions of the great masters, whenever it is a question of breaking through old habits, that almost everywhere, even at the Opera (the last place in the world where such liberties should be taken), they venture to play the mandolin part of *Don Giovanni* on violins pizzicato, or on guitars. The quality of these instruments has not the keen delicacy of that for which they are substituted; and Mozart quite well knew what he was about in choosing the mandolin for accompanying the amorous lay of his hero.

**Stringed Instruments with Keys.**

**The Pianoforte.**

The pianoforte is an instrument with a key-board and metallic strings, put in vibration by hammers. Its present compass is six octaves and seven-eighths. It is written on two different clefs at once: the F clef is appropriated to the left hand; and the G clef, to the right hand. Sometimes, also,—according to the degree of height or depth of the passages assigned to the two hands, the clefs are interchanged:

The shake is practicable on all the notes of the scale. The player may strike or arpeggio in any way, and with both hands, a chord of four or even five notes; but, at the same time, they should be written as close as possible:

Chords struck, embracing an interval of a tenth, are possible however; but by omitting the third, and even the octave, for greater facility. Thus they are presented:

Four, and even five real parts may be written for the pianoforte, by taking care not to place between the extreme parts of each hand, a distance greater than an octave or a ninth at most; unless indeed the pedal which raises the dampers be used, which, by prolonging the sounds without the player's finger remaining on the key-board, allows of augmenting the distance between the parts:

An example, without employing the pedal. In four parts:

With all the chromatic intervals.
This sign $\Phi$ indicates that the dampers must be replaced by quitting the pedal; it is mostly need when the harmony changes, in order to prevent the vibration of the notes of the last chord from continuing on to the following chord. With a view to this excessive prolongation of the sound of each note, care should be taken as much as possible, in employing the principal pedal, to avoid appoggiaturas with accidentals [allegretto], and passing notes in the middle of the instrument; because these notes, being prolonged like the others, and thereby becoming introduced into the harmony—to which nevertheless they do not belong—produce intolerable discords. In the upper extremity of the key-board only, where the very short strings do not maintain their sound so long, these melodic ornaments are practicable.

The hands are sometimes made to cross—either in obliging the right hand to pass over the left, or in causing the left to pass over the right:

The number of combinations of this kind, among the various parts for execution on the pianoforte, is very considerable. It would, indeed, be impossible to instance them all here. It is only by studying the compositions of the great performers—those of Liszt especially,—that a just idea can be formed of the excellence to which the art of pianoforte-playing has now-a-days attained.

It will there be seen that the limits of possibility on this instrument are unknown; and that every day new prodigies accomplished by performers, seem to remove these limits yet farther.

For the pianoforte, as for the harp, it is better in certain cases—in arpeggios, for example—not to bring the hands too near each other. An arpeggio like the following would be rather inconvenient:

It would therefore be incomparably better to write it thus:

Diatonic and chromatic scales in thirds, for both hands, are, however, easy:

These same scales in two parts, are practicable by one hand alone: although difficult, in a quick movement. Moreover, in keys where there are few sharps
and flats, the two hands may be written for, in series of sixth-thirds in three parts:

Besides, the pianoforte at the point of perfection to which our skilful manufacturers have brought it now-a-days, may be considered in a double point of view: as an orchestral instrument, or as forming a complete small orchestra in itself. On only one occasion has it been deemed well to employ the pianoforte in the orchestra under the same class as other instruments; that is to say, letting it bring to the aggregate its own peculiar resources,—which nothing can replace. Certain passages in Beethoven's concertos ought, nevertheless, to have drawn the attention of composers to this point. Doubtless they have all admired the marvellous effect produced, in his grand concerto in E♭, by the slow beatings ['batteries'] of the two hands on the piano in the high octave; during the air for the flute, clarinet, and bassoon, and upon the contratempo of the stringed instruments. Thus surrounded, the sonorousness of the pianoforte is of the most seductive kind; it is full of calm and freshness; and is a type of grace itself:

No. 19. Concerto in E♭—Beethoven.
The sole occasion alluded to, on which the pianoforte has been thus used, is quite different. The author, in a chorus of airy spirits, has employed two pianos for four hands in accompanying the voices. The lower pair of hands execute rapid ascending arpeggios in triplets, to which respond, at the second half of the bar, another (descending) arpeggio in three parts executed by a piccolo flute, a flute, and a clarinet, upon which trembles a double shake in octaves by the upper pair of pianoforte hands. No other known instrument could produce this sort of harmonious quivering which the pianoforte delivers without difficulty; and which the sylph-like character of the piece here renders desirable:—
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Whenever, on the contrary, the pianoforte is made to go beyond soft effects, and attempt a forcible competition with the orchestra, it vanishes entirely. It should accompany, or be accompanied; unless, like harps, it be employed in large numbers. This would not be unadvisable, I feel persuaded; but there would always be—on account of the large space they occupy—much difficulty in assembling a dozen grand pianos in a moderate sized orchestra. Considered as a small orchestra in itself, the pianoforte should have its own appropriate instrumentation. It evidently has one: and this art forms a portion of the pianist’s. It is his duty, on many occasions, to judge if it be requisite to render certain parts prominent, while others are left in shadow; and if he ought to play conspicuously an intermediate passage, by giving lightness to the upper ornaments, and less force to the bass. It is for him to decide the opportunity for changing fingers, or the eligibility of using the thumb only, for such and such melody. He knows, in writing for his instrument—when the harmony should be spread or brought close—the various degrees of distance that arpeggios should have, and the different sonorities hence resulting. He should know, above all, how to use the pedals judiciously. On this subject, it ought to be remarked, that the great pianoforte composers have never failed to mark with as much care as appropriateness the places where the principal pedal should be taken and quitted. It is therefore very reprehensible, that many performers—both great and small—persist in not observing these indications, and keep the dampers constantly raised, utterly forgetting that in this case many unanalagous harmonies must necessarily be prolonged the one upon the other in a manner the most discordant. This is a deplorable abuse of an excellent thing; it is noise and confusion substituted for sonorities. It is besides the natural consequence of that intolerable tendency in performers—great and small—singers and instrumentalists—to rank foremost whatever they imagine conduces to their own personal interest. They think little of the invariable respect which is due from every performer to the composer; or of the tacit but absolute compact, made by the former to the audience, that he will faithfully transmit the latter’s idea to them—either when he honors a mediocre composer by acting as his interpreter, or when he has the honor to deliver the immortal thought of a man of genius. In both cases, the performer who thus allows himself—following the caprice of the moment—to go contrary to the intentions of a composer, should reflect, that the author of the work, whatever it may be he is executing, has probably devoted a hundred times more consideration to the place and duration of certain effects, to the indication of particular movements, to the design of his melody and rhythm, and to the choice of his chords and instruments, than the performer can have given, in doing the contrary. There cannot be too strong a protest made against this senseless privilege which is too often claimed by instrumentalists, singers, and directors of orchestras. Such a mania is not only ridiculous; it will lead, unless care be taken, to the introduction of innumerable and unjustifiable irregularities into the Art, and to results the most disastrous. It rests with composers and critics to combine in never tolerating it.

A pedal much less used than that which raises the dampers—and of which, nevertheless, Beethoven and some others have availed themselves with delicious effect—is the soft pedal (or one-string pedal). It is not only of excellent effect, when contrasted with the usual sound of the pianoforte, and with the pomp of sonorities produced by the principal pedal: but it is of indisputable utility in accompanying singing, when the voice of the singer is weak; or, still more frequently, when a character of softness and gentleness is to be given to the execution. It is indicated by these words:—“soft pedal;” or, in Italian, “una corda.” Its action consists in preventing the hammers from reaching two of the three strings strung in unison for each note, possessed at present by all good instruments. Then, only the third string vibrates; whence results, a diminution of sound by two thirds, and a very remarkable difference of character.

WIND INSTRUMENTS.

Before studying individually each member of this large family, we will fix as clearly as possible the musical vocabulary indicating the different degrees of height or depth of certain instruments, the transpositions to which these differences lead, the established mode of writing for them, and the denominations which have been applied to them.

We will first establish a line of demarcation between those instruments of which the sound is produced as indicated by the musical signs, and those of which the sound issues above or below the written note. From this classification the following lists result:—

TABLE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NON-TRANSPOSING INSTRUMENTS;</th>
<th>TRANSPOSING INSTRUMENTS;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FROM WHICH THE SOUND ISSUES AS IT IS WRITTEN</td>
<td>OF WHICH THE SOUND IS DIFFERENT FROM THE WRITTEN NOTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Violin.</td>
<td>The Double-Bass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viola.</td>
<td>All other Flutes than the usual one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viole d’amour.</td>
<td>The Corno Ininglese.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violoncello.</td>
<td>All Clarinets excepting the Clarinet in C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually Flute.</td>
<td>Bassoon-quinte.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hautoy.</td>
<td>Double-Bassoon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarinet in C.</td>
<td>All Horns excepting the Horn in high C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassoon.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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NON-TRANSPOSING INSTRUMENTS.

Cornet à Piston in C ..........................
Trumpet in C .........................
Alto Trombone ............................
Tenor Trombone ............................
Bass Trombone ...................
Ophicleide in C ..........................
Bombardon ..............................
Bass-Tuba .................................
Harp ......................................
Pianoforte, Organ, Voices ........................ when written on their respective clefs; and not all equally on the G clef
Kettle-Drums ..............................
Bells .................................
Ancient Cymbals ...........................
Sets of Bells .............................
Glockenspiel ..........................
Keyed Harmonica ........................

TRANSPOSING INSTRUMENTS.

All Cornets à Piston excepting that in C.
All Trumpets excepting the Trumpet in C.
Alto Trombones with Valve.
All Ophicleides excepting that in C.
The Serpent.
The Guitar.

Tenors and Basses ........................ when written on the G clef; their sounds then issuing an octave below the written note.

Keyed Instrument with steel bars.

It will be seen by this table that if all the non-transposing instruments said to be in C, emit their sounds as they are written, those like the violin, hautboy, and flute, which have no designation of key, are in the same condition. They are therefore, in the composer’s eye, similar to instruments in C. Now, the designation of some wind instruments, based on the natural sound of their tube, has led to the most singular and most absurd consequences; it has caused the art of writing for transposing instruments to become a very complicated task, rendering the musical vocabulary perfectly illogical. It is therefore high time to revert to this habit, and to establish some kind of order where we find so little existing.

Performers sometimes say—speaking of the tenor trombone—the trombone in $B^\flat$; in speaking of the alto trombone, the trombone in $E^\flat$; and still more frequently, in speaking of the usual flute, the flute in $D$.

These designations are so far correct, that the tube of these two trombones with the slide closed, give out, the one, the notes of the chord of $B^\flat$, the other, those of the chord of $E^\flat$; the usual flute, with its holes stopped and its keys closed, gives out equally the note $D$. But as performers pay no regard to this resonance of the tube, as they produce really the written notes, as the $C$ of a tenor trombone is a $C$ and not a $B^\flat$, as that of the alto trombone is still a $C$ and not an $E^\flat$, as that of the flute is also a $C$ and not a $D$, it evidently follows that these instruments are not, or are no longer in the list of transposing instruments; that they consequently belong to that of the non-transposing instruments; and that they are supposed to be in $C$, like hautboys, clarinets, horns, cornets and trumpets in $C$; while no designation of key should be applied to them, or else give them that of $C$. This established, it will be seen of what importance it is, not to call the usual flute, flute in $D$; the other flutes, higher than this one, having been designated according to the difference existing between their pitch and that of the usual flute, it has become the fashion—instead of saying simply, fierce flute, ninth flute, which at least offers no confusion in the terms—to call these instruments, flute in $F^\flat$, flute in $E^\flat$. And to what does this lead? In a score, the small clarinet in $E^\flat$, of which the $C$ is of course in $E^\flat$, can execute the same part as a third flute, so-called in $F^\flat$; and these two instruments, bearing the names of different keys, are nevertheless in unison. The designation of one or other must be false; and it is absurd to adopt solely for flutes a mode of appellation and designation of keys, different from that in use for all other instruments.

Hence the principle which I propose, and which renders impossible all misunderstanding: the key of $C$ is the point of comparison which should be taken to specify the keys of transposing instruments. The natural sound of the tube of non-transposing wind instruments can never be taken into consideration.

All transposing instruments, or only transposing in the octave—of which consequently the written $C$ gives $C$—are considered as being in $C$.

Accordingly, if an instrument of the same kind is tuned above or below the pitch of the typical instrument, this difference will be designated consonantly with the analogy which exists between it and the key of $C$. Consequently, the violin, the flute, and the hautboy, which play in unison with the clarinet in $C$, the trumpet in $C$, the horn in $C$, are in $C$; and if a violin, a flute, or a hautboy be employed, tuned a tone higher than the usual instruments of this name, this violin, this flute, this hautboy, then playing in unison with clarinets in $D$, and trumpets in $D$, are in $D$.

Whence I conclude, that, for flutes, the old mode of designating them should be abolished: that the fierce flute should no longer be called flute in $F^\flat$; but flute in $E^\flat$, since its $C$ makes $E^\flat$: nor ninth flutes and minor second flutes, flutes in $E^\flat$; but large or small flute in $D^\flat$, since their $C$ makes $D^\flat$: and so on, with all the other keys.
Reed Instruments.

Moly of double reed instruments should be shed from that of single reed instruments. The horn is composed of five individuals—the cornetto, the bassoon, the bassoonnd the double-bassoon.

The Hautboy.

Mops is two octaves and a sixth. It is in the G clef:

![Musical notation]

So last high notes should be used with much care, particularly as they are hazardous, when they are abrupt. Some hautboys have the fourth octave; but this note not being generally on the instrument, it is better avoided. The system obviates the difficulties of fingering one to the hautboy in its present state, which are met with in rapid passages from the 3rd to the note above:

![Musical notation]

or:

![Musical notation]

Hautboys formed of these different lengths, and others also, are therefore impossible, or only difficult, and producing a bad effect, as seen by the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Octave</th>
<th>Impossible</th>
<th>Impossible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sounds that exceed it, either below or above, being weak or thin, hard or shrill; and all of rather a bad quality. Rapid passages, chromatic or diatonic, can be tolerably well executed on the hautboy; but they only produce an ungraceful and almost ridiculous effect; and the same with arpeggios.

The opportunity for such passages can be but very rare; and indeed, we confess to not having yet met with them. The temptations of this kind which present themselves to solo performers, in their fantasies or airs with variations, conduct but little to prove the contrary. The hautboy is especially a melodical instrument; it has a pastoral character, full of tenderness—nay, I would even say, of timidity.

It is nevertheless always written for, in the turtled parts, without paying attention to the expression in its quality of tone, because there it is lost in the aggregate whole, and the peculiarity of this expression cannot be distinguished. It is the same thing—let it be at once understood—with all other wind instruments. The only exception, is, with those the sonorosity of which is excessive, or the quality of tone too marked in its originality. It is in fact impossible—without trampling under foot both Art and good sense—to employ such instruments as those as simple instruments of harmony. Among them may be ranked trombones, ophicleides, double-bassoons, and—in many instances—trumpets and cornets. Cautious, artless grace, soft joy, or the grief of a fragile being, suits the hautboy's accents; it expresses them admirably in its cantabile.

A certain degree of agitation is also within its powers of expression; but care should be taken not to urge it into utterances of passion—the rash outburst of anger, threat, or heroism; for then its small acid-sweet voice becomes ineffectual, and absolutely grotesque. Some great masters—Mozart among others—have not escaped this error. In their scores passages are to be found, the impassioned meaning and martial accent of which, contrast strangely with the sound of the hautboy that executes them; and hence result, not only effects missed, but startling disparities between stage and orchestra, melody and instrumentation. The theme of a march, however manly, grand, or noble, loses its manliness, its grandeur, and its nobleness, if hautboys deliver it; it has a chance of preserving something of its cha-
acter if given to flutes, and loses scarcely anything by being assigned to clarinets. Where—in order to give more weight and body to the harmony, and more force to the group of wind instruments employed—hautboys are absolutely needful in a piece such as I have just described, at least they should be written in such a way that their quality of tone (not suited to this particular style) shall become completely covered by the other instruments, and blend with the mass so as no longer to be recognized. The lower sounds of the hautboys, ungraceful when displayed, may agree with certain wild and lamenting harmonies, united to the low notes of the clarinets, and to the low D, E, F, and G of the flutes and cornet inglesi.

Gluck and Beethoven understood marvellously well the use of this valuable instrument; to it they both owe the profound emotions excited by several of the finest pages. I have only to quote, from Gluck, the hautboy solo of Agamemnon’s air in Iphigenia in Aulis: “Peuvent ils, &c.” (“Can the harsh Fates”). These complaints of an innocent voice, these continued supplications over more and more appealing,—what instrument could they suit so well as a hautboy? And the celebrated burden of the air of Iphigenia in Tauride: “O malheureuse, Iphigénie.” And again, that childlike cry of the orchestra, when Alceste, in the midst of her enthusiasm and heroic self-devotion, struck by the recollection of her young sons, abruptly interrupts the phrase of the theme: “Eh, pourrai je, vivre sans toi,” to respond to this touching instrumental appeal, with the heart-rendering exclamation: “O mes enfants!”

And then, the discord of the minor second in Armida’s air with the words: “Sauvez, moi de l’amour” (“Save my weak heart from love”). All this is sublime: not only in the dramatic thought, in the profound expression, in the grandeur and beauty of the melody; but also in the instrumentation, and the admirable choice made by the author of the hautboys from amidst the throng of other instruments,—either inadequate, or incapable of producing such impressions:

No. 21.

Iphigenia in Aulis.—Gluck.

1st Violins.

2nd Violins.

Flutes and Hautboys.

Viole.

Agamemnon.

Can the harsh Fates condemn a Fa ther to pre pare the al tar him self, and plant with his own hands the wreath that decks the victim’s brow? Deck my ten der child, my
daughter! Is it thus they command? I never will obey their inhuman decree! I
chère possédez l'ordre
Je ne le suivrai pas cet ordre inhumain. Je

never will obey their inhuman decree.

I hear in each

throb of my breast the plaintive cry of outrag'd Nature,

How she
spokes to my heart, and her voice is more mighty
parles à mon cœur, et sa voix est plus sere

than oracles pronounced by Fates, than oracles pronounced by
que les o - ra - cles du des - tin, que les o - ra - cles du des -

Fates. I never will obey their inhuman decree, I never will obey their in-
- tia.
Je n'o - be - rai point à cet ordre in - humain, Je n'o - be - rai point à cet
out the horrid Hell-pit, where, fomented by thee, the

gouffre é-pou-vantable où vous fai-tes régner un

ter-ri-aux con-teste rage. O come, O come. Hatred un-

cier-nelle hor-ror, ses, ses, haine in-pla-

Col Basso.

dying, come forth from out the horrid hell
pit, save my weak heart from Love, save my weak heart from Love.

Blame me too, I'm too miserable.

Nothing can so well defend me against my enemies.

Charming opponent! Give me back my disdain, rouse my

Semisopaiiablo remix moi mon courroux rat-
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ire: once again.

O come, O come,

mec: once again.

Haunted by the horror, come forth from out the horrid hell-pit,

where, from your reign, eternal contest rage.
Beethoven has demanded more from the joyous moment of the Pastoral Symphony; that of the solo of the Choral Symphony; that of the first movement of the Symphony in B♭, &c. But he no less felicitously succeeded in assigning them or forlorn passages. This may be seen in the minor solo of the second return of the first movement of the Symphony in A; in the episodical andante of the finale to the Eroica Symphony; and, above all, in the air of Fidelio, where Florestan, starving with hunger, believes himself—in his delirious agony,—surrounded by his weeping family, and minglest his tears of anguish with the broken sobs of the hautboy.
heart is relieve'd, what calmness prevails o'er my senses
- briefly en moue coeur Quel calme se souvient je respetre mon âme, mon

-acondeth to watch o'er my woods, and brighten the prospect of early deliverance.
- me resteit en bon heure quel Ætre divin à mes moeurs vient sourire.
My wife, my Leonora, she smiles through the darkness, she beckons me forward. My angel come!
The Corno Inglese.

This instrument is, so to speak, the alto of the hautboy, with which it possesses equal compass. It is written on the G clef, like a hautboy in F below; and, consequently, a fifth above its real sound.

Its scale—

produces for the bearer this:

With the chromatic intervals.

Many corni inglese possess also the low B♭.

If the orchestra play in C, the corno inglese ought to be written in G; if it play in D, the corno inglese should be written in A; &c.

What has just been said upon the difficulties of fingering for the hautboy, in certain encounters of sharpened or flattened notes, applies also to the corno inglese; rapid passages upon it have a still worse effect: its quality of tone, less piercing, more veiled, and deeper than that of the hautboy, does not so well as the latter lend itself to the gaiety of rustic strains. Nor could it give utterance to anguished complainings; accents of keen grief are almost interdicted to its powers. It is a melancholy, dreamy, and rather noble voice, of which the sonorosity has something of vague, of remote, which renders it superior to all others, in exciting regret, and reviving images and sentiments of the past, when the composer desires to awaken the secret echo of tender memories. Mr. Halevy has with extreme felicity employed two corni inglese in the ritornello of Eleazar's air, in the fourth act of The Jews.

No. 27. The Jews.—H.ALEY.
In the Adagio of one of my own symphonies, the corneo inglesse, after having repeated in the bass octave the phrases of a hautboy—as the voice of a youth might reply to that of a young girl in a pastoral dialogue—reiterates fragments of them (at the close of the movement) with a dull accompaniment of four kettle-drums, during the silence of all the rest of the orchestra. The feelings of absence, of forgetfulness, of sorrowful loneliness, which arise in the bosoms of the audience on hearing this forsaken melody, would lack half their power if played by any other instrument than the corneo inglesse.
The mixture of the low sounds of the cor anglais, with the bass notes of the clarinets and bassoons, during a tremolo of double-basses, gives a sonorousness as peculiar as it is novel, and well suited to imbue with its menacing impression, those musical ideas where fear and solicitude predominate. This effect was unknown either to Mozart, Weber, or Beethoven. A magnificent example of it is to be found in the duet in the fourth act of the *Huguenots*; and I think Mr. Meyerbeer is the first who caused it to be heard on the stage.
Berlioz Treatise Upon

- veal'd; yes, thou livest.
- chi
- oui tu me suis.
- me.
- Hence shall... 

Imitate the singer.

- shine
- a brilliant star o'er my course.
- quelle t-toi-le a brill-le.
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In compositions where the prevailing impression is that of melancholy, the frequent use of the cornò inglesse hidden in the midst of the great mass of instruments, is perfectly suited. Then, only one hautbois part need be written; replacing the second, by that of the cornò inglesse. Gluck has employed this instrument in his Italian operas of Telemaco, and Orfeo; but without manifest intention, and without deducing much effect. He never introduced it in his French scores. Neither Mozart, Beethoven, nor Weber, have used it; wherefore, I know not.

**The Bassoon.**

The bassoon is the base of the hautbois; it has a compass of more than three octaves; and it is written thus, upon two clefs:

```
\[\text{With the Chromatic Intervals.} \]
```

But it is more than prudent not to carry it above the last B♭. The keys with which it is now-a-days provided, allow it to give the two low notes, which formerly were interdicted to it. Its fingering is the same as that of the flute.

There are several shades quite impossible for it, at the two extremes of the bassoon’s scale.

```
\[\text{Impossible.} \]
```

All others above F⁷ are either bad or impossible.

This instrument leaves much to desire on the score of precision of intonation; and would gain perhaps more than any other wind instrument, from being constructed according to Boëhm’s system.

The bassoon is of the greatest use in the orchestra on numerous occasions. Its sonorousness is not very great, and its quality of tone, absolutely devoid of brilliancy or nobleness, has a tendency towards the grotesque—which should be always kept in mind, when bringing it forward into prominence. Its low notes form excellent basses to the whole group of wooden wind instruments. The bassoon is ordinarily written in two parts; but large orchestras being always provided with four bassoons, it can then be without inconvenience written in four real parts; or, still better, in three,—the lowest part being doubled an octave below, to strengthen the bass. The character of their high notes is somewhat painful, suffering—even, I would say, miserable,—which may be sometimes introduced into either a slow melody, or passages of accompaniment, with most surprising effect. Thus the odd little cluckings heard in the scherzo of Beethoven’s C minor Symphony, towards the close of the descending, are solely produced by the somewhat forced sound of the A♭, and the high G of the bassoons in unison:

```
\[\text{Symphony in C minor.—BEETHOVEN.} \]
```

No. 30.
When M. Meyerbeer, in his resurrection of the Nuns, wished to find a pale, cold, cadaverous sound, he, on the contrary, obtained it from the weak middle notes of the bassoon:

No. 31.

Rapid passages of bound notes may be successfully employed; they come out well when they are written in the favorite keys of the instrument, such as D, G, C, F, E♭, E♭, A, and their relative minors. The following passages produce an excellent effect in the second act of the Huguenots.
The Bassoon-quinte.

The bassoon-quinte is a diminutive of the preceding; and its pitch is a fifth higher. It has about the same compass; and, like it, is written upon two clefs, but transposing —

which produces in real sounds the following scale:—

With the chromatic intervals.

The bassoon-quinte is the high bassoon what the corono ingles is to the low hautboy. The corono ingles should be written a fifth above the real sound, and the bassoon-quinte a fifth below; therefore, the bassoon-quinte will play in F when the bassoons play in C, and in G when they are in D, &c. There is no such instrument in the generality of orchestras, where the corono ingles replaces it advantageously in its two upper octaves. Its quality of tone has less feeling, but more force, than that of the corono ingles; and would be of excellent effect in military music. It is a great pity, and very detrimental to wind instrument bands, where the masses of large and small bassoons might soften the harshness of its sound, that the bassoon-quinte should be entirely excluded from them.
The Double-Bassoon.

This instrument is to the bassoon, what the double-bass is to the violoncello. That is to say, its end is an octave lower than the written note. It seldom more than this compass:

\[ \text{With the chromatic intervals.} \]

which produces in real sounds:

\[ \text{five bassoon} \]

The two first notes of this scale come out with facility; and are very ineffective, on account of their extreme depth.

It is needless to add that this very ponderous instrument is only suitable for grand effects of harmony, and to bases of a moderate degree of speed. Beethoven has used it in the finale of his Symphony C minor; and in that of his Choral Symphony, it is very valuable for large wind instrument bands; nevertheless, few players care to learn it. Occasionally, the attempt is made to replace it by the cellos, the sound of which has not the same depth; since it is in unison with the usual bassoon, not with the octave below; and the quality of one of which has no analogy of character with that of the double-bassoon. I think, therefore, in the majority of cases, it is better to do without this instrument, than to replace it thus.

Clarinet.

Simple reed instruments, such as the clarinet, and its corso di bassetto, form a family, whose connection with that of the bassoon is not so near as might be supposed. That which distinguishes it especially, is the nature of its sound. The middle notes of the clarinet are more limpid, more full, more pure than those of double reed instruments, the sound of which never exempt from a certain tartness or harshness, or at least concealed by the player's skill. The fifth sounds of the last octave, commencing with the C above the stave, partake only a little of the tartness of the hautboy's loud sounds; while the character of the lower sounds approach, by the roughness of their vibrations, to that of certain notes on the bassoon.

The clarinet is written on the G clef; and its compass is three octaves and a half, or more:

\[ \text{With the chromatic intervals.} \]

Four registers are reckoned on the clarinet:—the low, the chalumeau, the medium, and the high.

The first comprises this part of the scale:

\[ \text{These notes are generally full.} \]

The second, this:

\[ \text{The third contains the following notes:} \]

And the fourth is found in the remainder of the scale up to the highest D:

A considerable number of diatonic successions, of arpeggios, and of shakes, were formerly impracticable, which are no longer so, thanks to the ingenious mechanism of keys added to the instrument; and which become even easy, when the system of Sax is adopted by all the manufacturers. It is however prudent—these improvements not being yet generally adopted—not to write such passages as the following, unless the movement be very slow:—
The number of major and minor shakes practicable on the clarinet is considerable; those which are not to be played with surety, are designated in the subjoined scale:

The favorite keys of the clarinet are the keys of C, F, G, principally; and then those of B♭, E♭, A♭, D♭ major, and their relative minors. As there exist clarinets in different keys, by their means may be avoided causing the performer to play in keys containing many sharps and flats; as A♭, E♭, B♭, D♭, G♭ major, and their relative minors.

There are four clarinets in general use at present:

The small clarinet in E♭; to which it is not well to give a compass beyond three octaves and two notes:

It is in the minor third above that of the clarinet in C; and is written by transposing. Thus, to have the following passage—

it must be written—

The clarinet in C, and the clarinets in B♭ and in A. These two latter have a compass equal to the clarinet in C; but the one sounding a major second and the other a minor third lower, their parts should be written in keys so much higher.
These expressions, "good," "bad," "passable," do not here apply to the difficulty of execution of the phrases themselves; but merely to that of the key in which they are written. Moreover, it should be said, that the rather difficult keys,—such as $A_5$, $B_5$, and $E_5$ major,—are not to be entirely shunned, for simple phrases and for a slow movement.

It will be seen that, independently of the particular character of their quality of tone,—of which we are about to speak,—these different clarinets are very useful as affording facility of execution. It is to be regretted that there are not more still. For instance, the keys of $B_5$ and of $D$, which are rarely to be found, would on numerous occasions offer great resources to composers.

The clarinet in $D$ is little used; it should be more so, for its quality of tone is pure, besides having remarkable power of penetration; and on many occasions, excellent effect might be produced by it.

The small clarinet in $F$ (high), which was formerly employed in military music, has been almost abandoned for that in $E_5$, which is found, and with reason, to be less screaming, and quite sufficient for the keys ordinarily used in wind instrument pieces. Clarinets have proportionally less purity, sweetness, and clearness, as their key is more and more removed above that of $B_5$, which is one of the finest on the instrument. The clarinet in $C$ is harder than that in $B_5$, and its voice has much less charm. The small clarinet in $E_5$ has piercing tones, which it is very easy to render mean, beginning from the $A$ above the stave. Accordingly it has been employed, in a modern symphony, in order to parody, degrade, and blackguardize (if I may be pardoned the expression) a melody; the dramatic intention of the work requiring this strange transformation. The small clarinet in $F$ has a still more marked tendency of the same kind. In proportion as the instrument becomes lower, on the contrary, it produces sounds more velvety and more melancholy.

In general, performers should use only those instruments indicated by the author. Each of these instruments having a particular character, it is at least probable that the composer has chosen one rather than the other, from preference for such and such a quality of tone, and not from caprice. To persist,—as some performers do,—in playing (by transposing) on the clarinet in $B_5$, is therefore, with some few exceptions, a faithlessness of execution. And this faithlessness becomes more manifest and more culpable when it takes place, for instance, in the clarinet in $A$. In fact, the composer may have written it only to have his low $E$, which gives the $C_5$:

---

What then would the player of the clarinet in $B_5$, do, whose low $E$ gives only the $D$?

---

He would transpose the note in the octave! and thus destroy the effect, intended by the author!—which is intolerable!

It has been said that the clarinet has four registers; each of these registers has also a distinct quality of tone. That of the high register is somewhat tearing, which should be used only in the fortissimo of the orchestra (some very high notes may nevertheless be sustained piano, when the effect of the sound has been properly prepared); or in the bold passages of a brilliant solo. Those of the chalumeau and medium registers are suited to melodies, to arpeggios, and to smooth passages; and the low register is appropriate—particularly in the holding notes—to those cally threatening effects, those dark accents of motionless rage, which Weber so ingeniously invented. If it be desired to employ with salient effect those piercing cries of the extreme upper notes, and if it be dreaded for the performer a too sudden advent of the dangerous note, this introduction of the clarinet should be hidden beneath a loud chord from the whole of the orchestra; which,—interrupting itself the moment the sound has had time to settle, and become clear,—leaves it then fully displayed with danger.
The occasions for appropriately inserting these extreme upper holding notes are very rare.

The character of the sounds of the medium register imbued with a kind of loftiness tempering a noble tenderness, render them favorable for the expression of sentiments and ideas the most poetic. A frivolous gaiety, and even an artless joy, seem alone unsuited to them. The clarinet is little appropriate to the *Idyl*; it is an *epic* instrument, like horns, trumpets, and trombones. Its voice is that of heroic love: and if masses of brass instruments, in grand military symphonies, awaken the idea of a warlike troop covered with glittering armour, marching to glory or death, numerous unisons of clarinets, heard at the same time, seem to represent the beloved women, the loving heroines, with their proud eyes, and deep affection, whom the sound of arms exalts; who sing while fighting, and who crown the victors, or die with the dead. I have never been able to hear military music from afar, without being profoundly moved by that feminine quality of tone in the clarinets, and struck by images of this nature, as after the perusal of ancient epic poems. This beautiful soprano instrument, so ringing, so rich in penetrating accents, when employed in masses,—gains, as a solo, in delicacy, evanescent shadowings, and mysterious tenderness, what it loses in force and powerful brilliancy. Nothing so virginal, so pure, as the tint imparted to certain melodies by the tone of a clarinet played in the medium by a skilful performer.

It is the one of all the wind instruments, which can best breathe forth, swell, diminish, and die away its sound. Hence the precious faculty of producing *distance*, echo, an echo of *echo*, and a *twilight* sound. What more admirable example could I quote of the application of some of these shadowings, than the dreamy phrase of the clarinet, accompanied by a tremolo of stringed instruments, in the midst of the Allegro of the overture to *Freyaschütz*! Does it not depict the lonely maiden, the forester's fair betrothed, who, raising her eyes to heaven, mingles her tender lament with the noise of the dark woods agitated by the storm?—O Weber!!
I take leave again to quote from my Monodrame, an effect—if not similar, yet analogous,—of a clarinet air, the fragments of which, interrupted by rests, are also accompanied by a tremolo of a portion of the wind instruments, while the double-basses play pizzicato an occasional low note, producing beneath the harmony a heavy pulsation, and a harp introduces bits of scarcely-heard arpeggios. But in this case, in order to give to the sound of the clarinet an accent as vague, and as remote as possible, I have caused this instrument to be enveloped in a leather bag, which shall serve as a sortine. This mournful murmur, and the half stifled sonorosity of this solo, repeating a melody previously heard in another movement, has always forcibly struck the hearers. This shadow of music gives birth to a sorrowful dejection, and provokes tears, more than the most dolorous accents could do, and gives the spleen as much as the trembling harmonies of the Æolian Harp.

*The instrument should be wrapped in a bag of cloth or leather.*
Beethoven, bearing in mind the melancholy and noble character of the melody in A major of the immortal Andante in his 7th Symphony, and in order to render all that this phrase contains at the same time of passionate regret, has not failed to assign it to the medium of the clarinet. Gluck, for the ritornello of Alceste’s air, “Ah, malgré moi, &c.,” had at first written a flute; but perceiving, doubtless, that the quality of tone of that instrument was too weak, and lacking the nobleness necessary to the delivery of a theme imbued with so much dejection and mournful grandeur, gave it to the clarinet. It is still the clarinets which play simultaneously with the voice, that other air of Alceste replete with sorrowful resignation, “Ah, divinités implacables.”

An effect of another kind results from three slow notes of the clarinets in thirds in the air of Oedipus, “Votre cour devint mon asile.” It is after the conclusion of the theme, that Polynice, before beginning his air, turns towards the daughter of Theseus, and adds, as he looks at her, “Je connus, &c.” These two clarinets in thirds, descending softly previous to the commencement of the voice part, at the moment when the two lovers interchange a tender regard, have an excellent dramatic meaning, and produce an exquisite musical result. The two instrumental voices are here an emblem of love and purity. One fancies, in listening to them, that one beholds Eurydice modestly casting down her eyes. It is admirable!

---

Substitute two hautbois for the two clarinets, and the effect will be destroyed.

This delicious orchestral effect is wanting, however, in the printed score of Sacchini’s chef d’œuvre; but I have too often remarked it in the representation, not to feel certain of my memory.

Neither Sacchini, nor Gluck, nor any of the great masters of that time availed themselves of the low notes of the instrument. I cannot guess the reason. Mozart appears to be the first who brought them into use, for accompaniments of a serious character such as that of the trio of masks, in Don Giovanni. It was reserved for Weber to discover all that there is of terrible in the quality of tone of these low sounds, when employed in sustaining sinister harmonies. It is better, in such a case, to write them in two parts, than to place the clarinets in unison or in octave. The more, then, that the notes of the harmony are numerous, the more striking will be the effect. If there be three clarinets at disposal for the chord, $C^\#$, $E$, $B^\flat$, for instance, this diminished seventh well worked, well introduced and instrumented in that way, would have a fearful aspect, which might be further rendered gloomy, by adding a low double $G$ given to a bass-clarinet:
It is a very beautiful instrument, that one regrets not to find in all well-constituted orchestras.

The Bass Clarinet.

Lower still than the preceding, is an octave below the clarinet in B♭; there is another in C, however (an octave below the clarinet in C); but that in B♭ is much more usual. As it is always the same instrument,—constructed on larger dimensions,—as the ordinary clarinet, its compass remains much the same. Its reed is a little weaker and more covered than that of the other clarinets. The bass clarinet is evidently not destined to replace in the upper notes the high clarinets; but, certainly, to extend their compass below. Nevertheless, very beautiful effects result from doubling, in the octave below, the high notes of the B♭ clarinet, by a bass clarinet. It is written, like other clarinets, on the G clef:—

The best notes are the lowest ones; but, owing to the slowness of the vibrations, they should not be made to follow each other too rapidly. Mr. Meyerbeer has caused the bass clarinet to utter an eloquent monologue in the trio of the fifth act of The Huguenots:

No. 35.

Les Huguenots.—Meyerbeer.
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Improvements in Clarinets.

The manufacture of these instruments, which remained for so long almost in its infancy, is nowadays in a state of progress which cannot fail to bring the most valuable results; already great advances have been made by M. Adolphe Sax, the skilful and accomplished Parisian manufacturer.  By slightly elongating the tube of the clarinet towards the bell, he has caused it to gain an additional semitone below; and consequently it can now produce the E♭ or D♭ on the old clarinet, is one of the best notes on the modern instrument.  The following shakes:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Improvements in Clarinets.} \\
\text{The B♭ of the medium, \( \begin{array}{c}
\text{C} \\
\text{E} \\
\text{G} \\
\text{B} \\
\text{D} \\
\text{F} \\
\end{array} \) which was bad} \\
\text{and numerous other inexcusable passages, have now} \\
\text{become easy and of good effect. It is well known} \\
\text{that the notes of the high register are the dread of} \\
\text{composers and performers; who only dare to use} \\
\text{them very seldom, and with extreme precaution.} \\
\text{Thanks to a little key placed close to the mouth-piece} \\
\text{of the clarinet, M. Sax has rendered these sounds as} \\
\text{pure, as smooth, and almost as easy, as those of the} \\
\text{medium. Thus the double B♭, \( \begin{array}{c}
\text{C} \\
\text{E} \\
\text{G} \\
\text{B} \\
\text{D} \\
\text{F} \\
\end{array} \) which} \\
\text{was hardly ventured to be written, comes out from} \\
\text{the clarinets of A. Sax, without urging, or preparation,} \\
\text{or effort, on the part of the performer; it may be} \\
\text{played pianissimo without the least danger; and} \\
\text{it is at least as sweet as that of the flute. As a} \\
\text{remedy against the obstacles arising from the too} \\
\text{great dryness or too great moisture necessarily} \\
\text{induced by the use of wooden mouth-pieces, according} \\
\text{as the instrument may have remained some days} \\
\text{without being played, or, on the contrary, have been} \\
\text{too long used, M. Sax has given to the clarinet a} \\
\text{mouth-piece of gilt metal, which enhances the brilliancy of its tone, and suffers none of the detriments} \\
\text{to which the wooden mouth-pieces are subject. This} \\
\text{clarinet has more compass, more equalness, more} \\
\text{facility, and more precision than the old one; while} \\
\text{the fingering remains the same, excepting that it is} \\
\text{in some respects simplified.}
\end{align*}
\]
M. Adolphe Sax's new bass clarinet is still more improved. It has 22 keys. That which especially distinguishes it from the old one, is, its perfect precision of intonation, an equalized temperament throughout the chromatic scale, and a greater intensity of tone.

As its tube is very long, the performer standing upright, the bell of the instrument nearly touches the ground; hence an unfortunate smothering of the sound, had not the skilful inventor thought of remedying this by means of a concave metallic reflector, which, placed beneath the bell, prevents the sound from being lost, directs it at will, and considerably augments its volume.

The bass-clarinets of A. Sax are in B♭.

WIND INSTRUMENTS WITHOUT REEDS.

The Flute.

This instrument, which for a long time remained imperfect in very many respects, is now,—thanks to the skill of certain manufacturers, and to the system of fabrication pursued by Böhm,—as complete, as true, and of as equal a sonority, as could be desired.

All wind instruments, moreover, will soon be in the same condition: it will be perceived that their truth of intonation could not be irreproachable, far from it,—since their holes having always been pierced according to the natural stretch of the performer's fingers, and not according to the rational division of the sound-tube—a division based upon the laws of resonance, and decided by the focus of vibration. Gordon, and after him, Böhm, began by piercing the holes of their wind instruments at the exact points of the tube indicated by the physical principle of resonance, without paying regard to the facility, or even the possibility of applying the fingers of the hand upon each of these holes; certain as they felt of being able to make it accomplisable at last one way or other.

The instrument once pierced and rendered true by this proceeding, they invented a mechanism of keys and rings placed at certain spots where the performer's fingers could easily reach them, and serving to open and close those holes which were beyond the command of the fingers. By this means, the old fingering necessarily became changed; and the performers had to commence new methods of practice: but this difficulty soon being surmounted, and the new instruments offering such ample compensation, we have no doubt now, that, the example spreading ever more and more, in a few years all the new wooden wind instruments, constructed on Gordon's and Böhm's system, will have entirely superseded the old ones.

The flute, very few years ago, had only the following compass:

There have been successively added to this scale two semitones below, and three above, which gives three complete octaves:

However, as all performers have not the C key, that is to say, the little metallic valves, which give to the flute the low C♯ and C♯, it is better, in the majority of cases, to abstain from these two notes in writing for the orchestra. The two last high sounds, B♭, C, should not either be employed pianissimo, on account of a certain difficulty which attends their emission, and their rather harsh sound.

The B♭, on the contrary, comes out without trouble; and may be sustained as piano as desired, without the least danger. The number of notes on which shakes may be made, were rather restricted in the old flute; but, thanks to the keys added to the modern one, the major and minor shake is practicable upon a large portion of its chromatic scale:
With the flutes constructed upon Boëhm's method, the notes are practicable on the notes of the very extreme upper part of the scale; and from the $D\flat$ below up to the highest $C$; moreover, they are incomparably more true of intonation.

The flute is the most agile of all the wind instruments; it is equally suited to rapid passages (diantonic or chromatic) slurred or detached, to arpeggios, and even to very extended passages like this:

Also, to iterated notes, like those played staccato on the violin; which are obtained by double-tonguing:

The keys of $D$, $G$, $C$, $F$, $A$, $E\flat$, $B\flat$, $E\flat$, and their relative minors, are the favorite keys of the flute; the others are greatly more difficult. A flute of Boëhm's, on the contrary, can be played in $D\flat$ almost as easily as in $D\sharp$.

The sound of this instrument is sweet in the medium, rather piercing in the high notes, and very characteristic in the low ones. The quality of tone of the medium, and of that of the high portion, has not a very special or decided expression. They may be employed in melodies, or accents of varied character; but without equaling either the artless gaiety of the hautboy, or the noble tenderness of the clarinet. It should seem then, that the flute is an instrument well-nigh devoid of expression, which may be introduced anywhere and everywhere, on account of its facility in executing groups of rapid notes, and in sustaining high sounds useful in the orchestra for adding fullness to the upper harmonies. Generally speaking, this is true; nevertheless, on studying the instrument carefully, there may be discovered an expression peculiar to it, and an aptitude for rendering certain sentiments, in which no other instrument can compete with it. If, for instance, it were requisite to give to a sad air, an accent of desolation, but of humility and resignation at the same time, the feeble sounds of the flute's medium, in the keys of $C$ minor and $F$ minor especially, would certainly produce the desired effect. One master only, seems to me to have known how to avail himself of this pale colouring; and he is Gluck. On listening to the melodramatic movement in $D$ minor which he has placed in the Elysian fields scene of Orfeo, it will be at once seen that a flute only could fitly be made to utter this melody. A hautboy would have been too puerile, and its voice would not have seemed sufficiently pure; the corniglase is too low; a clarinet would doubtless have answered better; but certain sounds would have been too powerful—none of its softest notes could have reduced themselves to the feeble, faint, halting sound of the $F$ natural of the medium, and of the first $B\flat$ above the lines, which imparts so much sadness to the flute in this key of $D$ minor, where these notes frequently occur. In short, neither the violin, the viola, nor the violoncello used in solo or in masses, would serve to express this very sublime lament of a suffering and despairing departed spirit; it required precisely the instrument selected by the author. And Gluck's melody is conceived in such a way that the flute lends itself to all the uneasy writhings of this eternal grief, still imbued with the passions of earthly life. It is at first a voice scarcely audible, which seems to fear being overheard; then it laments softly, rising into the accent of reproach, then into that of profound woe, the cry of a heart torn by incurable wounds, falling little by little into complaint, regret, and the sorrowing murmur of a resigned soul. What a poet!

No. 36. Orfeo—Gluck
An effect remarkable for its sweetness, is that of a flute playing in the medium successions of notes, Bb, Ab, G, F, and Eb, in flutes, have, thus grouped, something of the sonorousness of the harmonica. Thirds of hautbois, corni inglesi, or clarinets, do not resemble them.

The low sounds of the flute are seldom, or else ill employed by the majority of composers. Weber, in numerous passages of the Freischütz, and, before him, Gluck, in the religious march in Alceste, have nevertheless shown what may be done with it in harmonies imbued with seriousness and thought. These bass notes,—as I have already said,—mingle admirably with the low sounds of corni inglesi and clarinets; they give the softened shade of a dark colouring:

No. 37.
Another instance of this, occurs in the example quoted from Weber's Freischutz (page 35). There is something ineffably dreamy in these low holding notes of the two flutes, during the melancholy prayer of Agatha, as she contemplates the summits of the trees, silveryed by the rays of the night planet.

In general, the modern masters keep their flutes too constantly in the high range; they seem afraid that these instruments shall not be sufficiently distinguished amidst the mass of the orchestra. It hence results that they predominate, instead of blending in with the whole; and thus the instrumentation becomes hard and piercing rather than sonorous and harmonious.

Flutes form a family of themselves—like hautboys and clarinets; and are quite as numerous. The large flute—of which mention has just been made—is the most used. For ordinary orchestras, no more than two large flute parts are written; nevertheless, soft chords held on by three flutes would often have an
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The Piccolo Flute.

An octave higher than the preceding:

\[ \text{Illustration of the piccolo flute notes.} \]

Was the same compass, always excepting the

A high C, \[ \text{Illustration of a high C note.} \]

which comes out with
difficulty, and with a sound almost unbearable;

At it should never be written. The B♭, \[ \text{Illustration of the B♭ note.} \]
said of exceeding harshness, and can only be

eyed in a fortissimo of the whole orchestra. It

lost useless, by contrary reason, to write the

of the lower octave; since they would be

ly heard: and unless for an effect to be pro-
duced by the peculiarity of their seelige tone, it is

t better to replace them by their corresponding sounds

in the second octave of the large flute.

Piccolo flutes are strangely abused now-a-days—
as is the case with all instruments whose vibrations

thrive, pierce, or flash forth. In pieces of a joyous

character, the sounds of the second octave—

\[ \text{Illustration of piccolo flute notes.} \]

may be very suitable, in all their gradations; while

the upper notes—

\[ \text{Illustration of piccolo flute notes.} \]

are excellent (fortissimo) for violent and tearing

effects: in a storm, for instance, or in a scene of

fierce or infernal character. Thus, the piccolo flute

figures incomparably in the fourth movement of

Beethoven's Pastoral Symphony—now alone and

displayed, above the low tremolo of violas and basses,

imitating the whistlings of a tempest whose full force

is not yet unchained—now on the higher notes still,
together with the entire mass of the orchestra.

Gluck, in the tenor of Iphigenia in Tauride, has

known how to make the high sounds of the piccolo

flutes in unison grate still more roughly, by writing

them in a succession of sixths, a fourth above the

first violins. The sound of the piccolo flutes issuing

out in the upper octave, produces therefore a suc-
cession of sixths with the first violins, the

harshness of which is here of the very best effect.

No. 38.

\[ \text{Illustration of a musical score.} \]
In the chorus of Scythians, in the same opera, the two piccolo flutes double in the octave the little grouped passages of the violins; these whistling notes, mingled with the ravings of the savage troop, with the measured and incessant din of the cymbals and tambourine, make one shiver. (See Example 64.)

Everyone has remarked the diabolic sneer of the two piccolo flutes in thirds, in the drinking song of the Freischütz. It is one of Weber's happiest orchestral inventions:

Spontini, in his magnificent bacchanalian strain in the Danaides (since become an orgy chorus in Nurmahal) first conceived the idea of uniting a short piercing cry of the piccolo flutes to a stroke of the cymbals. The singular sympathy which is thus created between these very dissimilar instruments, had not been thought of before. It cuts and rends instantaneously, like the stab of a poignard. This effect is very characteristic—even when employing only the two instruments mentioned; but its force is augmented by an abrupt stroke of the kettle-drums, joined to a brief chord of all the other instruments.

A charming instance of this device occurs in a phrase played pianissimo on a low holding note of the stringed instruments, in the first act of Anver's opera, Le Dieu et la Bayadère.

In military music advantageous use is made of three other flutes, which might be made very serviceable in ordinary orchestras; these are:

Firstly, The tierce flute (said to be in F), of which the C makes E♭, and which should—from all that has been said in commencing this chapter—be classed among transposing instruments in E♭. It is exactly a minor third above the ordinary flute—from which it differs only in that particular, and in its more crystalline quality of tone.

Secondly, The minor ninth piccolo flute (said to be in E♭), the C of which makes D♭; and which accordingly may be classed among the transposing instruments in D♭. It is a semitone higher than the octave piccolo flute; and it should be similarly treated:

Thirdly, The tenth piccolo flute (said to be in F), of which the C makes E♭; and which we will call tenth piccolo flute in E♭. It is an octave above the minor third flute, and a tenth above the ordinary flute:
It should not be made to go above the high and even this note is excessively piercing, and comes out with difficulty.

Some orchestras also possess a large minor second flute, of which the C makes Db; which should be called flute in Db, and of which the diapason is only a semitone higher than that of the ordinary flute.

Effect.

All these flutes, which concur in increasing the high compass of the instrument, and of which the qualities of tone are variously characterised, are useful, moreover, in rendering the execution more easy, and in preserving to the flute its sonorousness, by allowing it to play in one of its brilliant keys, while the orchestra is written in one of its duller keys. It is evidently much more advantageous, for a piece in Eb, for instance, to prefer the ninth minor piccolo flute in Db, to the octave piccolo flute; because the former of these plays in the key of D, which is much easier and more full-sounding.

It is a pity that the Flûte d'amour should have been allowed to fall into disuse; its diapason was a minor third below the ordinary flute (in A, therefore).

Effect.

This completes the low-compassed family of this instrument (which might be made, however, as numerous a family as that of the clarinets, if needful); and its soft smooth quality of tone might be of excellent effect, either for contrasting with the quality of high flutes or hautbois, or for giving greater body and depth of colour to those harmonies—already so peculiar—which result from the bass notes of flutes, corni inglesi, and clarinets.

**Wind Instruments with Key-boards.**

**The Organ.**

Is an instrument with a key-board and pipes of wood and of metal, made to vibrate by means of the wind sent through them from bellows.

The number, lesser or greater, of series of pipes of different kinds and different dimensions possessed by an organ, gives it a proportional variety of stops, by means of which the organist can change the quality of tone, the power of sound, and the compass of the instrument.

They call the drum-stop that mechanism by means of which, on drawing out a little piece of wood, the organist makes such and such a stop speak.

(* What is here said upon the organ refers to Continental organs; and therefore applies but partially to the organ in this country. —Translator.)

The compass of the instrument is indefinite; it varies with its dimension—which is ordinarily designated by the number of feet length that its largest pipe measures, forming the lowest note of the keyboard. Thus, they say—an organ of thirty-two feet, of sixteen, of eight, or of four feet.

An instrument which possesses, with the lowest stop—called open flute of thirty-two feet—an open flute of sixteen feet, an open flute of eight feet, a Prsent, or open flute of four feet, and the Principal which sounds the octave above the preceding, has the immense compass of eight octaves:

- Compass of 32 feet.
- Compass of 16 feet.
- Compass of 8 feet.
- Compass of the Prsent of 4 feet.
- Compass of the Principal.

With all the Chromatic Intervals.

These five stops—as may be seen—have each four octaves; but many others among those of which we shall presently speak, have only three, or even two. At the present time, organ-builders give five notes higher to their key-boards. The compass above is thus extended, chromatically, up to F.

A large organ generally possesses five key-boards one above another.
Among the reed stops, we will only specify the following:

First, the bombarde; a stop of great power, which is played on a separate key-board, or on the pedal. Its first pipe is sixteen-feet; and it is in unison with the open sixteen-feet.

Second, the trumpet stop; which sounds the unison of the eight-foot pipe, and consequently the high octave of the bombarde.

Third, the clarion stop; the high octave of the trumpet stop.

Fourth, the Cremona stop; the unison of the trumpet stop, but less brilliant; it is always placed in the choir-organ.

Fifth, the vox humana stop; which sounds the eight-foot pipe, and is placed in the great organ.

Sixth, the hautboy stop; which sounds in unison with the trumpet stop. It has usually the upper octaves only; but it is completed by means of the basoon stop, which furnishes the two other octaves.

These different stops imitate tolerably well in their quality of tone, those instruments whose names they bear. There are organs which possess many other, such as the corso inglesi stop, the trombone stop, &c.

Every organ should have a draw-stop which serves for the principal sounds, which corresponds with the whole of the key-board, and which, for this reason, is called the Principal.

The fingering of the organ is the same as that of the pianoforte.—with this difference—that the emission of the sounds being less instantaneous, such rapid succession cannot be executed as on the pianoforte; the mechanism of the key-board, moreover, obliging the organist to press his fingers more upon each key. This instrument possesses the power of sustaining the sounds as long as may be desired; it is therefore more suited than any other to the bound style; that is to say, to that in which the harmony makes the most frequent use of suspensions and prolongations, and of oblique movement. In my opinion, however, this is no reason for invariably adhering to the courtesy of this style. Music for the organ is sometimes written upon three lines; the two upper ones for the hands, and the under one for the pedal key-board.

The organ seems able—like the pianoforte, and even still better—to present itself in the instrumental hierarchy, under two aspects:—as an instrument belonging to the orchestra, or as being itself a complete and independent orchestra. It is doubtless possible to blend the organ with the divers constituent elements of the orchestra; and it has even been many times done: but it is strangely derogatory to this majestic instrument, to reduce it to this secondary condition. Moreover, it should be felt that its smooth, equal, and uniform sonorosity, never entirely melts into the variously characterized sounds of the orchestra, and that there seems to exist between these two musical powers a secret antipathy. The Organ and the Orchestra are both Kings; or rather, one is Emperor, the other, Pope; their mission is not the same, their interests are too vast, and too diverse, to be confounded together. Therefore, on almost all these occasions, where this singular con-
nection is attempted, either the organ much predominates over the orchestra, or the orchestra having been related to an immoderate degree of influence, almost eclipses his adversary.

The soft stops of the organ seem alone suitable for accompanying the voice. In general, the organ is formed for absolute dominion; it is a jealous and intolerant instrument. In one case only, it seems to me, the organ can, without derogation, mingle with the choir and orchestra; and even then, it would be on condition of itself remaining in its solemn isolation. For example, if a mass of voices placed in the choir of a church, at a great distance from the organ, interrupted its chaunt in time to time, that they might be repeated on the organ, in part, or entirely; if the same choir, in a rite of some sad character, were accompanied by a lament from the orchestra and from the organ, issuing thus from the two extreme points of the temple, the organ succeeding to the orchestra, like the mysterious echo of its lamentation,—this would be a mode of instrumentation susceptible of grand and sublime effects. But, even in this case, the organ would not really mingle with the other instruments; it would answer them, it would interrogate them; and the alliance between the two rival powers would only be the more sincere, that neither the one nor the other would lose anything of their respective dignity. Whenever I have heard the organ playing at the same time with the orchestra, it has seemed to me to produce a destructive effect; and to impair that of the orchestra instead of augmenting it. As for determining the manner in which the organ should be individually treated,—considered as a complete orchestra in itself,—this is not the place for us to do so. We have not undertaken the task of giving a series of systems of the different instruments; but merely a careful study of what is the best mode of making them conduce to musical effect in their association. The knowledge of the organ, the art of choosing its different stops, of contrasting them one with the other, constitutes the talent of the organist,—supposing him to be, according to custom, an extemporize-player. In the contrary case,—that is to say, considered merely as a simple performer having to execute a written work,—he should scrupulously conform to the composer’s instructions; who, accordingly, is bound to know the special resources of the instrument he writes for, and employ them judiciously. But these resources are so vast and so numerous, that the organist will never be well acquainted with them,—as it appears to me,—unless he be himself an accomplished organist.

If, in a composition, the organ be combined with voices, and with other instruments, it should not be forgotten that its pitch is lower by a whole tone, than the present pitch of the orchestra; and that therefore it should be treated as a transposing instrument in B♭. (The organ of St. Thomas at Leipzig, on the contrary, is a tone higher than the orchestra.)

The organ possesses effects of sonorosity, soft, loud, and awe-striking; but it is not in its nature to deliver them in rapid succession. It cannot therefore, like the orchestra, suddenly pass from Forte to Piano, or from Forte to Pianissimo. By means of the improvements recently introduced into its construction, it can—by the successive employment of different stops in conjunction—produce a sort of crescendo; and then, bring in a diminuendo by withdrawing them in the same order. But the gradation and re-gradation obtained by this ingenious method, do not admit of the intermediate shades which give so much force to these fluctuations of the orchestra; there is always felt—more or less—the operation of an inanimate mechanism. Erard’s instrument, known under the name of the expressive organ (“l’orgue expressif”), alone gives the possibility of really swelling and diminishing the sound; but it is not yet adopted in churches. Serious men—of otherwise excellent understanding—condemn its use, as destructive of the religious character and intention of the organ.

Without entering upon the great question, so often discussed, of the propriety of expression in sacred music—a question which simple good sense exempt from prejudice might resolve in a moment—we will take leave to point out to the partisans of ornate music, of plain-song, and of the inexpressive organs (as if the loud and soft stops of different qualities of tone, did not already give to the organ variety and expression); we will just request them. I say, to observe that they are the first to exclaim with admiration, when the performance of a chorus, in a sacred work, shines by the delicacy of its graduated effects of crescendo, decrescendo, light and shade, swelled, sustained, or suppressed sounds,—in a word,—by all those attributes which are wanting in the organ, and which Erard’s invention will tend to supply. These persons are therefore evidently inconsistent with themselves; unless they pretend (as they are very capable of doing) that expressive gradations, perfectly appropriate, religious, and catholic, in the human voice, become suddenly, when applied to the organ, irreligious, heterodox, and impious. It is singular also,—let me be pardoned this digression,—that these critical conservatives of orthodoxy in the matter of religious music, who maintain, and with reason, that true religious sentiment directs inspiration (while prohibiting the expression of gradations in this sentiment), have never thought of blaming the use of quick fugues, which for ages have formed the staple of organ music in all schools. Is it that the themes of these fugues—some of which express nothing, and many others of which are of a fashion at least grotesque,—become grave and religious merely because they are treated in fugal style,—that is to say, in the form which tends to reproduce them oftenest, and display them most constantly? Is it that this multitude of introductions of different parts, these imitations in canon, these scraps of twisted and tangled phrases, pursuing, flying, and rolling over one another, this “confusion worse confounded,” where true melody is excluded, where the chords succeed each other so rapidly that their character can scarcely be discerned, this inco-
They have two kinds of sounds of very different character; open sounds, which are almost all the natural resonace of the harmonic divisions of the instrument's tube, and come out without other assistance than that of the lips and breath of the player; and closed sounds, which are obtained by closing more or less the bell (the lower orifice of the horn) with the hand.

First, here is the table of open sounds on the different first and second horns:

---

They have two kinds of sounds of very different character; open sounds, which are almost all the natural resonace of the harmonic divisions of the instrument's tube, and come out without other assistance than that of the lips and breath of the player; and closed sounds, which are obtained by closing more or less the bell (the lower orifice of the horn) with the hand.

First, here is the table of open sounds on the different first and second horns:

---

BASS INSTRUMENTS WITH MOUTH-PIECES.

The Horn.

An instrument, possessing a large number of key crooks, which render its pitch more or less as desired, its compass cannot be very exactly stated, without at the same time knowing the key of the horn in question. It is, in fact, easier to play high sounds than low sounds on horns of a key excepting, however, the keys of A, B♭, (low), the extreme length of their tubes, as well as the emission of high notes very difficult. On the contrary, to give low notes than high notes, on horns whose keys are high. More certain horn-players, using a large mouth-piece being well-practised in giving low sounds, bring forth the higher ones; while others, using a narrow mouth-piece, and have accustomed their lips to give forth the high notes, cannot give the lower ones.

There is then a particular compass for each key of the instrument, and likewise two other particular keys belonging to performers who play the high hat of the first horn, and the low part (that of the second horn).

The horn is written on the G clef, and on the F clef with this particularity established by custom, the G clef is considered as being lower by an octave than it really is. The subjoined examples will be understood.

Horns, with the exception of the horn in C, are transposing instruments; that is to say, written notes do not represent the real sounds.
BERLIOZ TREATISE UPON

and rougher the note; and the more difficult it is of
certainty and precision in the playing. There is
therefore an important distinction to be made among
the closed sounds; which will be designated by
affixing the sign \( \frac{1}{2} \) to those which are the best notes,
and for which the bell must be only half closed.

The white notes are the open sounds,—the table
of which is given above; and the black, represent
the closed sounds.

Before going farther, and in order to be able to
give the table of the complete compass of the horn,
we will here mention that there are still some open
notes, less known than the preceding, yet nevertheless
very useful. They are, the high \( Ab \), \( \text{\scalebox{0.8}{$\frac{1}{2}$}} \text{F} \),
the intonation of which is always a little lower, and
which only appears truly in tune when placed
between two \( F_5 \),—

it can therefore never replace \( F_5 \); the low \( Ab \),
\( \text{\scalebox{0.8}{$\frac{1}{2}$}} \text{F} \), which is obtained by forcing a \( G \), and by
compressing the lips; and the low \( F_5 \),
which comes out, on the contrary, by leaving the
lips free. These two last notes are very valuable;
the \( Ab \) particularly, produces on many occasions an
excellent effect in all the keys higher than the key of
\( D \). As to the \( F_5 \), it is of more uncertain emission;
and there is more difficulty in sustaining it precisely
and truly in tune.

These low sounds can, strictly speaking, be played
without preparation; by avoiding, however, letting
them be preceded by notes too high: it is never-
theless much better, generally, to place them after
a \( G \):—

The passing from the \( Ab \) to the \( F_5 \) is practicable
in a moderate movement:—
Certain horn-players give still beneath these notes the Eq., — a detestable note, and almost inapproachable, which I should advise composers never to employ. The same with regard to the following five low notes which rarely come out well in tune, which there is much trouble in fixing, and which should not be attempted—at any rate, only on middle horns, such as the horns in D, E, and F; and only in a descending progression:—

By uniting the compass of the first horn with that of the second, and by making the factitious open notes, or closed notes, succeed the natural open notes, this is the immense chromatic scale thence resulting—ascending from low to high—

**General Compass of the Horn.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horn in F</th>
<th>Open; factitious.</th>
<th>Open; factitious.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closed; very bad</td>
<td>Open; factitious; Good.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed; very good</td>
<td>Open; good; bad.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed; closed; closed; closed; closed; closed; Good.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed; Closed; Closed; Closed; Closed; Good.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed; Closed; Good; Less good.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A little too closed; Good; Less good.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is here the opportunity to point out that rapid succesions are the more difficult on the horn, according as its key is lower; its tube—which is then of considerable length—not being able instantaneously to be put in vibration. As for even natural low notes, in almost all keys, they can only succeed each other in a moderate movement; it is, moreover, a general law, which should be observed in all instruments—that, since the low sounds are those which result from the greatest number of instantaneous vibrations, so, the sonorous body should have the requisite time for the production of sound. Thus, the following passage, on a low horn, would be impracticable, and of bad effect:—

This one—possible on a horn in F, and in the higher keys—would also be very bad in the keys of C and of B♭ low:—

Care should be taken, as much as possible, in employing closed sounds, particularly in the orchestra, to interperse them with open sounds; and not to skip from one closed note to another; or, at least, from a bad closed note to another equally bad. Thus, it would be absurd to write—

On the contrary, a passage like this—

is not deficient in sonorosity, and can easily be executed, because it contains but one bad closed note (the first B♭); while the same passage transposed would be ridiculous, and of excessive difficulty:—

By these three examples may be seen, that the best closed sounds are to be found—with the exception of the four following:—

situated above the middle A♭; they form the series already before indicated:—

This is why the phrase in A♭ heretofore cited, good in one octave, becomes detestable when transposed an octave below, where it runs almost entirely upon the worst closed notes,—

although it begins by an open note, the A♭ —a factitious note; which thus attacked rapidly, and without preparation, is of extremely hazardous utterance.

The old masters limited themselves, in general, to the use of open sounds; which they wrote—it must be owned—very undexterously. Beethoven himself is exceedingly reserved in his use of closed sounds, when he does not treat the horns in solo; the examples are rather rare in his orchestral writing; and when he has recourse to them, it is almost always for a striking effect. It is thus with the closed sounds and factitious sounds of the three horns in E♭, in the scherzo of the Eroica Symphony, and of the low E♭ of the second horn in D in the scherzo of the Symphony in A —
This system is doubtless greatly superior to the contrary method, adopted now-a-days by the majority of French and Italian composers, and which consists in writing the horns exactly like bassoons or clarinets, without taking into account the enormous difference existing between closed sounds and open sounds, as also between certain closed sounds and certain others, without caring for the difficulty there is for the player to take such and such a note after another note, which does not lead to it naturally,—for the dubious correctness,—for the slight sonorousness or hoarse and strange character of the intonations which are taken by closing the two thirds or three fourths of the bell,—in short, without appearing to have a misgiving, that a thorough knowledge of the instrument, that taste and good sense, may have something to do with the use of the sounds which these pupil-masters thus venture at all risks introducing into the orchestra. Even the paroxysm of the ancients is evidently preferable to this ignorant and odious prodigality. When closed sounds are not written for a particular effect, those at least should be avoided, the sonorosity of which is too weak and too dissimilar to the other sounds of the horn.

Of these, are, the D⁴ and the D⁷ below the stave, D⁷; the A⁷ and the low B⁷, B⁷; and the A⁷ of the medium, D⁷; which should never be employed as notes of filling up; but only, in order to produce effects inherent from their dull, hoarse, and wild quality of tone. For a melodical design, the form of which imperiously demands the presence of this note, I would except only the middle A⁷:

![Music notation]

The low B⁷, B⁷ has been once introduced with excellent dramatic purpose, by Weber, in the scene of the Freischutz where Gaspard invokes Zameil; but this sound is so closed, and consequently so dull, that it is not heard; it could only be remarked, by the whole orchestra suddenly ceasing at the moment of its utterance. Thus, the middle A⁷, written by Meyerbeer in the Num-scene of Robert le Diable, when Robert approaches the tomb to gather the enchanted branch, gains general attention only on account of the silence of almost all the other instruments; and yet this note is much more sonorous than the low B⁷. In certain scenes of silent horror, a very great effect may result from these closed notes in several parts. Méhul is the only one, I believe, who has perceived this, in his opera of Phroïne et Médor.
Major and minor shakes are practicable on the horn; but in a small portion of the scale only. These are the best:

Less good:

Horns are generally written—whatever may be their key, and that of the orchestra—without sharps or flats at the clef. When the horn is treated as a reciting part, however, it is better, if the instrument be not in the same key as the orchestra, to indicate at the clef the sharps or flats required by the key; but it should always be so managed, that very few are employed. Thus, the horn in $F$ is very well selected for the performance of a solo, when the orchestra is playing in $E \flat$; first, because it is one of the best keys of the instrument, and next, because this combination does not necessitate for the horn part more than two flats ($B$ and $E$) at the clef; one of which ($B$) being, in the medium and in the high, an open note, does not diminish the sonorosity of that portion of its scale which would be most employed in such a case:

It is true, that a horn in $E \flat$, for a passage like this, would be quite as advantageous:

But if the melody bring in frequently the fourth and sixth degree of this scale ($A \flat$ and $C$), the horn in $F$ would be a great deal better than the horn in $E \flat$; its two notes, $\flat B$ and $E$, which produce $\flat B E$ being much better than those of the horn in $E \flat$, $\flat B$ representing the same sounds.

Orchestras, formerly, possessed but two horns: but at present composers always find them provided with four. With two horns—even by making use of the closed sounds, when needful to modulate rather far from the principal key—the resources of the instrument would be very limited; but with four, on the contrary, even when only the open notes should be employed, it is easy to manage by means of an interchange of keys.

A composer who takes four horns in the same key, almost always gives proof of egregious want of judgment. It is incomparably better to employ two horns in one key and two in another; or the first and second horn in the same key, the third in another, and the fourth in another—which would be still preferable; or, lastly, four horns in four different keys; which should be done especially when a large number of open sounds is wanted.

The orchestra playing, for instance, in $A \flat$, these four horns might be:—the first, in $A \flat$; the second, in $E \flat$ (on account of its $E$ producing $G \sharp$, which gives enharmonically the $A \flat$); the third, in $F$; and the fourth, in $C$. Or, the first in $A \flat$; the second, in $D \flat$; the third, in $E \flat$; the fourth, in low $B$ (on account of its $E$ producing $D \sharp$, which gives enharmonically $E \flat$). The composer may also—according to the texture of the piece—combine the four keys in several other ways; it is for him to calculate the exigencies of his harmonies, and to render the choice of his horns subservient to their need.

By this means, there are very few chords, in which may not be introduced four, three, or at least two open notes.
When many different keys are used at the same time, it is better to give the high keys to the first horns, and the low keys to the second horns.

Another precaution, which many composers unwise neglect, is that of not making the performer, in the same piece, exchange a very high key for a very low key, and vice-versa. The horn player, for instance, finds it very awkward to pass suddenly from the key of A (high), to that of Eb (low); and by means of four horns—which are now to be found in all orchestras—there is never any necessity, for a change of keys, of skips so disproportioned.

The horn is a noble and melancholy instrument; the expression of its quality of tone, and of its sonorosity, are, nevertheless, not those which unfit it for figuring in any kind of piece. It blends easily with the general harmony; and the composer—even the least skilful—may, if he choose, either make it play an important part, or a useful but subordinate one. No master, in my opinion, has ever known how to avail himself of its powers more originally, more poetically, and at the same time more completely, than Weber. In his three finest works, Oberon, Euryanthe, and Der Freyschutz, he causes the horn to speak a language as admirable as it is novel; a language which Michel and Beethoven alone seem to have comprehended before him, and of which Meyerbeer, better than any one, has maintained the purity. The horn is, of all orchestral instruments, that which Gluck wrote least well for; the simple inspection of one of his works suffices to lay bare his want of skill in this respect. We must however quote, as a stroke of genius, those three notes of the horn imitating the couch of Charon in the air from Alcestis: "Charon now calls thee!" They are middle Cs, given in unison by two horns in D; but the author having conceived the idea of causing the bells of each to be closed, it follows that the two instruments serve mutually as a sordine, and the sounds, interclashing, assume a distant accent, and a cavernous quality of tone, of the most strange and dramatic effect:—

I think, however, that Gluck would have obtained nearly the same result with the closed middle Ab of two horns in Gb:—

But perhaps, at that period, the performers were not so sure of taking such intonations; and the author did well in using this singular means of giving gloom and remoteness to the most open sound of the horn in D.

Rossini, in the hunting-strain of the second act of Guillaume Tell, conceived the idea of causing a diatonic phrase to be executed by four Eb horns in unison. It is very original. When four horns are thus united, either in a sustained air, or in a rapid passage which requires the use of closed sounds and open sounds, it is far better (unless the idea be based on this very variety and inequality of sounds) to put them all in different keys; the open sounds on some, thus compensating the small sonorosity of the corresponding closed sounds on others, preserve the balance, and give to the scale of the four combined horns a kind of homogeneity. Thus, while the horn in C gives the Eb (closed), if the horn in Eb gives the C (open), the horn in F the Bb (open), and a horn in Eb the F (closed), there results from these four different qualities a quadruple Eb of a very beautiful tone; and, evidently, it is nearly the same with all the others:—

An advantageous proceeding—of which I know but one example—consists in making three or four horns in different keys succeed each other for the execution of a solo air. Each of them thus taking in the phrase those notes which correspond with its own sounds, there results,—if the melodic fragments are adroitly linked one to another,—a strain which seems to be executed by a single horn, almost all whose notes are equal and open:—
I have said that the horn is a noble and melancholy instrument, notwithstanding those jocund hunting flourishes so often quoted. In fact, the gaiety of these strains arises rather from the melody itself, than from the quality of tone of the horns; hunting flourishes are only really jocund when played on trumpets,—an instrument little musical, whose piercing sound, even in the open air, bears no resemblance to the chaste and reserved voice of the horn. By forcing in a particular way the emission of the air from the tube of a horn, it is brought, however, to resemble that of the trumpet; which is called making the sounds brassy.

This may sometimes be done with excellent effect, even on closed notes. When there is need to force the open notes, composers generally require the performers—in order to give the sound all possible roughness—to take off the bells of their instruments; and they then indicate the condition of the horn by these words:—“Bella giff.” A magnificent example of the employment of this means is to be found in the final outbreak of the duet in Méhul’s Euphrosyne et Coradin:—“Gardez vous de la jalousie.” Still under the influence of this fearful yell of the horns, Grétry one day answered somebody who asked him his opinion of this tempestuous duet:—“It is enough to split the roof of the theatre with the sculls of the audience!”

**The Horn with three pistons; and the Horn with valves.**

This instrument can make all its notes open notes, by means of a particular mechanism of which the action consists in changing instantaneously the key of the horn. Thus the use of such and such a piston, transforms the F horn into an Eb horn; or an Eb horn, into a D horn, &c.; whence it follows that the open notes of one key becoming added to those of other keys, the complete chromatic scale is obtained in open sounds. The use of the three pistons has moreover the effect of adding to the scale of the instrument six semitones below its lowest natural sound. Thus, in taking this C, as the extreme point of the compass of the horn below, the pistons give it the following notes in addition:

It is the same with all the brass instruments—trumpets, cornets, bugles, and trombones,—to which the mechanism of the pistons is applied.

The compass of the horn with three pistons, in a mixed key like the key of E♭ would therefore be this:

This system especially offers advantages for the second horns, owing to the considerable lapses which it fills up between its natural low notes, commencing from the last low C ascending, but the quality of tone of the horn with pistons differs a little from that of the ordinary horn,—which it cannot therefore entirely replace. I think it should be treated almost like an instrument apart,—particularly fitted for giving good basses, vibrant and energetic; not possessing, however, so much force as the low sounds of the tenor trombone, to which its own bear much resemblance. It can also render a melody well, especially if it lies principally on the medium notes.

The best keys to use for the horn with pistons—the only ones indeed which leave nothing to desire on the score of correctness in tone—are the intermediate keys. Thus, the horns in E♭, F, G, and A♭, are much preferable to the others.

Many composers show themselves opposed to this new instrument, because, since its introduction into orchestras, certain horn-players using the pistons for playing ordinary horn parts, find it more convenient to produce, by this mechanism, open notes, those notes intentionally written as closed notes by the author. This is, in fact, a dangerous abuse; but it is for orchestral conductors to prevent its increase; and it should not be lost sight of, moreover, that the horn with pistons, in the hands of a clever player, can give all the closed sounds of the ordinary horn, and yet more; since it can execute the whole scale without employing a single open note. This is how—the use of the pistons—by changing the key of the instrument—gaining the open notes of the different keys, in addition to those of the principal key, it is clear that it must also bring the reunion.
of the closed notes of all the keys. Thus, the horn in $F$ naturally gives this open C, \( \text{C} \), which produces $F$; and, by means of the pistons, this open D, \( \text{D} \), which produces $G$; but if the hand be employed in the bell, so as to lower these two notes one tone, the first becomes a $\text{Bb}$, \( \text{Bb} \), producing $E\flat$ closed, and the second a $\text{C}$, \( \text{C} \), producing F, also closed.

It is for the composer to indicate by the word "closed," and by the figures $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{4}$, showing how much the bell must be stopped, the notes which he does not wish produced open.

For a scale written like the following—

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
\text{Closed} & \text{C} & \text{D} & \text{E}\flat & \text{F} & \text{G} & \text{A} & \text{Bb} & \text{C} & \text{D} \\
\hline
\text{Bb} & \text{C} & \text{D} & \text{E}\flat & \text{F} & \text{G} & \text{A} & \text{Bb} & \text{C} & \text{D} \\
\end{array}
\]

the performer will therefore take the proper pistons for the open scale of $\text{C}$:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
\text{C} & \text{D} & \text{E}\flat & \text{F} & \text{G} & \text{A} & \text{Bb} & \text{C} & \text{D} \\
\hline
\text{C} & \text{D} & \text{E}\flat & \text{F} & \text{G} & \text{A} & \text{Bb} & \text{C} & \text{D} \\
\end{array}
\]

and the employment of the hand stopping the two thirds of the bell upon each note, will make it into a scale of $\text{Bb}$, of which all the sounds will be the dullest and most closed that can be obtained on the horn. It is thus possible, on the horn with pistons, after having played a passage in open sounds, to repeat it in closed sounds, like a very distant echo.

The horn with valves differs from the preceding only by the nature of its mechanism.

This difference is all in its favor on the score of flexibility and quality of tone. The sounds of the horn with valves, do not materially differ from those of the ordinary horn. This instrument is already in general use throughout Germany, and, without doubt, will become so everywhere before long.

The Trumpet.

Its compass is nearly the same as that of the horn, of which it possesses (in the upper octaves) all the natural open notes; it is written on the $\text{G}$ clef:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
\text{In the high keys only.} & \text{F} & \text{G} & \text{A} & \text{Bb} & \text{C} & \text{D} \\
\hline
\text{Very rare.} & \text{F} & \text{G} & \text{A} & \text{Bb} & \text{C} & \text{D} \\
\end{array}
\]

Some performers succeed tolerably well in producing certain closed notes on the trumpet, by introducing—as on the horn—the hand into the bell; but the effect of these notes is so bad, and their intonation so uncertain, that an immense majority of composers have wisely abstained, and still abstain, from using them. From this precept, should be excepted, and considered as an open note, the high $\text{F}$, \( \text{F} \); it comes out by aid of the lips only, but its intonation is always too sharp; it should only be written as a passing note placed between a $\text{G}$ and an $\text{E}$,

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
& \text{G} & \text{A} & \text{Bb} & \text{C} & \text{D} \\
\hline
\text{Very bad quality.} & \text{G} & \text{A} & \text{Bb} & \text{C} & \text{D} \\
\end{array}
\]

and care must be taken not to come upon it unprepared, nor to sustain it.

The medium $\text{Bb}$, on the contrary, \( \text{Bb} \), is always a little too flat.

It is well to avoid the employment of the low $\text{C}$, \( \text{C} \), especially on trumpets lower than the trumpet in $F$; this note is of weak sound, of common quality in tone, without being appropriate for any characteristic effect; and it can easily be replaced by a sound of the horn, incomparably better in all respects.

The three extreme high notes,

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
& \text{G} & \text{A} & \text{Bb} \\
\hline
\text{Very bad quality.} & \text{G} & \text{A} & \text{Bb} \\
\end{array}
\]

already very hazardous on trumpets in $\text{low A}$, $\text{Bb}$, and $\text{C}$, are impracticable in higher keys. The $\text{C}$, might, however, be reached, even in the key of $\text{Bb}$, if it were thus brought in, loud:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
\text{C} & \text{D} & \text{E}\flat & \text{F} & \text{G} & \text{A} & \text{Bb} & \text{C} & \text{D} \\
\hline
\text{Bb} & \text{C} & \text{D} & \text{E}\flat & \text{F} & \text{G} & \text{A} & \text{Bb} & \text{C} & \text{D} \\
\end{array}
\]

Such a passage,—which the greater number of German and English players would face without hesitation,—would be, however, very dangerous in France, where there exists generally in the practice of brass instruments, much difficulty in going high.

There are trumpets formed of all kinds, in $\text{Bb}$, in $\text{C}$, in $\text{D}$, in $\text{Eb}$, in $\text{E}\flat$, in $\text{F}$, and in $\text{G}$; very rarely in high $\text{A}$; by means of the lengthening piece, of which mention was made in speaking of horns, and which lowers the instrument a half tone, trumpets are produced in $\text{A}$, in $\text{Bb}$, in $\text{Db}$ (or $\text{C}$), in $\text{G}$ (or $\text{F}$). By means of a double lengthening piece which lowers the trumpet a whole tone, the key of low $\text{A}$ is even obtained; but this key is the worst of all. The finest quality of tone, on the contrary, is that of the trumpet in $\text{Db}$; an instrument full of brilliancy, and of remarkable precision in tune; but which is seldom employed, because the majority of composers are ignorant of its existence.

After what I have said above of the notes of the two extremes of the trumpet scale, it is easy to conclude that the compass of this instrument is not the same in all keys. The low trumpets—like all other instruments of this kind—should avoid the lowest note; while the high trumpets cannot reach the most acute sounds.

Here is a table of the different keys:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
\text{Trumpet in low A.} & \text{Very bad} & \text{quality.} \\
\hline
\text{Effect.} & \text{Very bad} & \text{quality.} \\
\end{array}
\]
This low double C marked with this sign *, and which must be written on the F clef, is of excellent sonoroussness in the three high keys ; on many occasions, admirable use may be made of it.

Trumpets in high A♭ are seldom found but in military bands; their sound is very brilliant, but their compass is still less than that of trumpets in G, since they cannot go above the fourth C:

Adolphe Sax now makes small octave trumpets and tenth trumpets (in high C, and in high F♭) of excellent sound. They should be had in all orchestras, and in all military bands.

The shake is hardly practicable in general on the trumpet; and I think it should be abstained from in the orchestra.

The following three shakes, however, come out tolerably well:

What I have said with regard to different keys on the horn, and of the way of using them by means of interchange, is applicable in all respects to the trumpet. It should be added, though, that the opportunity for writing them in different keys does not often present itself. The greater part of our orchestras only provide a composer with two trumpets and two cornets-a-pistons, instead of four trumpets; and it is better, in this case, to leave the two trumpets both in one key, as the cornets-a-pistons can give all the intervals, and as their quality of tone is not so dissimilar from that of the trumpets, but that they can resemble them well in the whole combination, and thus suffice to complete the harmony.
In general, there is no need of employing trumpets in two different keys excepting in the minor mode, if they are to be given powers of sound which assort precisely with the use of the third and fifth degree of the scale. In G minor, for instance, if it be necessary to cause one trumpet to sound successively the two notes, G, B, while the other sounds, at a third above or a sixth below, the other two notes, B, D, a trumpet must be taken in E (of which the E and the G produce G, B), and a trumpet in B (of which the C and the E give the B and the D); this is what has been done by M. Meyerbeer in the grand scene of the fourth act of the *Huguenots*.

Notwithstanding the routine generally pursued, charming *piano* effects are to be obtained from trumpets; Gluck was one of the first to prove it, by his long holding-note of the two trumpets united pianissimo on the dominant, in the andante of the introduction to *Iphigenia in Tauride*; and since then, Beethoven (especially in the andante of his Symphony in A), and Weber, have drawn great advantage therefrom.
In order that these notes may be produced with certainty, they should, in general, be taken in the medium, and not succeed each other too rapidly.

The five following may be taken and sustained pianissimo:

\[ \text{Music notation} \]

The B♭ of the medium being too flat, this defect of precision should be corrected as much as possible by the force of uttering the sound. It cannot be included among the soft notes; the C above, does not offer the same risk; it may be sustained and taken softly, at least on the four lower keys, A♭, B♭, B♭, and C. In the key of D, I think that a clever player can even give to this C, when sustaining it, much softness; but that it is prudent to conceal its entrance by a forte in the rest of the orchestra.

The quality of tone of the trumpet is noble and brilliant; it suits with warlike ideas, with cries of fury and of vengeance, as with songs of triumph; it lends itself to the expression of all energetic, lofty, and grand sentiments, and to the majority of tragic accents. It may even figure in a jocund piece; provided the joy assume a character of impulse or of pomp and grandeur.

Notwithstanding the real loftiness and distinguished nature of its quality in tone, there are few instruments that have been more degraded than the trumpet. Even including Beethoven and Weber, every composer—not excepting Mozart—has persisted in either confining it to the unworthy limits of fillings-up, or in causing it to sound two or three commonplace rhythmic formulae; as vapid and ridiculous, as they are incompatible, very often, with the character of the pieces in which they occur. This detestable practice is at last abandoned; all composers, now-a-days, of any merit and style, make accord with their melodic designs, with their form of accompaniment, and with the trumpet's powers of sound, all the delicate, the variety, and independence which the nature of the instrument affords. It has needed almost a century for the attainment of this much.

Trumpets with pistons and with cylinders have the advantage of being able, like the horns with pistons, to give all the intervals of the chromatic scale. They have lost nothing of the quality of the ordinary trumpet, by the super-addition of these facilities; and their correctness of intonation is satisfactory. The trumpets with cylinders are the best; they will soon come into general use.

Keyed trumpets, still employed in some Italian orchestras, cannot be compared to them in this respect.

The general compass of trumpets with pistons and with cylinders is this:

\[ \text{Music notation} \]

The high trumpets with cylinders—such as those in F and in G—can descend chromatically as far as F♭, but these extreme notes are of but poor quality.

Major and minor shakes that are possible on the trumpet with cylinders, are the same as those of the cornet with three pistons. (See farther on, the table of shakes for this instrument.)

Valved trumpets—called so on account of their movable valve similar to that of the trombone, and which is moved by the right hand—are, for this reason, fit for producing the truest intervals. Their sound is precisely the same as that of simple trumpets; and their compass is this:

\[ \text{Music notation} \]

The Cornet with three pistons; and with cylinders.

Its compass is about two octaves and two or three notes. The mechanism of pistons with which it is furnished, allows of its giving all the chromatic degrees, as far as the low F♭, nevertheless, this note, and the two or three that precede it in descending,—such as A, A♭, G,—are hardly practicable but on high cornets alone. It is possible, on those high cornets, to get out the double C below, ; the first note of the natural resonance of the trumpet, as will presently be seen; but it is a note of very hazardous utterance, of very bad quality, and of very dubious utility.

There are cornets in C, in B♭, in A, in A♭, in G, in F, in E♭, in E, and in D. By means of the lengthening piece, mentioned in speaking of horns and trumpets, which lowers the instrument half a tone, it is doubtless possible to obtain the keys of B♭, F♭, and even D♭; but the facility of modulating, given by the pistons, renders these changes of keys almost useless. Besides, the low keys,—such as those of G, F, E, and D,—are generally of indifferent quality, and wanting in correctness of intonation. The best cornets—those, I think, which should be almost exclusively used,—are the cornets in A♭, A and B♭. The highest of all, the cornet in C, is rather hard to play.
This is the compass that may be assigned to the different keys of the cornet à piston; certain players obtain some very dangerous notes still beyond, both above and below—but these shall not be reckoned. It is written on the G clef. The natural resonance of its tube—shorter than that of trumpets—gives the following notes:

And here is the chromatic compass given by the pistons, in the different keys:

Cornet in C, real sounds.

Effect.

Cornet in B. Very bad.

Effect.

Cornet in A.

Effect.

Cornet in G.

Effect.

Cornet in F.

Effect.

An opportunity occurs here for pointing out with regard to the last high notes of these examples—which all produce the same G, —that they are of much less hazardous emission, and of much better sonorosity in the high keys than in the low ones. Thus the high of the cornet in A, the high A of the cornet in B, and the high G of the cornet in C, are incomparably better and more easy to play than the high F of the cornet in D, or than the high E of the cornet in B. And yet all these notes sound the same G. Moreover, this observation applies equally to all the brass instruments.

The larger part of major and minor shakes are practicable and of good effect, on this part of the compass of the high cornets à pistons,—such as those in A, B, and C.
Here is now the comparative table of the relations established between the pitches of the various keys, of horns, trumpets, and cornets.

The first low sound of the cornet in C,—as already seen,—is an octave above that of the trumpet in C; just as the first low sound of this trumpet is an octave above that of the horn in (low) C. The natural notes of the horn (those which result from the resonance of the tube) thus reproduce themselves an octave above, and in the same order, in the trumpet; while those of the trumpet also reproduce themselves an octave above, and in the same order, in the cornet, if the player's lips had the necessary force to bring out the highest ones; which is not the case.

* This note exists: it is really the first low one of the horn; but in all the low keys it is so indistinct, and even so indistinguishable, that we have abstained from giving it a place in the scale of the sounds of the horn in (low) C; and for even greater reason, in that of the key of (low) B♭.

It will be seen by the above,—and it is highly important to be remembered,—that the portion of the scale of the sounds of a brass instrument, where it can naturally produce (without pistons) these three notes only:

is always its second octave going from low to high. Therefore, cornets à pistons have their favorite notes; especially in this second octave. By considering the cornets in A, in B♭, and in C, as trumpets an octave above the trumpets in A, in B♭, and in C, they might be thus written; but this has been judiciously avoided, and cornets have been written in their place on the musical scale, by making their lowest sound proceed from an octave above the lowest sound of the trumpet. The best notes of these cornets are within the compass, and in the vicinity of their second octave:

If cornets had been written as trumpets, these notes would always have been below the stave, and would have involved the constant employment of ledger lines. Thus:

This inconvenient method of writing cornets à pistons is nevertheless adopted in Prussian military music; and of this it is well to be aware.

Now, there remains to consider (the key of C being taken as the point of departure, in horns, trumpets, and cornets), that the changing keys of the cornet, proceed by elongation; and therefore, by becoming lower and lower: and this is why, in displaying their scale, we have commenced by the highest keys; whereas, those of trumpets and horns (with the exception of three,—those in B♭, in B♭, and in low A, which are lower than the key of C) proceed by shortening, and consequently by becoming higher and higher.

**Different Keys of the Cornet a Pistons**
1. C (typical key).
2. D♭.
3. E♭.
4. A.
5. G♭.
6. A♭.
7. F♭.
8. D♭.
9. C (typical).
10. C♭.
11. A (very rare).

**Different Keys of the Trumpet.**
Ab (rare).
G♭.
F.
E♭.
D♭.
C (typical).
B♭.
A (very rare).

**Different Keys of the Horn.**
C high.
B♭ high.
A high.
A♭.
G♭.
F.
E♭.
D♭.
C (typical).
B♭.
A (very rare).
Now we must observe what affinities exist between horns, trumpets, and cornets; and the respective position they occupy on the scale of sounds. I will here add, that trumpets with pistons, or with cylinders, having—as I have just said—their best notes within the compass, and in the vicinity of their third octave (which is found in unison with that of the second of the cornet), passages written for cornets & pistons in A, in B, and in C, within this compass:

\[ \text{Real notes:} \]

Cornet in A. \[ \text{Cornet in B.} \]

Cornet in C.

Trumpets, on the contrary, have, in the first place, several more notes below—however poor they may be; and, moreover, produce more easily than cornets, this same A \[ \text{in the keys of D:} \]

\[ \text{Real notes:} \]

Trumpet in D. And in F:

Some artists, gifted with vigour of lip, can even sound the E of the trumpet in G, which produces B, and the G of the trumpet in F, which produces C, but merely in passing, and if these notes be adroitly led up to.

But performers capable of reaching these extreme notes, are rare; and they should not, in writing, be too much counted upon.

Trumpets, having a narrow tube, a small mouth-piece, and a bell of little extent, have more facility in attacking the high notes. The tube of cornets, on the contrary, being rather thick, and almost conical, their bell and their mouth-piece also, being rather larger, the mastery of low notes becomes more easy to them, than that of high notes; and their tone acquires the peculiar quality which distinguishes that of trumpets. This is the cause of that difference.

Before proceeding to the examination of the expressive character of the cornet & pistons, it is not unneccessary to repeat here again what I have said in speaking of the horn with pistons, respecting the action of the three cylinders, or pistons, adapted to brass instruments generally. Not only do these three cylinders give to these instruments the chromatic scale (above their first octave), thereby sup-

This first low C is already so indistinct and difficult to sustain, that the notes added below it for the pistons become—as may be imagined—absolutely impracticable. It is the same for the horns. Although the cornet possesses all the degrees of the chromatic scale, the choice of the change of key is not immaterial; it is always better to take that which offers the means of employing the most natural notes,—it is scarcely necessary here to repeat that the natural notes are those which come out without the aid of the pistons, by the sole effect of the resonance of the tube of the instrument; such as:

\[ \text{Real notes:} \]

—and which bears few or no sharps or flats at the signature. When the orchestra is playing in E, for instance,—as the cornet in E is one of the least good,—the cornet in A should be used, which would then play in G:

This page contains musical notations and text discussing the relationship between cornets, trumpets, and horns, focusing on their scales and the practical applications of these instruments. It emphasizes the differences in their ability to produce low notes and the expressive capabilities of the cornet in comparison to the trumpet. The text also touches upon the practical considerations of key changes in orchestral music.
considered essential qualities; and it has thus become the indispensable solo instrument for quadrilles, gallops, airs with variations, and other second-rate compositions. The habit which exists now-a-days of hearing in ball orchestras melodies devoid of all originality and distinction executed on this instrument, together with the character of its quality of tone, which has neither the nobleness of the horn, nor the loftiness of the trumpet, renders the introduction of the cornet à pistons into the high melodial style a matter of great difficulty. It may figure there with advantage, however; but very rarely, and on condition of its playing only phrases of large construction and of indisputable dignity. Thus, the ritornello of the trio in Robert le Diable, "O my son," &c., suite well with the cornet à pistons:—

No. 45.

Andante Cantabile.

Horns in B♭.

Horns in B♭. (low.)

Horns in D.

Horns in C.

Cornets à Pistons in A2.

Trombones.

Ophicleide.

Drums in 2, 3, &c.

Rococo.

Rococo.
Jocund melodies will always have to fear from this instrument a loss of a portion of their nobleness, if they have any, or, if they have none—an additional triviality. A phrase which might appear tolerable, played on violins, or on wooden wind instruments, would become poor and detestably vulgar, if brought out by the snapping, noisy, bold sound of the cornet à pistons. This danger is obviated if the phrase be of such a nature that it can be played at the same time by one or more trombones; the grand sound of which then covers and ennobles that of the cornet. Employed in harmony, it blends extremely well with the general mass of brass instruments; it serves to complete the chords of the trumpets, and to contribute to the orchestra those diatonic or chromatic groups of notes, which, on account of their rapidity, suit neither the trombones nor the horns. Cornets à pistons are generally written in two parts, often, each in a different key.

Trombones.

There are four kinds of trombones; each of which bears the name of the human voice to which it bears the nearest resemblance in quality of tone and compass. The Soprano Trombone—the smallest and highest of them all—exists still in some parts of Germany, but is unknown in France; it has scarcely ever been used in the scores of the great masters; which is no reason, however, why it should not figure there sooner or later, as it is by no means certain that trumpets with pistons—even the highest—can advantageously supply its place. Gluck alone, in his Italian score of Orfeo, has written the soprano trombone under the name of Cornetto. He has made it double the soprano voices of the chorus, while the alto, tenor, and bass trombones double the other voices.

These three last-named trombones are the only ones in general use; and it should also be added, that the alto trombone does not exist in all French orchestras, while the bass trombone is almost unknown among them; it is even almost always confounded with the third tenor trombone, which has the charge of playing the lowest part, and to which, for this reason, the name is very improperly given of bass trombone, from which it materially differs.

Trombones are instruments with slides, of which the double tube can be lengthened or shortened instead.
Berlioz treatise upon tonealony, by a simple movement of the player’s arm. It may be conceived that these variations of the length of the tube must completely change the key of the instrument—which is the case. Whence it follows, that trombones, possessing, like all brass instruments, all the notes resulting from the natural resonance of the tube in all positions, have thereby a complete chromatic scale, interrupted only at one point below, as will be presently seen.

The Alto Trombone.

It possesses a compass of more than two octaves and a half; and is written on the C clef, third line:

\[ \text{Very difficult} \]

Its quality of tone is rather shrill, compared with that of the deeper trombones. Its lower notes sound somewhat badly, which is one good reason for avoiding them in general, as well as because these same notes are excellent on the tenor trombone, from which the alto trombone, in the orchestra, is scarcely ever separated. The high sounds, such as B, C, D, E, F, on the contrary may be very useful; and, on their account, it is to be regretted that the alto trombone is at present almost banished from all our French orchestras. When its slide is closed, by means merely of the lips the following notes may be obtained; which come out in the same order as those from the natural resonance of brass horns, trumpets, and cornets, in Eb:

\[ \text{Very difficult} \]

Hence the name of small trombone, or alto trombone in Eb, which players give it; but which it is generally useless to apply to it in scores; because, sounding the notes as they are written, it does not range under the head of transposing instruments, for which alone, as we have said, these various designations of key are always necessary.

The Tenor Trombone.

This is, without doubt, the best of them all. It has a full and powerful sonorosity; it can execute passages whose rapidity renders them impracticable on the bass trombone; and its quality of tone is good throughout the whole extent of its scale. It is ordinarily written on the C clef, fourth line; but as it happens in certain orchestras that the three trombone parts are, under different names, all nevertheless played on three tenor trombones, it follows that they are written, one on the C clef, third line (like the alto), the second on the C clef, fourth line (like the tenor), and the third on the F clef (like the bass). Its slide being closed, it produces naturally the following notes, which are those of the resonance of all brass tubes in Eb; that is to say, tubes which—sounded in their totality—give for first low sound, a B♭:

\[ \text{Very difficult} \]

which has occasioned it to be called the trombone in B♭. It is thus at a fourth below the Alto trombone; and its compass is this:

\[ \text{Very difficult} \]

It will be observed that the low E♭, is wanting in the tenor trombone; this note constantly gives rise to a host of errors in scores the most learnedly planned. Thus, one of our living composers—whose skill in the art of instrumentation is eminent—has commenced an opera of his with several low E♭s for the tenor trombone. It is the ophicleide which plays them, while the trombone only doubles them an octave above, and the author perhaps never perceived that his low E♭ was not given by the instrument for which he wrote it.

The Bass Trombone.

Is only rare on account of the fatigue experienced in playing it, even by the most robust performers. It is the largest—and consequently the lowest—of them all. When employed, it should have sufficiently long rests given to it, that the player may repose; and it should moreover be used with extreme discretion and well-reflected intention.

With the slide closed, it gives the notes:

\[ \text{Very difficult} \]

It is called the great trombone, or the bass trombone in Eb.

It is consequently an octave lower than the alto trombone, and a fifth below the tenor trombone. It is written on the F clef:

\[ \text{Difficult} \]

The sound of the bass trombone is majestic, formidable, and terrible; and to it belongs, of right, the lowest part in all masses of brass instruments. Nevertheless, we have the misfortune, in Paris, of being utterly deprived of it; it is not taught at the Conservatoire, and no trombone player has yet been willing to acquire its familiar practice. Whence it follows, that the majority of modern German scores, and even of ancient French and Italian scores, writ-
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ten for orchestras which possess, or did possess, this instrument, must be more or less deranged when they are performed in Paris. Thus, in Weber’s Freischütz, there are some low D⁹s beneath the stave, in the accompaniment of the huntsmen’s chorus; and farther on, where the hermit enters, there are some low E⁹s, These notes are therefore of necessity carried into the octave above, because the three players in the opera orchestra exclusively make use of the tenor trombone, which has them not. It is the same with the sustained low C⁹s, in the chorus of Gluck’s Alceste: “Pleure, O patrie;” only, here, the effect of these double Cs is extremely important, which makes their transposition truly deplorable.

The bass trombone cannot lend itself to rapid movements with the celerity which others of the same family can command; the length and size of its tube requires rather more time to be put in vibration; and it will readily be imagined that its slide,—manoeuvred by the aid of a handle which supplies, in certain positions, the length of the arm,—does not admit of great agility. Hence the real impossibility for German artists who use the bass trombone, to execute a crowd of passages in our modern French scores, which our trombone-players render as well as they can on the tenor trombone. The imperfection in the execution of these passages,—notwithstanding the talent of some of our artists,—evidently proves that they are too rapid even for the tenor trombone; and that trombones in general are not fit for rendering such successions. It proves, at the very least,—if it be supposed that composers are guilty of only a little exaggeration on the point of difficulty,—that those instruments should always be used which they indicate, and no others. Unfortunately, many masters,—although very well knowing that in most of our orchestras there are only tenor trombones,—persist in writing in their scores, Alto Trombone, Tenor Trombone, and Bass Trombone, instead of stating 1st, 2nd, and 3rd tenor trombone. Consequently, in order to be able to execute a foreigner’s opera, as they execute them in Paris, it would be requisite, without paying regard to the printed indications, to employ the instruments used in Paris. Yet how admit, in general, such a latitude in the interpretation of a composer’s wishes? Would it not be, to open a door to all sorts of incorrectnesses, and to all sorts of abuses? And is it not just that those authors should suffer a little, who are so neglectful in supervising their works, rather than to let those run the chance of seeing theirs mutilated who write with unfailing care, and with a profound knowledge of instrumental resources?

All trombones—commencing from points more or less low—have the same compass; which has been seen to be of two octaves and a sixth. But this is not all. Besides this extensive scale, they also possess—at the extreme depth, and commencing from the first low sound of A (natural resonance of the tube)—three notes; which are enormous and magnificent on the tenor trombone, of indifferent sonorousness on the alto trombone, and terrible on the bass trombone when they can be got out. They are called pedals; doubtless, on account of their resemblance in quality of tone to that of the very low notes on the organ, which are so named. It is rather difficult to write them well, and they are even unknown to many trombone players. These notes are,

for the alto trombone;

for the tenor trombone; and the bass trombone would have these:

if all performers had the power of bringing them out. Supposing, however, that the bass trombone possesses the first only of these pedal notes, the double E⁹, it would still be of great value for certain effects which are unattainable without it; since no other instrument in the orchestra, with the exception of the bass-tuba and the double-bassoon, attains this extraordinary depth. These notes—on all the trombones—are isolated from the others, by a gap of an augmented fourth, which separates the first natural low note from the last (descending) of the scale produced by the employment of the slide,

It is on account of this gap, that it is indispensable, in certain cases, to designate the keys of the trombones employed; for it changes place in the scale of sounds, according to the length of the tube, or the key of the instrument; and consequently, one or more pedals of a key, all the same, may fall upon a trombone in another key. For example, if the composer who has written these pedal notes—

have not taken care to indicate that he desired a trombone in B⁹, it may be, that there is in the orchestra where his work is to be executed, a true bass trombone in E⁹, which lacks the A⁹ and the low G; or a bass trombone in F, which lacks the four notes, B⁹, A, A⁹, and G (these instruments are very prevalent in Germany); or, lastly, a bass trombone in G (there are some of these in England), which equally lacks B⁹, A, and A⁹. It will be better comprehended by the following:—
If the pedals of the alto trombone were not of so bad a quality, they might be employed, in orchestras which have no bass trombone, to fill up the space existing between the Eb of the tenor trombone and its first pedal; but unfortunately they are so shrill and thin, that they cannot be counted on as a substitute for the fine low tones of the tenor trombone; the bass trombone—\emph{with the powerful notes of the lower extremity of its scale,}—
can alone supply this need.

Fortunately, the clever maker, \textit{Sax (of Paris)}, has surmounted the difficulty by means of a single piston affixed to the body of the tenor trombone; which piston the performer moves with his left thumb, maintaining the entire liberty of his right arm for manipulating the slide; and which, supplying the gap, now gives to the tenor trombone in B♭ this immense compass:—

\begin{center}
\textbf{Pedals.}
\end{center}

The vibrations of the pedal notes are slow, and require much wind; in order, therefore, to make them come out well, it is necessary to give them a \textit{sufficiently long duration, to make them succeed each other slowly, and to intersperse them with rests which will give the player time to take breath. \textit{Care should be taken also, that the piece where they occur should be written generally so low as to allow the lips of the trombone player to become gradually habituated to produce very low inflections. The best manner of taking the pedals on the tenor trombone, for instance, is to make on the first a leap of a fifth or an octave, going from the F or the B♭ upwards; then, after having allowed for taking breath, to pass, descending chromatically, to the A, or to the G♮ (the G♯ is more difficult, of extreme roughness, and of very perilous utterance). It is thus, at least, that in a modern Requiem Mass, the author has brought in these three notes; and although at the first rehearsal of his work, out of the eight trombone players who had to sound them, five or six exclaimed that the notes were not possible, the eight B♭s, the eight A♭s, and the eight G♯s did not the less come out quite well and quite true,—given by several artists who never having tried to produce them, did not believe in their existence. The sonority of the three pedal notes seemed even much more fine than that of notes much less low, and frequently employed:—}

\begin{center}
\textbf{Pedals.}
\end{center}
I have elsewhere employed the pedals of the tenor trombone, but with quite another intention. The object was to give some low harmonics of extreme roughness, and of strange quality in tone. I believed I had obtained them by means of this fifth of two tenor trombones, \( \text{\textit{\textbf{\textvisiblespace}}} \); and farther on, by this diminished seventh between an ophicleide and a pedal A of the tenor trombone:

Another particular, unknown to the majority of composers—yet nevertheless very important to be known—is the difficulty, and even, in certain cases, the impossibility, for trombones to give in succession, and with any rapidity, the following notes:

The passing from one of these notes to the other, demanding an enormous change in the position of the slide of the instrument, and consequently, a considerable stretch of the performer's arm, it cannot be effected except in a very moderate movement. A celebrated master having written this rapid succession, B, A\textsuperscript{\flat}, B, several times repeated, the trombone players of the Théâtre-Italien undertook its performance, by playing it, like the Russian horn-players, each of whom plays one note; one gave the B\textsuperscript{\flat}, and the other the A\textsuperscript{\flat}, to the great amusement of the other musicians, who especially laughed at the pains the second trombone was at to edge in his A\textsuperscript{\flat} contra-tempo.

It is equally, and for the same reason, rather difficult to play at all fast this passage on the tenor trombone:

It is better to write it reversed; this succession of the notes, \( \text{\textit{\textbf{\textvisiblespace}}} \), requiring no change of position.

The shake is practicable on trombones, but only on the notes of their upper octave; and I think it wise to abstain from writing it for the bass trombone, on account of its extreme difficulty. The tenor and alto trombones, in the hands of skilled performers, may shake on the following notes:

The other trombones—alto and tenor—being a little more agile, will execute without much difficulty, passages of quavers in triplets (twelve notes in a bar):

but these are the natural boundaries of their agility; to pass beyond them, is to fall into mess and confusion,—if not into impossibility.

The character of tone in trombones varies according to the degree of loudness with which their sound is emitted. In a forte\textit{\textvisiblespace}, it is menacing and formidable; particularly, if the three trombones be in unison, or at least, if two of them be in unison, the
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third being an octave below the two others. Such is the terrific scale in D minor, upon which Gluck has founded the chorus of Furies in the second act of his Iphigenia in Tauride. Such also is—but still more sublime—the immense shout of the three united trombones, answering like the wrathful voice of the infernal gods, to Alceste's summons:—"Ombre! larve! compagne di morte!" In that prodigious air the original idea of which Gluck allowed to be perverted by the French translator; but which, as it is, has dwelt in the memory of all the world, with its unloky first verse:—"Divinités du Styx! ministres de la mort!" Let us here moreover remark, that towards the close of the first movement of this piece, when the trombones divided into three parts respond—initiating the rhythm of the air,—in this phrase: "Je n'invoquerai point votre pitié cruelle!"—let us here observe, I say, that by the very effect of this division, the quality of tone of the trombone assumes instantly something of ironical, hoarse, frightfully joyous,—very different from the grand fury of the preceding unisons:—

No. 47.

Alceste—Gluck.
Aegle.

Tempo lento.

I no longer invoke, your too unfeeling pity.

I no longer invoke, your too unfeeling pity.
Ye gods that dwell in night,
Ye gods that dwell in night,
Ye powers of aspect

Dei sui-tes de Sion,
Dei sui-tes de Sion,
Sion - trea de la
The thought of his danger im-plies me,
I hasten to pro-vide for his

safe-ty,
The thought of his dan-ger im-plies me,
I hasten to pro-

je sens u-ne for-co non-ve-ri-le,
je vais ou mon a-mour mi-up-
In simple forte, trombones, in three-part harmony, in the medium particularly, have an expression of heroic pomp, of majesty, of loftiness, which the prosaic commonplace of a vulgar melody could alone impair or destroy. They then acquire—with enormously increased grandeur—the expression of trumpets; they no longer menace, they proclaim; they chaunt, instead of roar. It should be remarked, merely, that the sound of the bass trombone always predominates more or less, in such a case, over the two others; particularly if the first be an alto trombone.
In mezzo-forte in the medium, in unison or in harmony with a slow movement, trombones assume a religious character. Mozart, in his choruses of the priests of Isis, in the Zauberflöte, has produced admirable models of the manner of giving these instruments a sacerdotal voice and attribute:

No. 50.

Zauberflöte—Mozart.
The pianissimo of trombones applied to harmonies belonging to the minor mode, is gloomy, lugubrious. I had almost said, hideous. If, particularly, the chords be brief, and broken by rests, it has the effect of hearing some strange monsters giving utterance, in dim shadow, to howls of ill-suppressed rage. Never, to my thinking, has there been better dramatic effect deduced from this special accent of trombones, than by Spontini, in his matchless funeral march of the Vestale:—"Périsse la Vestale impie" &c.; and by Beethoven, in the immortal duet of the second act of Fidelio, sung by Leonora and the jailer, while digging the grave of the prisoner about to die.
The custom adopted now-a-days by some masters, of forming a quintet with three trombones and an ophicleide, by confiding to this latter the true bass, is not altogether blameless. The quality of trombones, so penetrating, so dominant, is far from being the same as that of the ophicleide; and I think it is much better only to double the lowest part by this instrument, or, at least, to give a correct bass to the trombones, by writing their three several parts as if they were to be heard alone.

Gluck, Beethoven, Mozart, Weber, Spontini, and some others, have comprehended all the importance of the trombone's duties; they have applied the various characteristics of this noble instrument, with perfect intelligence, to depicting human passion, to illustrating the sounds of Nature; and they have, in consequence, maintained its power, its dignity, and its poetry. But to constrain it—as the herd of composers now do—to howl out in a cruciform brutal phrases less worthy of a sacred edifice than of a tavern; to sound as for the entry of Alexander into Babylon, when there is nothing more forthcoming than the pirouette of a dancer; to strum chords of the tonic and the dominant in a light song that a guitar would suffice to accompany; to mingle its Olympian voice with the trumpery melody of a vaudevillian duet, or with the frivolous noise of a quadrille; to prepare, in the tutti of a concerto, the triumphal advent of a hautboy or a flute—is to impoverish, to degrade a magnificent individuality; it is to make a hero into a slave and a buffoon; it is to tarnish the orchestra; it is to render impotent and futile all rational progression of the instrumental forces; it is to ruin the past, present, and future of Art; it is to commit a voluntary act of vandalism, or to give token of an absence of sentiment for expression amounting to stupidity.

The Alto Trombone with Pistons; or with Cylinders.

There are alto trombones in Eb and in F; and it is absolutely requisite to denote for which of these keys the composition is written, since the habit has obtained of treating this trombone as a transposing instrument. It has no slide; and, in some sort, only a cornet à pistons in Eb or in F, with rather more sonorosity than the regular cornets.

The compass of the alto trombone with pistons is nearly the same as that of the ordinary alto trombone. It is written on the G clef, transposing; as with the cornet à pistons.

The trombone with pistons, being deprived of the aid of the slide, can only produce the low notes, called pedals, of the other trombones.

The shakes of the alto trombone with a slide, and which the performer makes with the lips only, are practicable on the trombone with pistons. Some of them may be made also with the pistons; but it should be observed that the minor shakes are the only ones which produce a good effect, and which can be done rapidly. These are the best:

Example of shakes produced by the pistons.

The system of pistons adapted to the trombone gives it much agility, but causes it to lose somewhat of its correctness of intonation. It is easily be conceived, that the moveable slide, obeying instantaneously the slightest impulse, converts—if the performer possess a good ear—the ordinary trombone into the most correct of all wind instruments; and that the trombone with pistons deprived of the slide, becomes thereby one of those instruments with fixed intonations, to which the lips can bring but very slender modifications. Solo strains are frequently written for the alto trombone with pistons. Well phrased, a melody thus played may have great charm; but it is erroneous to believe that—if confined to a fine player—it would have less on the trombone with slides. M. Dieppo has many times triumphantly proved this. Besides, I repeat it, unless the execution of rapid passages be the point in view, the main advantage of greater correctness is far preferable, and should have its due weight with composers in forming their decision. In Germany there are some tenor trombones with cylinders which descend as low as the Bb, ; but notwithstanding this advantage, I hold the trombones with slides to be greatly preferable.

The Bugle, or Clarion.

We conclude the discussion of wind instruments by a few words on the bugle family.
The simple bugle, or clarion, is written on the G clef, like the trumpet; it possesses, in all, eight notes:

\[ \text{and even the latter, the high C, is only practicable on the deepest bugle; while the low one is of a very bad quality of tone. There are bugles in three keys: in } B^\flat, \text{ in } C, \text{ and in } E^\flat; \text{ and are seldom to be found in any other keys. The flourishes played upon them, lying always exclusively on the three notes of the common chord, are necessarily so monotonous as to be almost wearisome. The quality of this instrument is rather ungraceful; it generally wants nobleness; and it is difficult to play it well in tune. As it can execute no diatonic succession, shakes are necessarily precluded upon it.} \]

Bugles appear to me to rank no higher in the hierarchy of brass instruments, than fifes among wooden instruments. Both the one and the other can hardly serve for more than leading recruits to drill; and to my idea, such music should never be heard by our soldiers young or old, since there is no need to accustom them to the ignoble. As the sound of the bugle is very loud, it is not impossible that an opportunity may occur for employing it in the orchestras, to give additional violence to some terrible cry of trombones, trumpets, or horns united; and this is probably all that can be expected from it.

The bugle, being a much shorter instrument than the trumpet, only possesses the notes of the three lower octaves of this latter:

\[ \text{Thus the bugle, or clarion, in } C, \text{ is a non-transposing instrument; while the bugles in } B^\flat, \text{ and in } E^\flat, \text{ on the contrary, are written transposing, as trumpets in } B^\flat, \text{ and in } E^\flat, \text{ are written.} \]

The keyed bugle can make the shake upon all the notes of the scale, with the exception of this:

It does not want for agility, many artists play it in a remarkable way; but its quality does not differ from that of the simple bugle or clarion.

The Bugle with Pistons; or with Cylinders.

It has a lower compass than the preceding; but this is a slender advantage, for its bass notes are of a very bad quality, and moreover come out only upon the small bugle in E^\flat; the compass of which, consequently, is this:

This instrument is much better worth than the keyed bugle: it produces a good effect in playing certain melodies of slow movement, or at least moderate movement; its quality presents, for lively or gay phrases, the same inconvenience which we pointed out in the cornets à pistons, that of lacking distinction; nevertheless, this may be favorably modified by the talent of the performer. Beginning from middle E, all the major and minor shakes are good upon the bugle with pistons, excepting this:

The Bass Ophiclide.

Ophicleides, are the altos and basses of the bugle. The bass ophicleide offers great resources for maintaining the low part of masses of harmony; and it is also the most used. It is written on the F clef; and its compass is three octaves and one note:

With all the chromatic intervals.
In the hands of a skilful artist, the major and minor shakes are possible on this part of its scale; as proved by M. Causseius in the excellent work which he has just published:—

Very difficult.

Formerly the low F could only be done in an incomplete way with the lips; this note essentially lacked correctness and steadiness; but M. Causseius added to the instrument a key which rendered it as good as the others.

Passages of a certain rapidity, diatonic, and even chromatic, are practicable in the three upper octaves of the ophicleide; but are excessively difficult below, where they moreover produce no other than a detestable effect:

Good.

Bad.

Staccato passages are much less easy—nay, almost impossible—in a quick movement. There are bass ophicleides in two keys, in C and in B♭; and there are some even made at present in A. These latter will be of great utility, on account of the extreme depth of their lower notes, which form a unison with the three-stringed double-basses. The ophicleide in B♭ has already rendered eminent service in this respect. They are each of them written, transposing, like all transposing instruments:—

This first low G is—as will be seen—the unison of this G on the double-bass. It is a pity that the ophicleide in A♭ should be so little adopted.

The quality of these low sounds is rude; but it does wonders—in certain cases—beneath masses of brass instruments. The very high notes have a wild character, of which perhaps sufficient advantages has not yet been made. The medium, especially when the player is not very skilful, too much recalls the sounds of the cathedral serpent,* and of the cornet à bouquin; I think it should rarely be allowed to be heard much displayed. There is nothing more coarse—I might almost say, more monstrous,—or less fit to harmonise with the rest of the orchestra, than those passages, more or less rapid, written in the form of solos for the ophicleide medium in some modern operas. It is as if a bull, escaped from its stall, had come to play off its vagaries in the middle of a drawing-room.

The Alto Ophicleide.

There are alto ophicleides in F and in E♭, and their compass is the same as that of the bass ophicleides; they are both written on the G clef, like horns; and, in the same way as for horns, this clef represents for them the octave below the written note. Thus, this C corresponds with that of the F clef, which in reality sounds that of the G clef: Bearing in mind at present the transposition produced by the pitch of their specific keys, here is, in real sounds, the result of their written scale:—

Of rather bad quality.

Effect in real sounds.

Of rather bad quality.

Effect in real sounds.

[* An instrument much used in French churches.—Translator.]
They are employed in some kinds of military music to fill up the harmony, and even to execute certain phrases of melody; but their quality is generally disagreeable, and not noble; and they want precision in tune; hence the almost complete neglect into which these instruments have now fallen.

The Double-Bass Ophicleide

The double-bass ophicleides, or monster ophicleides, are very little known. They may be useful in very large orchestras; but, until now, no one has been willing to play them in Paris. They require an amount of breath which would exhaust the lungs of the most robust man. They are in F* and in E♭; a fifth below the bass ophicleides in C and in B♭; and an octave below the alto ophicleides in F* and in E♭. They must not be made to go higher than the F.

It is needless to state that shakes and rapid passages are incompatible with the nature of such instruments.

The Bombardon

This is a low instrument, without keys, and with three cylinders; the quality of which differs but little from that of the ophicleide.

Its compass is this:

It possesses five notes still beyond, both above and below; but they are of uncertain emission, and are better avoided.

This instrument—whose sound is very powerful—can execute only passages of moderate movement. Florid phrases and shakes are precluded. It produces a good effect in large orchestras where wind instruments predominate. Its tube gives naturally the notes of the chord of F, which is why it is called in F*; nevertheless, the custom is, in Germany, to treat it, like the trombone, as a non-transposing, and to write for it only real sounds.

The Bass-Tuba (the Double-Bass of Hau)

It is a species of bombardon, the mechanism of which has been improved by M. Wilbrecht, of the King of Prussia’s military bands. Tubas—much used at present in the North of Germany, particularly at Berlin—possesses an advantage over all other wind instruments of quality of tone, incomparably more noble than that of ophicleides, bombardons, and serpents, having the vibration and quality of tone of bones. It has less agility than the ophicleide, its sonorosity is more powerful than theirs, low compass is the largest existing in the ophicleide. Its tube gives—like that of the bombardon—the notes of the chord of F; nevertheless, Adolph now makes bass-tubas in E♭. Notwithstanding the difference, they are all treated, in Germany, transposing instruments. The bass-tuba has four cylinders, and its compass is four octaves. For years past, these instruments have been introduced in France, where they are written, like horn and trumpet, as transposing instruments.

In France, therefore, the preceding scale was written a third below.

The bass-tuba can produce some few notes beyond above, and even below, by aid of the mechanism. Those of the extreme high are very dangerous; while those of the extreme low are scarcely to be heard; the C, the B♭, and which I have just marked in its scale, are only distinguished by doubling them in the octave with another bass-tuba part; this both increases to them and acquiring from them a double sonorosity.

It must be well understood, that this instrument is not better adapted than the bombardon to and rapid passages. It can play certain melodies. An idea can hardly be formed of the effect produced in grand military harmonic mass of bass-tubas. It has at once something of the trombone and of the organ.

Instruments with a Mouth-Piece, and of

The Serpent

Is a wooden instrument covered with leather having a mouth-piece; it has the same compass as the bass ophicleide, with rather more agility, a good sonorosity in tune, and sonorosity. There are notes, much more powerful than the others; hence startling inequalities of tone, which its players should apply themselves with all care to overcome.
much as possible. The serpent is in B♭; consequently, it must be written a whole tone above the real sound, like the ophicleide in B♭.

The quality of tone essentially barbarous which distinguishes this instrument, would have suited better with the rites of the sanguinary Druidical worship, than with those of the Catholic religion; where it always figures, as a monument of the want of intelligence, and of the coarseness in sentiment and taste which, from time immemorial, has directed in our temples the application of Musical Art to Divine Service. There must be exception made in favor of the case where the serpent is employed, in masses for the dead, in doubling the terrible plainsong of the Dies Irae. Its frigid and abominable blaring doublet seems to fit the occasion; it seems to invest with a kind of lugubrious poetry, those words expressive of all the horrors of death, and the vengeance of a jealous God. It would be no less well placed in profane compositions, where ideas of this nature had to be expressed; but then only. It mingles ill, moreover, with the other qualities of orchestra and voices; and, as forming the bass to a mass of wind instruments, the bass-tuba, and even the ophicleide, are greatly preferable.

The Russian Bassoon,

Is a low instrument of the serpent kind; whose quality of tone has nothing very characteristic, whose sounds lack steadiness, and consequently precision in tune; and which, in my opinion, might be withdrawn from the family of wind instruments, without the smallest injury to Art. Its general compass is this:

Some of them go down to C, and up to the high D, but these are exceptions, of which no practical account can be made. The best notes of the Russian bassoon are D and E♭. Only detestable effects are to be obtained from shakes on this instrument. Russian bassoons are found in military bands; but it is to be hoped that they will no longer figure there, when the bass-tuba becomes more known.

Voices.

Voices are naturally divided under two great heads,—male voices, or low voices; and female voices, or high voices. These latter comprise not only the voices of women; but also the voices of children of both sexes, and the voices of artificial sopranos. Both the one and the other are again subdivided into two distinct species, which generally received theory considers as having of the same compass, and differing only among themselves in degree of depth. According to established custom in all the schools of Italy and Germany, the lowest man’s voice (the bass) will reach from F below the stave (F clef) up to D and E♭ above; and the highest man’s voice (the tenor), placed a fifth above the preceding, will consequently go from the C below the stave (C clef on fourth line) up to the A and B♭ above. The voices of women and children will—in the same order—range precisely an octave higher than the two man’s voices, dividing themselves under the names of contralto and soprano; the first corresponding with the bass voice, the second with the tenor voice. Thus, the contralto will go, like the bass, from the low F to the high E♭ (nearly two octaves); and the soprano, like the tenor, from the low C to the high B♭.

Soprano. (High voice of women, children, & artificial Sopranos.)

Contralto. (Low voice of women, children, & artificial Sopranos.)

Tenor. (High voice of men.)

Bass. (Low voice of men.)

Doubtless, this regular disposal of the four most characteristic kinds of human voices, is very seductive; but unfortunately, it must be acknowledged that it is, in some respects, insufficient and hazardous, inasmuch as it would deprive the composer of a great number of precious voices, if it were admitted without restriction in writing for choirs. Nature, in fact, does not proceed in the same ratio in all climates; and if it be true that in Italy she produces many contralto voices, it cannot be denied that in France she is very sparing of them. Tenors, that can easily go up to A and B♭, are common in France and Italy, while they are rarer in Germany; where,—as compensation,—they have in their bass notes more sonority than anywhere else. It therefore appears to me absolutely imprudent to write choruses in four real parts of equal importance, according to the classical division of voices into Sopranos, Contraltos, Tenors, and Basses. It is at least certain, that in Parts, in a chorus thus arranged, the contralto part,—comparatively with the other parts, especially in a large mass of voices,—would be so weak, that the
greater portion of the extent assigned to it by the composer would be all but lost. Neither is it to be doubted that in Germany, and even in Italy and France, if the tenor were to be written within the established limits—that is to say, a fifth above the bass.—a good number of those voices would stop short before the passages where the composer should have caused them to go up to the high A and B♭; or else would only give utterance to notes, false, forced, and of bad quality. The contrary observation holds good in the case of bass voices; many of them lose much of their sonorosity after the low C or B, and it is useless to write for them either G♭ or F. As Nature everywhere produces sopranos, tenors, and basses, I think it infinitely more prudent, more rational, and even also more musical, if the object be to make all the voices of use, to write choruses either in six parts: first and second sopranos, first and second tenors, baritones, and basses (or first and second basses)—or in three parts, taking care only to divide the voices each time that they approach the extremes of their respective compass, by giving to the first bass a note higher by a third, by a fifth, or by an octave, than the too low note of the second bass; or to the second tenor and the second soprano intermediate sounds, when the first tenor and first soprano rise too high. It is less essential to separate the first sopranos from the second sopranos, when the phrase extends very low, than in the contrary case; the high voices lose, it is true, all their power, and the speciality of their quality of tone, whenever they are made to utter intonations proper only to a contralto or a second soprano voice; but at least they are not compelled to give forth bad sounds, like the second sopranos when they are forced up too high; and it is the same with the two other voices. The second soprano, the second tenor, and the first bass, are generally placed a third or a fourth below and above the principal voice of which they bear the name, and possess a compass almost equal to theirs; but this is true more as regards the second soprano, than as regards the second tenor, and the first bass. If the second soprano be given as its compass an octave and a sixth, beginning from the B below the stave up to the G above—

all the notes will sound well and without difficulty. It would not be the same with the second tenor, if giving it a scale of the same extent: its low D♭, F, and B♭ would have scarcely any sonorosity; and unless with set intention, and a particular effort to produce, it is better to avoid for it these low notes, which can be easily given to either the first or second basses, to which they perfectly suit. The contrary holds good for the first basses, or baritones: if—assuming them to be a third above the second basses,—they be written from the low A to the high G, the low A will be heavy, and vague; and the high G excessively forced, to say the least. This latter note is really only fit for the first and second tenors. Whence it follows, that the most limited voices are the second tenors; which do not ascend so high as the first tenors, yet do not descend much lower; and the first basses, which descend less than the second basses, without going any higher than they do. In a chorus written in six parts—as I propose—the true contralto voices (for they are always more or fewer in every choral body) must necessarily sing the second soprano part; and this is why I think it well, when it goes beyond the high F, to subdivide them again, in order that the contraltos may not be forced to scream out notes too high for them.

Here then is the most sonorous compass of the seven different voices to be found in most great choral bodies; I abstain from indicating the extreme upper or lower notes possessed by certain individuals, which should only be written exceptionally:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st Soprano</th>
<th>2nd Soprano</th>
<th>Contralto</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Tenor</td>
<td>2nd Tenor</td>
<td>1st Bass, or Baritone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Bass, or Bass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Choruses of women in three parts have an enchanting effect in pieces of a tender and religious character; they are then disposed in the order of three voices just stated,—first soprano, second soprano, and third soprano, or contralto.

Sometimes a tenor part is given as bass to these three-part female voices; Weber has done so with good success for his chorus of spirits in Oberon; but it can only be, in a case where the object is to produce a soft and calm effect, such a chorus having naturally but little energy. Choruses composed of men's voices only, have much power, on the contrary; and the more, because the voices are deeper and less divided. The division of the basses into firsts and seconds (to avoid the high notes), is less necessary in rude and fierce accents, to which sounds forced and exceptional—like the high F and F♯—agree better from their peculiar character than the more natural sounds of the tenors upon the same notes. But it is necessary to lead to these notes, and to bring them in dexterously, taking care not to make them pass abruptly from the medium or depth to the extreme upper register. Thus, Gluck, in his terrible chorus of Scythians, in the first act of Iphigenia in Tauride, gives the high F♯ to all the basses joined with the tenors, on these words:—"Tis nous amènès des victimes," but the F♯ is preceded by two D♭; and the voice can easily be carried on, by binding the last D with the F♯ on the syllable "nous:"—
MODERN INSTRUMENTATION AND ORCHESTRATION.

The sudden union of the tenors and basses in this passage, gives moreover to the phrase such a volume of sound, and so powerful an accent, that it is impossible to hear it without shuddering. This is again one of those touches of genius which are to be met with at almost every page in the scores of this giant of dramatic music.

Independently of the expressive idea, which here seems to predominate, the common properties of vocal instrumentation may frequently introduce unions of this kind into choruses. If the direction of the melody leads, for instance, the first tenors towards the B♭s (a very dangerous note, which should be shunned), the composer can bring in, for this phrase only, the second sopranos and contraltos, which can sing without difficulty in unison with the tenors, and can blend with, while they confirm their intonations.

When, on the contrary, the tenors are compelled by the exigence of a melodic design to descend too low, the first basses are there to serve them as auxiliaries, and to strengthen them without perverting their vocal character by a difference of quality in tone too marked. It would not be the same, if the composer were to give the tenors—or still less, the basses—as auxiliaries to the contraltos and the second sopranos; the female voice would then be almost eclipsed; and, from the moment of the male voice’s entrance, its character of vocal sonorosity would change abruptly, so as to break the unity of execution in the melody. These kind of juxta positions, of one voice coming to the aid of another, are therefore not eligible with all qualities indiscriminately, when its character is to be preserved in the voice which commenced and continued the phrase. For, I repeat, if the contraltos in the medium register become lost, when sustaining the union of the tenors in the high register, the tenors in the medium would cover—to the point of hiding them altogether—the second sopranos in the low register, if united suddenly with them. In the case where the composer desires simply to add the compass of one voice to the compass of another voice, in a melodic progression descending, for instance, he must not make a body of deep qualities of tone suddenly succeed to the entire body of higher qualities, as the joining-point would be thus too apparent; it would be better first to let half of the upper portion of high voices cease, substituting for them the upper half of the low voices, and reserving until a little later the interweaving of the two other halves. Thus, supposing a long descending scale, beginning with the high G by the first and second sopranos together—at the moment when the scale reaches the E a tenth below the first G, let the first sopranos stop, and bring in the first tenors on the D (a whole tone below the last E of the first sopranos); the second sopranos continuing to descend, thus united with the first tenors, will only cease upon the low E, after which the second tenors should come in upon the A in unison with the first tenors; the first tenors ceasing upon the F to make room for the first basses, the interweaving of the second tenors with the second basses will take place on the lower D or C; then the united basses will continue to descend as far as the G, and the result for the hearer will be a descending scale of three octaves’ extent, during which the voices will have succeeded each other in such a way that the passing of one voice to the other shall have scarcely been perceived:

In accordance with these observations, it will easily be conceived that the composer has to make his choice of the register of voices subservient to the character of the piece in which he employs them. He should use only notes of the medium in an Andante with soft and sustained sounds; those alone can possess the suitable quality of tone, dwell with calm and precision, and be sustained without the least effort in a pianissimo. This is what Mozart has done in his celestial prayer:—“Ave verum corpus.”
The simple bugle, or clarion, is written on the G clef, like the trumpet; it possesses, in all, eight notes,—

and even the latter, the high C, is only practicable on the deepest bugle; while the low one is of a very bad quality of tone. There are bugles in three keys: in B♭, in C, and in E♭; and are seldom to be found in any other keys. The flourishes played upon them, lying always exclusively on the three notes of the common chord, are necessarily monotonous as to be almost wearisome. The quality of this instrument is rather ungraceful; it generally wants nobleness; and it is difficult to play it well in tune. As it can execute no diatonic succession, scales are necessarily precluded upon it.

Bugles appear to me to rank no higher in the hierarchy of brass instruments, than flutes among wooden instruments. Both the one and the other can hardly serve for more than leading recruits to drill; and to my idea, such music should never be heard by our soldiers young or old, since there is no need to accustom them to the ignoble. As the sound of the bugle is very loud, it is not impossible that an opportunity may occur for employing it in the orchestra, to give additional violence to some terrible cry of trombones, trumpets, or horns united; and this is probably all that can be expected from it.

The bugle, being a much shorter instrument than the trumpet, only possesses the notes of the three lower octaves of this latter:—

set on account of the small length of its tube, these notes come out an octave higher. That is why it is written—

Thus the bugle, or clarion, in C, is a non-transposing instrument; while the bugles in B♭, and in E♭, on the contrary, are written transposing, as trumpets in D, and in E♭, are written.

Effect in real sounds.

This instrument is much better worth than the keyed bugle: it produces a good effect in playing certain melodies of slow movement, or at least moderate movement; its quality presents, for lively or gay phrases, the same inconvenience which we pointed out in the cornets à pistons, that of lacking distinction; nevertheless, this may be favorably modified by the talent of the performer. Beginning from middle E, all the major and minor scales are good upon the bugle with pistons, excepting this:—

The Bass Ophicleide.

Ophicleides, are the altos and basses of the bugle. The bass ophicleide offers great resources for maintaining the low part of masses of harmony; and it is also the most used. It is written on the F clef; and its compass is three octaves and one note:—

With all the chromatic intervals.
In the hands of a skilful artist, the major and minor shakes are possible on this part of its scale; as proved by M. Causinus in the excellent work which he has just published:

\[ \text{[Musical notation]} \]

Very difficult.

Formerly the low F could only be done in an incomplete way with the lips; this note essentially lacked correctness and steadiness; but M. Causinus added to the instrument a key which rendered it as good as the others.

Passages of a certain rapidity, diatonic, and even chromatic, are practicable in the three upper octaves of the ophicleide; but are excessively difficult below, where they moreover produce no other than a detestable effect:

\[ \text{[Musical notation]} \]

Good.

Bad.

Staccato passages are much less easy,—nay, almost impossible—in a quick movement. There are bass ophicleides in two keys, in C and in B♭; and there are some even made at present in A♭. These latter will be of great utility, on account of the extreme depth of their lower notes, which form a unison with the three-stringed double-basses. The ophicleide in B♭ has already rendered eminent service in this respect. They are each of them written, transposing, like all transposing instruments:

\[ \text{[Musical notation]} \]

This first low G is—as will be seen—the unison of this on the double-bass. It is a pity that the ophicleide in A♭ should be so little adopted.

The quality of these low sounds is rude; but it does wonders—in certain cases—beneath masses of brass instruments. The very high notes have a wild character, of which perhaps sufficient advantages has not yet been made. The medium,—especially when the player is not very skilful,—too much recalls the sounds of the cathedral serpent, and of the cornet à bouquin; I think it should rarely be allowed to be heard much displayed. There is nothing more coarse—I might almost say, more monstrous,—or less fit to harmonise with the rest of the orchestra, than these passages, more or less rapid, written in the form of solos for the ophicleide medium in some modern operas. It is as if a bull, escaped from its stall, had come to play off its vagaries in the middle of a drawing-room.

The Alto Ophicleide.

There are alto ophicleides in F and in E♭, and their compass is the same as that of the bass ophicleides; they are both written on the G clef, like horns; and, in the same way as for horns, this clef represents for them the octave below the written note. Thus, this C corresponds with that of the F clef, which in reality sounds that of the G clef:

\[ \text{[Musical notation]} \]

Bearing in mind at present the transposition produced by the pitch of their specific keys, here is, in real sounds, the result of their written scale:

\[ \text{[Musical notation]} \]

[ An instrument much used in French churches.—Translator.]
The simple bugle, or clarion, is written on the G clef, like the trumpet; it possesses, in all, eight notes:

\[\text{Notes for the简单 bugle.}\]

and even the latter, the high C, is only practicable on the deepest bugle; while the low one is of a very bad quality of tone. There are bugles in three keys: in B♭, in C, and in E♭; and are seldom to be found in any other keys. The flourishes played upon them, lying always exclusively on the three notes of the common chord, are necessarily so monotonous as to be almost wearisome. The quality of this instrument is rather ungraceful; it generally wants nobleness; and it is difficult to play it well in tune. As it can execute no diatonic succession, shakes are necessarily precluded upon it.

Bugles appear to me to rank no higher in the hierarchy of brass instruments, than fifes among wooden instruments. Both the one and the other can hardly serve for more than leading recruits to drill; and to my idea, such music should never be heard by our soldiers young or old, since there is no need to accustom them to the ignoble. As the sound of the bugle is very loud, it is not impossible that an opportunity may occur for employing it in the orchestra, to give additional violence to some terrible cry of trombones, trumpets, or horns united; and this is probably all that can be expected from it.

The bugle, being a much shorter instrument than the trumpet, only possesses the notes of the three lower octaves of this latter:

\[\text{Notes for the bugle.}\]

Yet on account of the small length of its tube, these notes come out an octave higher. That is why it is written:

\[\text{Notes for the bugle in C, B♭, and E♭.}\]

Thus the bugle, or clarion, in C, is a non-transposing instrument; while the bugles in B♭, and in E♭, on the contrary, are written transposing, as trumpets in B♭, and in E♭, are written.

\[\text{Notes for the bugles in B♭ and E♭.}\]

\[\text{Effect in real sounds.}\]

The Keyed Bugle.

In cavalry music, and even in certain Italian orchestras, bugles with seven keys are found, which traverse chromatically a compass of more than two octaves, beginning from B♭ beneath the stave, up to the C above:

\[\text{Notes for the keyed bugle.}\]

The keyed bugle can make the shake upon all the notes of the scale, with the exception of this:

\[\text{Notes for the keyed bugle.}\]

It does not want for agility, many artists play it in a remarkable way; but its quality does not differ from that of the simple bugle or clarion.

The Bugle with Pistons; or with Cylinders.

It has a lower compass than the preceding: but this is a slender advantage, for its bass notes are of very bad quality, and moreover come out easily only upon the small bugle in E♭; the compass of which, consequently, is this:

\[\text{Notes for the bugle with cylinders in E♭.}\]

This instrument is much better worth than the keyed bugle: it produces a good effect in playing certain melodies of slow movement, or at least moderate movement; its quality presents, for lively or gay phrases, the same inconvenience which we pointed out in the cornets à pistons, that of lacking distinction; nevertheless, this may be favorably modified by the talent of the performer. Beginning from middle E, all the major and minor shakes are good upon the bugle with pistons, excepting this:

\[\text{Notes for the bass ophicleide.}\]

Ophicleides, are the alto and bass.

The bass ophicleide offers great advantage in sustaining the low part of masses; it is also the most used. It is in E♭, and its compass is three octaves.
In the hands of a skilful artist, the major and
minor shakes are possible on this part of its scale;
as proved by M. Caussin in the excellent work
which he has just published:

[Music notation]

Formerly the low G, could only be
done in an incomplete way with the lips; this note
essentially lacked correctness and steadiness; but
M. Caussin added to the instrument a key which
rendered it as good as the others.

Passages of a certain rapidity, diatonic, and even
chromatic, are practicable in the three upper octaves
of the ophicleide; but are excessively difficult below,
where they moreover produce no other than a
detestable effect:

[Music notation]

Staccato passages are much less easy,—nay, almost
impossible—in a quick movement. There are bass
ophicleides in two keys, in C and in B♭; and there
are some even made at present in A♭. These latter
will be of great utility, on account of the extreme
depth of their lower notes, which form a unison with
the three-stringed double-basses. The ophicleide in
B♭ has already rendered eminent service in this
respect. They are each of them written,
transposing, like all transposing instruments:

[Music notation]

This first low G is—as will be seen—the unison
of this  on the double-bass. It is a pity
that the ophicleide in A♭ should be so little adopted.
The quality of these low sounds is rude; but it
does wonders—in certain cases—beneath masses of
brass instruments. The very high notes have a wild
character, of which perhaps sufficient advantages has
not yet been made. The medium,—especially when
the player is not very skilful,—too much recalls the
sounds of the cathedral serpent,* and of the cornet
à bouquin; I think it should rarely be allowed to be
heard much displayed. There is nothing more
coarse—I might almost say, more monstrous,—or
less fit to harmonise with the rest of the orchestra,
than those passages, more or less rapid, written in
the form of solo for the ophicleide medium in some
modern operas. It is as if a bull, escaped from its
stall, had come to play off its vagaries in the middle
of a drawing-room.

The Alto Ophicleide.

There are alto ophicleides in F and in E♭, and
their compass is the same as that of the bass ophic-
leides; they are both written on the G clef, like
horns; and, in the same way as for horns, this clef
represents for them the octave below the written
note. Thus, this C,  corresponds with that
of the F clef, which in reality sounds that of
the G clef,  Bearing in mind at present the
transposition produced by the pitch of their specific
keys, here is, in real sounds, the result of their
written scale:

[Music notation]

[* An instrument much used in French churches.—Translator.]
The simple bugle, or clarion, is written on the G clef, like the trumpet; it possesses, in all, eight notes—

\[ \text{\textcopyright 1853, G. Schirmer} \]

and even the latter, the high C, is only practicable on the deepest bugle; while the low one is of a very bad quality of tone. There are bugles in three keys: in B♭, in C, and in E♭; and are seldom to be found in any other keys. The flourishes played upon them, lying always exclusively on the three notes of the common chord, are necessarily so monotonous as to be almost wearisome. The quality of this instrument is rather ungraceful; it generally wants nobleness; and it is difficult to play it well in tune. As it can execute no diatonic succession, shakes are necessarily precluded upon it.

Bugles appear to me to rank no higher in the hierarchy of brass instruments, than flutes among wooden instruments. Both the one and the other can hardly serve for more than leading recruits to drill; and to my idea, such music should never be heard by our soldiers young or old, since there is no need to accustom them to the ignoble. As the sound of the bugle is very loud, it is not impossible that an opportunity may occur for employing it in the orchestra, to give additional violence to some terrible cry of trombones, trumpets, or horns united; and this is probably all that can be expected from it.

The bugle, being a much shorter instrument than the trumpet, only possesses the notes of the three lower octaves of this latter:—

\[ \text{\textcopyright 1853, G. Schirmer} \]

But on account of the small length of its tube, these notes come out an octave higher. That is why it is written—

\[ \text{\textcopyright 1853, G. Schirmer} \]

Thus the bugle, or clarion, in C, is a non-transposing instrument; while the bugles in B♭, and in E♭, on the contrary, are written transposing, as trumpets in B♭, and in E♭, are written.

**The Keyed Bugle.**

In cavalry music, and even in certain Italian orchestras, bugles with seven keys are found, which traverse chromatically a compass of more than two octaves, beginning from G beneath the stave, up to the C above:—

\[ \text{\textcopyright 1853, G. Schirmer} \]

The keyed bugle can make the shake upon all the notes of the scale, with the exception of this:—

\[ \text{\textcopyright 1853, G. Schirmer} \]

It does not want for agility, many artists play it in a remarkable way; but its quality does not differ from that of the simple bugle or clarion.

**The Bugle with Pistons; or with Cylinders.**

It has a lower compass than the preceding: but this is a slender advantage, for its bass notes are of very bad quality, and moreover come out easily only upon the small bugle in E♭; the compass of which, consequently, is this:—

\[ \text{\textcopyright 1853, G. Schirmer} \]

This instrument is much better worth than the keyed bugle: it produces a good effect in playing certain melodies of slow movement, or at least moderate movement; its quality presents, for lively or gay phrases, the same inconvenience which we pointed out in the cornets à pistons, that of lacking distinction; nevertheless, this may be favorably modified by the talent of the performer. Beginning from middle E, all the major and minor shakes are good upon the bugle with pistons, excepting this:—

\[ \text{\textcopyright 1853, G. Schirmer} \]

**The Bass Ophicleide.**

Ophicleides, are the altos and basses of the bugle. The bass ophicleide offers great resources for maintaining the low part of masses of harmony; and it is also the most used. It is written on the F clef; and its compass is three octaves and one note:—

\[ \text{\textcopyright 1853, G. Schirmer} \]

With all the chromatic intervals.
In the hands of a skilful artist, the major and
minor shakes are possible on this part of its scale;
as proved by M. Causius, in the excellent work
which he has just published:—

![Musical notation]

Formerly the low F⁴ could only be
done in an incomplete way with the lips; this note
essentially lacked correctness and steadiness; but
M. Causius added to the instrument a key which
rendered it as good as the others.

Passages of a certain rapidity, diatonic, and even
chromatic, are practicable in the three upper octaves
of the ophicleide; but are excessively difficult below,
where they moreover produce no other than a
detestable effect:—

Good.

Bad.

Staccato passages are much less easy,—nay, almost
impossible—in a quick movement. There are bass
ophicleides in two keys, in C and in B⁰; and there
are some even made at present in A⁵. These latter
will be of great utility, on account of the extreme
depth of their lower notes, which form a unison with
the three-stringed double-basses. The ophicleide in
B⁰ has already rendered eminent service in this
respect. They are each of them written, trans-
posing, like all transposing instruments:—

This first low G is—as will be seen—the unison
of this on the double-bass. It is a pity
that the ophicleide in A⁵ should be so little adopted.

The quality of these low sounds is rude; but it
does wonders—in certain cases—beneath masses of
brass instruments. The very high notes have a wild
character, of which perhaps sufficient advantages
has not yet been made. The medium,—especially when
the player is not very skilful,—too much recalls the
sounds of the cathedral serpent,* and of the cornet
à bouquin; I think it should rarely be allowed to be
heard much displayed. There is nothing more
course—I might almost say, more monstrous,—or
less fit to harmonise with the rest of the orchestra,
than those passages, more or less rapid, written in
the form of solos for the ophicleide medium in some
modern operas. It is as if a bull, escaped from its
stall, had come to play off its vagaries in the middle
of a drawing-room.

The Alto Ophicleide.

There are alto ophicleides in F and in E⁰, and
their compass is the same as that of the bass ophic-
elleides; they are both written on the G clef, like
horns; and, in the same way as for horns, this clef
represents for them the octave below the written
note. Thus, this C, corresponds with that
of the F clef, which in reality sounds that of
the G clef, Bearing in mind at present the
transposition produced by the pitch of their specific
keys, here is, in real sounds, the result of their
written scale:—

* An instrument much used in French churches.—Translator.
This dissertation on the voice hitherto applies only, as will be perceived, to its employment in choral bodies. The art of writing for single voices is really swayed by a thousand circumstances, very difficult to determine, but which it is necessary to take into account, and which vary with the individual organisation belonging to each singer. It may be told how to write for Rubini, for Duprez, for Haslinger—who are all three tenor-singers; but it would be difficult to indicate the mode of writing a tenor part equally favorable, or perfectly suitable for all the three.

A tenor solo—of all the voices—is the most difficult to write, on account of its three registers, comprising the chest notes, the mixed notes, and the head notes, of which the extent and the facility—as I have already said,—are not the same in all singers. Such a performer uses his head voice a great deal, and can even give to his mixed voice much power of vibration; such and such another will sing with ease high and sustained phrases in all degrees of loudness, and in all degrees of rapidity; he will like as and is; while such another, on the contrary, uses the head voice with difficulty, and prefers singing constantly full vibrating chest notes; such another excels in impassioned pieces, but requires that the movement be sufficiently measured to permit the utterance naturally rather slow—of his voice; he will prefer open syllables, sonorous vowels—like "a"—and dreads having high notes to execute; a sostenuto of a few bars upon G, will appear to him both difficult and dangerous. The first,—thanks to the flexibility of his mixed voice,—can take abruptly a high and loud note; the other, on the contrary, in order to give a high note in all its power, will require to have it gradually led to, because in this case he employs his chest voice, reserving exclusively the mixed notes and head notes for half-effect and for tender accents. Another,—whose tenor is of that kind formerly called in France haute-contre,—will have no fear of high notes, which he will take in chest voice without preparation and without danger.

The first soprano voice is rather less difficult to treat than the first tenor; its head notes are scarcely different from the rest of the voice. Still, it is well to know the singer for whom one writes, on account of the inequalities in certain sopranos; of which some are vague and dim in the medium, or in the lower part, thus compelling the composer to select the registers carefully upon which he places the predominant notes of his melody. Mezzo-soprano (second sopranos) and contralto voices, are generally more homogeneous, more equal, and consequently more easy to employ. Nevertheless, care should be taken, for both of them, not to place many words on those phrases occurring high; the articulation of syllables then becoming very difficult, and sometimes impossible.
The most convenient voice, is evidently the bass, on account of its simplicity. Head notes, being banished from its list, there need be no anxiety as to the changes of its quality; and the choice of syllables becomes also—on this very account—less important. Every singer who assumes to be gifted with a true bass voice, ought to be able to sing all reasonably written music, from the low G to the E₃ above the stave. Some voices descend much lower—like that of Levasseur, who can sing the low E₃ and even the D; others—like that of Alizard,—can rise without losing any of the purity of their quality, up to the F₄ and even to the G; but these are exceptions. On the other side,—voices which, without going up to the high E₃, can not make themselves heard below the C (within the stave), are but incomplete voices,—fragments of voices, of which it is difficult to make use, whatever may be their power or their beauty. Baritones are often in this condition; they are very limited voices, singing almost always within a single octave (from the middle E₃ to the E₃ above), which places the composer in the predicament of being unable to avoid an awkward monotony.

The excellence or mediocrity of vocal execution in choral bodies, or in solo-singers, depend not only on the art with which the registers of the voices are chosen, on that with which means are contrived for them to take breath, or on the words given them to sing, but also very much on the manner in which composers dispose their accompaniments. Some overwhelm the voices by an instrumental uproar which might be of very happy effect either before or after the vocal phrase, but not while the singers are endeavouring to make it heard; others, without burdening the orchestra beyond measure, take delight in displaying some particular instrument, which, performing passages, or an elaborate strain, during an air, distracts the hearer's attention from the main point, and annoys, embarrasses, and vexes the singer, instead of aiding and supporting him. We do not mean that simplicity of accompaniment should be carried to such an excess as to preclude orchestral design, where the expression is eloquent, and the musical interest really maintained; particularly when it is interspersed with brief rests which give a little rhythmic latitude to the vocal movements, and do not necessitate a rapid metronomical exactitude in the bar. Thus,—whatever may be said by several great artists—the plaintive design of the violonecelli, in that pathetic air of the last act of Rossini's Guillaume Tell: "Sois immobile," is of touching and admirable effect; it renders the idea of the piece complex, undoubtedly; but without fettering the air, of which, on the contrary, it enhances the affecting and sublime expression.
A single instrument playing in the orchestra some well-designed phrase like a vocal melody, and forming with it a sort of duet, is also very often of excellent effect. The horn solo in the second act of Spontini’s *La Vestale*, which murmurs in duet with Julia’s sorrowfully impassioned air: “Toi que j’implore,” gives added intensity to the accent of the vocal part; the mysterious quality of tone—veiled and somewhat painful—of the horn in *F* was never more ingeniously or more dramatically employed.

The same thing applies to Rachel’s cavatina, accompanied by a solo on the corono inglese, in the second act of Halevy’s *Juive*. The feeble and touching voice of the instrument mingles incomparably well in this scene with the supplicating voice of the young girl.
Trembling I pray in my deep distress. To thee, terrible

Toi... que j'impose... a... es... ef-dei... re-dou-sa-bie de...
As for single instruments that perform passages, arpeggios, or variations, during a strain of song, they are, I repeat, of such inconvenience for the singer, as well as for the hearers, that it requires extreme art and evident appropriateness to render them tolerable. I own, at least, that with the single exception of the viola solo in Annette's ballad, in the third act of the Freyschütz, they have always appeared to me insufferable. It is seldom good likewise—notwithstanding the example set by Mozart, Gluck, the majority of masters of the ancient school, and some composers of the modern school—to double in the octave or unison the vocal part by an instrument, particularly in an Andante. It is almost always useless, the voice sufficing well for the enunciation of a melody; it is rarely agreeable, the inflexions of singing, the delicacies of expression the delicate gradations, being more or less overborne or dimmed by the juxtaposition of this other melodic part; and, lastly, it is fatiguing for the singer, who, if he be clever, will deliver a fine air all the better, for its being left entirely to him to execute alone.

In choruses, or in grand tutti pieces, it is sometimes the fashion to form a sort of vocal orchestra; one portion of the assembled body then assumes the shape of instrumental style, to execute beneath the song, accompaniments measured and designed in various manners. It almost always produces charming effects. Witness the chorus during the dance in the third act of Guillaume Tell:—"Toi que, &c."
Here occurs a good opportunity to point out to composers, that in choruses accompanied by instruments, the harmony of the voices should be correct, and treated as if they were alone. The various qualities of tone of the orchestra are too dissimilar from the vocal qualities, to fulfill towards them the office of a bass harmony, without which certain successions of chords become defective. Thus Gluck, who in his works, often employed progressions of thirds and sixthths in three parts, has made use of them even in his priestess choruses of Iphigenia in Tauris, which are soprano choruses written in two parts only. It is known that in these harmonic successions, the second part occurs at a fourth beneath the first part; the effect of these series of fourths is softened only by that of the bass written a third below the intermediate part, and a sixth below the upper part. Now, in the choruses of Gluck just alluded to, the women's voices executing the two high parts are therefore written in successions of fourths; the low part which completes the chords and renders them harmonious is given to the instrumental basses, the sound of which differs essentially from that of the sopranos, and is moreover too distant from them, by the extreme depth and point of departure. Hence it follows, that instead of sounding consonant chords, the voices isolated on the stage and remote from the orchestra, give out series of fourths rendered dissonant, or, at least, extremely harsh, by the apparent absence of the sixth.

If the harshness of these successions be of dramatic effect in the chorus of the first act of the opera in question, "O songe affreux, etc.," it is not so when the priestess of Diana come (in the fourth act) to sing the hymn, of a colouring so antique and so fine, however: 'Chaste fille de Latone." We must bear in mind that here, purity of harmony was absolutely indispensable. The series of fourths here to be found, left revealed in the voices, are then an error of Gluck's; an error which would disappear, were a third vocal part added, beneath the second, at an octave above the basses of the orchestra.
Daughter chaste of dread La-to-na, Lend gracious ear to our songs,
Chas-te fil-le de La-to-na, Pré-ta l’o-reille à nos chants.

May our vows, with incense sweet ascending, reach unto thy throne.
Que nos vœux que notre en-cense s’é-le-vent jus-qu’à son trô-ne.

May our vows, with incense sweet ascending, reach unto thy throne.
Que nos vœux que notre en-cense s’é-le-vent jus-qu’à son trô-ne.
In the heav'ns, and throughout nature all things conform to thy law,
Dans les Cieux et sur la terre, tout est soumis à ta loi.

All that E- re- bus con- tain- eth, at thy name are struck with fear.
Tout ce que l'E- rhé en- ser- re à ton nom pe- lit d'ef- froi.

In all straits each one consults thee, Be it peace, or be it war.
En tout tems on te consu- lite, dans la peur dans les com- bats.
The system of choruses of men's voices in unison, introduced into dramatic music by the modern Italian school, gives occasionally some fine results; but it must be allowed, that it has been greatly abused, and that if some masters still adhere to it, it is solely because it favors their idleness, and lends itself more conveniently to certain choral companies ill fitted for rendering well a piece in several parts.

Double choruses are, on the contrary, of a richness and pomp quite remarkable; they are certainly not hackneyed now-a-days. They are for our expeditious musicians—both composers, and performers,—too long to write and to learn. To say the truth, the ancient authors who made the most frequent use of them, generally composed merely two phrasing choruses, in four parts; choruses in eight real continuous parts, are rather rare—even in their works. There are compositions for three choirs. When the idea they have to rendr is worthy of so magnificent an investiture, such bodies of voices, thus divided into twelve, or at least nine real parts, produce those impressions, the memory of which is ineradicable, and which cause grand choral music to rank as the highest among arts.

**Instruments of Percussion.**

They are of two kinds: the first comprises instruments of decided sound, and musically appreciable; and the second those of which the less musical sound can be ranked only among noises destined to produce special effects, or to the **colorisation** of the rhythm.

*Kettle-drums, bells, the glockenspiel, the keyed harmonica, small ancient cymbals, have decided uses.*

The long drum, the side-drum, the drum, the tambour basque (or tambourine), the common cymbals, the tam-tam, the triangle, the pavillon chinois, are in a contrary case, and merely make noises variously characterized.

**Kettle-Drums.**

Of all the instruments of percussion, kettle-drums appear to me to be the most valuable; at least it is that in most general use, and the one from which modern composers have derived the most picturesque and dramatic effects. Ancient masters used it only to striking the tonic and the dominant on a rhythm more or less common, in pieces of a brilliant character, or of warlike import; and therefore almost always combined them with trumpets.

In the majority of present orchestras there are but two kettle-drums, the larger of which is used for the lowest sound.

The custom is to give them the first and the fifth note of the key in which the piece is written where they are to figure. Some masters had the habit, not many years ago, of invariably writing $\frac{1}{2}$ for the kettle-drums; contenting themselves with indicating at the commencement the real sounds that these notes were to represent; so that, they wrote thus:—Kettle-drums in $D$; thence making $G$ signify $\frac{1}{2}$;—Kettle-drums in $G$, and then $G$ meant $\frac{1}{2}$ These two examples will suffice to demonstrate the vices of such a system. The compass of kettle-drums is one octave, from $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$; that is to say, by means of the
screws which compress the circumference—called
the brace—of each kettle-drum, and which augment
or diminish the tension of the parchment, the low
kettle-drum can be tuned in the following keys:

\[
\text{\textbf{D}}
\]

and the high kettle-drum in these:

\[
\text{\textbf{E}}
\]

Now, supposing that kettle-drums are intended to
sound only the tonic and the dominant, it is very
evident that the dominant will not occupy in all keys
the same relative position with the tonic; and that
kettle-drums should therefore be tuned sometimes in
fifth, sometimes in fourth. In the key of \(C\), they
will be in fourth, the dominant necessarily occurring
below, \(\text{\textbf{G}}\), since there is no high \(G\) (although
there might be one); and it will be the same in \(D_{b}\),
in \(D_{b}\), in \(E_{b}\), and in \(E_{b}\). But in \(D_{b}\), the
composer is at liberty to have his drums tuned in fifth or
in fourth, and to place the tonic above or below, since
he has two \(F\)s at his disposal. Tuning in fifth,
\(\text{\textbf{G}}\) will be dull, the anchorage of the two
kettle-drums being then very little strained; the \(F\),
particularly, will be rapid and of bad quality.

Tuning in fifth, \(\text{\textbf{G}}\) becomes sonorous from
the opposite reason. It is the same with kettle-
drums in \(F\), which may be tuned in two ways; in
fifth, \(\text{\textbf{A}}\) or in fourth, \(\text{\textbf{A}}\). In the keys
of \(G\), \(A\), and \(A\), on the contrary, the tuning must
be in fifth, because there is no low \(D\), \(E_{b}\), or \(E_{b}\).

There is no need, it is true, to mention in this case
the tuning in fifth, since the drum-player will be
obliged to adopt it; but is it not absurd to write
movements of fourth, when the performer is to play
movements of fifth; and to present to the eye as the
lowest note, that which, to the ear, is the highest?
And vice-versa.

The principal cause of this strange custom of
treating the kettle-drum as a transposing instrument,
was doubtless from the idea which all composers had
taken up, that kettle-drums ought only to give the
tonic and the dominant; but when it became apparent
that it was frequently useful to give them other notes
to play, it became evidently necessary to write the
real sounds. Accordingly, kettle-drums are now
tuned in all sorts of ways,—in minor third or major,
in second, in fourth true or augmented, in fifth, in
sixth, in seventh, and in octave. Beethoven has
drawn charming effects from the tuning in octave
\(F\), \(\text{\textbf{G}}\) in his eighth Symphony, and in his
ninth (choral). Composers have complained for
many years of the vexations necessity in which they
found themselves—for want of a third sound in
kettle-drums—of never employing this instrument
in chords of which either of these two notes formed
a portion; they never asked themselves whether a
single drummer might not play upon three drums.
At length, one fine day, the drum-player at the Paris
opera having shown that the thing was easy, they
ventured to try this audacious innovation; and since
then, the composers who write for the opera have at
their disposal three kettle-drums notes. It required
seventy years to reach this point! It will be still
better, evidently, to have two pair of kettle-drums
and two drummers; and this method has been pursed
in the orchestration of several modern sym-
phonies. But progress does not march so rapidly in
theatres; and it will take some score of years there
to attain this.

As many drummers as drums may be employed in
the orchestra, so as to produce at pleasure, according
to their number, rolls, measured passages, and simple
chords, in two, three, or four parts. With two pairs,
if one be tuned in \(A\), \(E\), \(E\) for instance,
and the other in \(C\), \(E\), \(E\) there may, with
four drummers, be played the following chords in
two, three, and four parts:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Drums in } C, & \\
\text{Two drummers,} & \\
\text{Drums in } A \text{ } E & \\
\text{Two drummers.} &
\end{align*}
\]

without reckoning the
enharmonics, as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{to produce, in the key} & \\
of \text{ } D_{b} & \\
\text{minor, the chord:} & \\
\end{align*}
\]

Or this one, in \(C\)
minor:

\[
\text{And the advantage of having at least one note to}
\text{place in almost all the chords which are not too}
\text{remote from the principal key. It is in order thus}
\text{to obtain a certain number of chords in three, four}
\text{and five parts, more or less doubled, and moreover}
\text{a striking effect of very close rolls, that I have em-
ployed in my grand requiem mass eight pairs of}
\text{drums tuned in different ways, and see drum-
rolls—}
\]
We said just now that kettle-drums have but one octave compass; the difficulty of getting a parchment sufficiently large to cover a vessel larger than that of the great bass kettle-drum, is perhaps the reason which prevents obtaining sounds lower than the F. But this does not hold good with regard to the high kettle-drums: certainly, by diminishing the size of the metallic vessel, it would be easy to obtain the high G, A, and B♭. These small kettle-drums might be, on numerous occasions, of the happiest effect. Formerly, drum-players were hardly ever obliged to change the tuning of their instrument in the course of a piece; but now, composers do not hesitate to subject the tuning, during a very short space of time, to a tolerably large number of modifications. They might be the rather spared the employment of this means,—which is troublesome and difficult for the performer—if there were, in all orchestras, two pairs of kettle-drums, and two drummers; at any rate, when recourse is had to it, care should be taken in the first place to give the drum-player a number of rests proportioned to the importance of the change demanded from him, so that he shall have time to effect it conveniently; in this case, also, there should be indication, at each new disposal of the chord, nearest to that which is quitted.

For example, if the kettle-drums being in A E, \( \text{♯} \) it be wished to go into the key of B♭, it would be an egregious piece of awkwardness to indicate the new chord in F B♭ (fourth), \( \text{♯} \) which compels the letting down of a third the low kettle-drum, and of an augmented fourth the high kettle-drum, when the chord B♭ F (fifth), \( \text{♯} \) merely requires, on the contrary, the raising of a half tone for the two kettle-drums. It may easily be conceived, besides, how difficult it is for the drum-player to give precisely true a new tuning to his instrument, obliged as he is to turn the keys or screws compressing the brace, during the performance of a piece full of modulations; which may make him sound the key of B♭ major at the very moment when he is seeking the key of G or the key of F. This proves, that independently of the particular talent which the drummer should possess in the manipulation of his drumsticks, he ought to be moreover an excellent musician, and endowed with an ear of extreme delicacy; and this is why good drum-players are so rare.

There are three kinds of drumsticks; the use of which so changes the nature of the drum's sound, that it is worse than negligence on the part of composers, if they fail to indicate in their scores the kind which they desire the performer to use.

Drumsticks with wooden ends produce a harsh, dry, hard sound; scarcely good for anything but to strike a violent blow, or to accompany a great noise of the orchestra.

Drumsticks with wooden ends covered with leather are less hard; they produce a sound less striking than the preceding, but still very dry nevertheless. In numerous orchestras these drumsticks alone are used; which is a great pity.

Drumsticks with ends made of sponge are the best; and are those of which the use,—more musical, and less noisy,—should be more frequent. They give to the kettle-drum a grave velvety quality of tone, which, making the sounds very neat, renders therefore the tuning very distinct, and suite a large number of gradations soft or loud in execution, in which the other drumsticks would produce a detestable effect—or at least, an insufficient one.

Whenever the object is to play mysterious sounds dimly menacing,—even in a forte,—recourse should be had to the drumsticks with sponge ends. Moreover, as the elasticity of the sponge aids the rebound of the drumstick, the player need only touch the parchment of the kettle-drums to obtain, in pianissimo, delicate rolls, very soft and very close. Beethoven, in his Symphonies in B♭ and in C minor, has deduced from the pianissimo of the kettle-drums a marvellous effect; and these admirable passages lose much by being played with drumsticks without sponge ends,—although the author in his scores has specified nothing on this point.
In the works of the ancient masters especially, there is frequently found this indication:—Kettle-drums muffled, or covered. It signifies, that the parchment of the instrument is to be covered with a piece of cloth; the effect of which is to deaden the sound, and to render it extremely lugubrious. The drumsticks with sponge ends are still preferable to the others in a like case. It is sometimes well to designate the notes that the drummer should play with two drumsticks at once, or with a single drumstick.

The nature of the rhythm, and the place of the loud accents, should decide the choice.

The sound of kettle-drums is not very low; it is played as it is written on the F clef, in unison with the corresponding notes on the violoncellos, consequently, and not an octave below, as some musicians have supposed.

Bells.

They have been introduced into instrumentation for the production of effects more dramatic than musical. The quality of low bells is appropriate only to solemn or pathetic scenes; that of high bells, on the contrary, gives rise to more serene impressions: they have something rustic and simple about them, which renders them particularly suitable to religious scenes of rural life. This is why Rossini has employed a little bell in high G, to accompany the graceful chorus in the second act of Guillaume Tell, of which the burden is "voici la nuit;" while Meyerbeer has had recourse to a bell in low F, to give the signal for the massacre of the Huguenots, in the fourth act of the opera of that name. He has taken care, moreover, to make of this F, the diminished fifth of the B♭, struck below by the bassoons; and which, aided by the low notes of two clarinets (in A and in B♭), give it that sinister quality of tone which awakens the terror and alarm pervading this immortal scene.
BERLIOZ' TREATISE UPON

No. 62.

Mistico molto.

La Huguenote.—MAYERBEER.

Violins.

Violas.

Flutes.

1 Hautboy.

1 Corro inglés.

1 Clar. in B♭.

1 Clar. in A.

Bassoons.

Horns in F.

Trombones.

Ophicleide.

Bell in F.

VALÉRIS.

Yes, they freeze my very

Tu me glace de ter-

NAOUL.

Hearest thou those sounds of mourning?

En-tends tu ces sons fa-né-bras

Violoncello.

Double bass.
Ah, still the fatal thought how they massacred my brothers. 'Tis the horrid sign.

Ah, souvenir fatal du mas ses frères c'est l'horrible sin.

me, dear Raoul,
moi cher Raoul,
Sets of Bells.

Especially in military music, felicitous effects are obtained from a series of very small bells (similar in quality to chimney-clocks) fixed one above another on a frame of iron, to the number of eight or ten, and ranged diatonically in the order of their size: the highest note naturally comes at the summit of the pyramid, and the deeper ones lowest. These kind of chimes, made to vibrate by a little hammer, can execute melodies of measured rapidity, and of small extent of compass. They are made in different scales. The highest are the best.

The Glockenspiel.

Mozart has written, in his opera of the Magic Flute, an important part for a keyed instrument that he calls Glockenspiel (set of bells), composed doubtless of a great number of very small bells, arranged in such a manner as to be put in vibration by a mechanism of keys. He gave it the following compass; and wrote it upon two lines and two clefs, like the pianoforte:

With all the chromatic intervals.

When they got up at the Paris opera the imperfect Pasticcio, known under the name of the Mysteries of Isis, in which was introduced—more or less disfigured—a portion of the music in the Magic Flute, they had made, for the glockenspiel piece, a little instrument, the hammers of which, instead of striking on bells, struck upon bars of steel. The sound is produced an octave above the written notes; it is sweet, mysterious, and of extreme delicacy. It adapts itself to the most rapid movements; and is incomparably better than that of little bells.

No. 63. The Magic Flute.—Mozart.

\[ Notation and musical score of Mozart's Magic Flute. \]
The Keyed Harmonica.

Is an instrument of the same kind as the preceding; the hammers striking upon plates of glass. Its quality of tone, is of an incomparably voluptuous delicacy; of which frequently the most poetical application might be made. Like that of the key-board of steel bars I have just mentioned, its sonorosity is extremely weak; which should be kept in mind, when associating it with other instruments of the orchestra. The least aid in ascent of the violins alone, would suffice to cover it entirely. It would blend better with light accompaniments of pizzicate, or harmonics; and with some very soft middle notes of the flutes.

The sound of the keyed harmonica comes out as it is written. It can hardly be given more than two octaves; all the notes beyond the high E, being scarcely perceptible, and those beyond the low D, having but a very poor tone, and still more weak than the rest of the scale. This defect in the low notes might perhaps be remedied by giving them glass plates of greater thickness than the others. Pianoforte-makers are the usual manufacturers of this delicious and too little known instrument. It is written, like the preceding, on two lines and two G clefs.

It is needless to add, that the mechanism of execution on these two little key-boards, is exactly the same as that of the pianoforte; and that all the passages, arpeggios, and chords may be written for them, in their respective compass, which would be written for a very small pianoforte.

Ancient Cymbals.

They are very small; and their sound becomes higher, in proportion as they are thicker and less in size. I have seen some in the Pompeian Museum at Naples, which were no larger than a dollar. The sound of these is so high, and so weak, that it could hardly be distinguished without a complete silence of the other instruments. These cymbals served, in ancient times, to mark the rhythm of certain dances,—like our modern castanets, doubtless.

In the fairy-like scherzo of my Romeo and Juliet Symphony, I have employed two pairs, of the dimension of the largest of the Pompeian cymbals; that is to say, rather less than the size of the hand, and tuned at a fifth one with the other. The lowest gives this B♭, and the highest this F♯.

To make them vibrate well, the player should—instead of striking the cymbals full one against the other—strike them merely by one of their edges. Bell-founders can all manufacture these small cymbals; which are first cast in brass or copper, and then turned, to bring them into the desired key. They should be of at least three lines and a half in thickness. This is also a delicate instrument of the nature of the keyed harmonica; but its sound is louder, and can easily be heard through a large orchestra playing either piano or mezzo forte.

The Long Drum.

Among the instruments of percussion, with an indefinite sound, assuredly the long drum is the one which has caused the greatest ravages, and induced the largest amount of nonsense and barbarism, in
modern music. None of the great masters of the last age thought of introducing it into the orchestra. Spontini was the first to let it be heard, in his triumphal march, in the Festas; and a little later on, in some pieces of his Fermand Cortez: there, it was well placed. But to write it as it has been written for fifteen years past, in all full pieces, in all finales, in the slightest chorus, in dance-tunes, even in cavatinas,—is the height of folly; and (to call things by their right names) of brute stupidity: and the rather, because composers, in general, have not even the excuse of an original rhythm, which they might be supposed to have wished to display and render predominant over the accessory rhythms;—nothing of the kind; they strike senselessly the accented parts of each bar, they overwhelm the orchestra, they overpower the voices; there is no longer either melody, harmony, design, or expression; hardly does the prevailing key remain distinguishable! And then they innocently think they have produced an energetic instrumentation, and have written something very fine!

It is needless to add that the long drum, in this style, is scarcely ever unaccompanied by cymbals; as if these two instruments were in their nature inseparable. In some orchestras even, they are played by a single and the same musician; one of the cymbals being attached to the long drum, he can strike it with the other in the left hand, while in the right hand he flourishes his drumstick. This economical proceeding is intolerable; the cymbals losing thus their sonorosity, produce only a noise which might be compared to the fall of a sack full of ironmongery and broken glass. It has a trivial character, deprived of all pomp or brilliancy; and is fit for nothing better than to make dance-music for monkeys, or to accompany the fests of jugglers, mountebanks, and swallowers of swords and serpents, in the public streets and alleys.

The long drum has nevertheless an admirable effect, when judiciously employed. It should, for example, be introduced in a full piece, in the midst of a large orchestra, merely to redouble little by little the force of a lofty rhythm already established, and gradually reinforced by the successive entrance of groups of the most sonorous instruments. Its introduction then does wonders; the swelling of the orchestra is reduced to measured potency; the noise thus disciplined is transformed into music. The pianissimo notes of the long drum, united with the cymbals in an andante, and struck at long intervals, have something very grand and solemn about them. The pianissimo of the long drum alone, is, on the contrary, gloomy and menacing (if the instrument be well made, and of large size); it resembles a distant sound of cannon.

I have employed, in my Requiem, the long drum forte without cymbals, and with two drumsticks. The performer striking one beat on each side of the instrument, can thus sound a tolerably rapid succession of notes, which, mingled—as in the work I have just mentioned—with rolls on the kettle-drums in several parts, and with an orchestration where accents of terror predominate, give the idea of those strange and awful noises which accompany the great cataclysms of nature. (See No. 69, page 200.)

On another occasion, in order to obtain in a symphony a dull roll, much deeper than the lowest sound of the kettle-drums could be, I caused it to be given by two drummers together on a single long drum placed upright like a small drum.

**Cymbals.**

Cymbals are very often united with the long drum; but, as I have just said, they may be treated isolatedly with the greatest success on numerous occasions. Their quivering and shrill sounds,—the noise or which predominates over all the other noises of the orchestra,—ally themselves incomparably well, in certain cases, either with sentiments of extreme ferocity (then united to sharp whistlings of piccolo flutes, and to the strokes of the kettle-drum or small drum), or with the feverish excitement of a bacchanalian orgy, where revelry verges upon frenzy. Never has there been a finer effect of cymbals produced, than in the chorus of Scythians: “Les dieux,” in Gluck’s Iphigenia in Tauride.

No. 64.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Piccolo Flutes</th>
<th>Allegro</th>
<th>Col. Va. Imao</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obol and Clar. in C</td>
<td>Allegro</td>
<td>Col. Va. Imao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violins</td>
<td>Allegro</td>
<td>Col. Va. Imao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violas</td>
<td>Allegro</td>
<td>Col. Va. Imao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drums</td>
<td>Allegro</td>
<td>Col. Va. Imao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cymbals</td>
<td>Allegro</td>
<td>Col. Va. Imao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basses</td>
<td>Allegro</td>
<td>Col. Va. Imao</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Iphigenia in Tauride.—GLUCK.**
MODERN INSTRUMENTATION AND ORCHESTRATION.

Chorus.

1st Tenors (Hautes Contre.)

The gods abate their

2nd Tenors.

Les deux oppo-sent

Basses.

anger now; They bring us victims, victims wor-thy; The

leur cour-rage; ils nous a-menent des victi-mes les
Gods, abate their anger now; They bring us victims, victims

worthy; To those just avengers of evil Let their
A vigorous and well-marked rhythm gains greatly in an immense chorus, or in the dance-tune of an orgy, if executed, not by a single pair of cymbals, but by four, six, ten pairs, and even more, according to the space, and to the mass of other instruments and voices. The composer should always be careful to determine the length that he wishes his cymbal notes to last, followed by a rest; in case he wish to have the sound prolonged, he must write long and sustained notes, as: \[\text{\textbf{\textbf{\textbf{\textbf{ }}}}}\] with this indication: "let them vibrate;" but in the contrary case, he must place a quaver or a semiquaver, as: \[\text{\textbf{\textbf{\textbf{\textbf{ }}}}}\] with these words: "damp the sound;" which the player attains by bringing the cymbals against his chest as soon as he has struck them. Sometimes, a drumstick with a sponge end, or of a long-drum, is used, with which to strike a cymbal suspended by its leather strap. This produces a metallic quiver of tolerable length; sinister, though without the formidable accent of a stroke of the gong.

The Gong.

The gong, or tam-tam, is employed only in funereal compositions, and dramatic scenes, where horror is carried to its height. The vibrations of the gong, mingled \textit{forte} with the thrilling chords of the brass instruments (trumpets and trombones), make the hearer shudder; its pianissimo strokes, nearly by themselves, are no less fearful from their lugubrious sound. M. Meyerbeer has proved this in his magnificent scene of \textit{Robert le Diable}, the resurrection of the nuns:—
The Tamhour Basque (or Tambourine).

This favorite instrument of the Italian peasantry, and which figures in all their festivities, is of excellent effect, employed in masses, to strike, like cymbals, and with them, a rhythm in a scene of dance and orgy. It is seldom introduced alone in the orchestra; unless in a case where the subject of the piece renders it illustrative of the manners of the people who habitually use the instrument:—such as wandering Bohemians, or gypsies; the Basque nation; the Roman peasants; and those of the Abruzzi and Calabria. It produces three kinds of different noises: when it is simply struck with the hand, its sound has not much effect (unless employed in numbers); and the tambourine thus struck is not distinguishable unless left nearly alone by the other instruments: if it be played by rubbing its parchment with the ends of the fingers, there results a roll in which the noise of the small bells attached sound.
its edge are to be chiefly heard; and which is thus written, $\frac{4}{4}$; but this roll should be very short, because the finger which rubs the parchment of the instrument, soon attains, as it advances, the edge, which puts an end to its action.

A roll like this, for instance, would be impossible:

By rubbing, on the contrary, the parchment, without quitting it, with the whole weight of the thumb, the instrument gives out a wild rumbling—sufficiently grotesque and ugly—but of which it is not absolutely impossible to make use in some masquerade scene.

The Drum.

Drums, properly so called,—called also "caisses claires"—are rarely well placed otherwise than in large orchestras of wind instruments. Their effect is the better and the nobler, in proportion as they are more numerous; a single drum,—particularly when it figures in the midst of an ordinary orchestra—has always appeared to me mean and vulgar. Let us own, nevertheless, that M. Meyerbeer has known how to derive a peculiar and terrible sonorosity from the association of a drum with the kettle-drums for the famous crescendo roll in the benediction of the daggers, in his opera of the Huguenots. But eight, ten, twelve, or more drums, executing in a military march rhythmical accompaniments, or crescendo rolls, prove magnificent and powerful auxiliaries to the wind instruments. Simple rhythms, without either melody, harmony, key, or anything that really constitutes music, solely serving to mark the march-step of soldiers, become attractive, when performed by a body of forty or fifty drums alone. And perhaps, it is here the occasion to remark the singular as well as actual charm for the ear, which arises from a multiplicity of unisons, or from the simultaneous reproduction by a very large number of instruments of the same kind, of the noise they respectively produce. Thus, this may have been observed in attending infantry soldiers’ exercise—the word of command to "shoulder arms" or to "ground arms," the slight clink of the firelocks, and the dull sound of the butt-end of the muskets as they drop on the ground, signify nothing at all, when one, two, three, ten, or even a score of men do it; but let the manoeuvre be performed by a thousand men, and immediately these thousand unisons of an insignificant noise, will alone give it a brilliant aggregate which involuntarily attracts and captivates the attention, which pleases, and in which I find even some vague and occult harmonies.

Drums are used muffled, like kettle-drums; but, instead of covering the parchment with a piece of cloth, the players often content themselves with loosening the braces of the drum, or with passing a leather strap between them and the lower parchment, in such a way as to check the vibrations. The drums then acquire a dim dull sound, somewhat analogous to that produced by muffling the upper parchment; and which renders them fit only for compositions of a funeral or terrible character.

The Caisse Roulante, or Side-Drum.

The side-drums is only a drum rather longer than the preceding one; and of which the body is in wood instead of being in brass. Its sound is dull, and tolerably like that of the drums without tone or muffled. It produces a sufficiently good effect in military music; and its subdued rolls serve as a kind of back-ground to those of the drums. It is a side-drum that Gluck has employed for striking the four continued quavers, whose rhythm is so barbarous, in the chorus of Scythians, in the Iphigenia in Tauride. (See No. 64, page 226.)

The Triangle.

Since the present time there is made so deplorable an abuse of this instrument—as of the long drum, cymbals, kettle-drums, trombones, and in short of all that thunders, sounds, and resounds—it is still more difficult to find fit occasion for introducing it into the orchestra than even the others; its metallic noise suits only pieces of an extremely brilliant character when forte, or of a certain wild whimsicality when piano. Weber has felicitously brought it out in his gypsy chorus of Preciosa; and Gluck still better in the major of his terrible dance of Scythians, in the first act of Iphigenia in Tauride:—

No. 66. Iphigenia in Tauride—Gluck.
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**The Pavilion Chinois,**

With its numerous little bells, serves to give brilliancy to lively pieces, and pompous marches in military music. It can only shake its sonorous locks at somewhat lengthened intervals; that is to say, about twice in a bar, in a movement of moderate time.

We will say nothing here of certain instruments more or less imperfect, and little known; such as the Eolidicon, the Anémocorde, the Accordeon, the Poikilorgu, the ancient Sistrum, &c.; referring those of our readers curious to know more of them, to M. Kastner's excellent *general treatise of instrumentation.* Our intention, in the present work, is merely to study the instruments used in modern music; endeavouring to discover according to what laws harmonious sympathies and striking contrasts may be established between them; and taking into particular account their powers of expression, and the appropriate character of each.

**NEW INSTRUMENTS.**

The Author of this work is doubtless not obliged to mention the multitude of attempts of all kinds daily made by instrument-makers, and their pretended inventions, more or less disastrous, nor to make known the futile specimens which they seek to introduce amidst the race of instruments. But he should signalize and recommend to the attention of composers, those admirable discoveries made by ingenious artists; particularly when the excellent result of these discoveries has been generally recognized, and when their appreciation is already a thing achieved, in musical performance throughout a portion of Europe. These producers are, moreover, of small number; and Messrs. Adolphe Sax, and Alexandre rank at the head of them.

M. Sax—whose labours will first occupy our attention—has brought to perfection (as I have already remarked here and there in the course of this work) several ancient instruments. He has, besides, supplied many voids existing among the family of brass instruments. His principal merit, however, is the creation of a new family, complete since a few years only; that of the instruments with a single reed, with a clarinet mouth-piece, and in brass. These are SAXOPHONES. These new voices given to the orchestra, possess most rare and precious qualities. Soft and penetrating in the higher part, full and rich in the lower part, their medium has something profoundly expressive. It is, in short, a quality of tone sui generis, presenting vague analogies with the sounds of the violoncello, of the clarinet and cornope cornese, and invested with a brazen tinge which imparts a quite peculiar accent. The body of the instrument is a parabolic cone of brass, provided with a set of keys. Agile, fitted for the execution of passages of a certain rapidity, almost as much as for cantilenas passages, saxophones may figure with great advantage in all kinds of music; but especially in slow and soft pieces.

The quality of tone of the high notes of low saxophones, partakes something of painful and sorrow-
BERLIOZ' TREATISE UPON

Baritone Saxophone in E, or in Eb.

With the chromatic intervals.

Effect of the Baritone Saxophone in E. That in the key of Eb is a note lower.

Bass Saxophone in C, or in Bb.

With the chromatic intervals.

Effect of the Bass Saxophone in C. That in the key of Bb is a note lower.

Major and minor shakes are practicable on almost all the extent of the chromatic scale of the saxophone. Here are those which it is well to avoid:

M. Sax has also produced the family of sax-horns, of saxotrombas, and of sax-tubes,—brass instruments with a wide mouth-piece; and with a mechanism of three, four, or five cylinders.

Sax-Horns.

Their sound is round, pure, full, equal, resounding, and of a perfect homogeneity throughout all the extent of the scale. The changing keys of the sax-horn proceed, like those of the cornet & pistons, by descending; commencing from the typical instrument, the small very-high sax-horn in C, which is at an octave above the cornet in C. The custom has obtained in France of writing all these instruments—as well as saxotrombas and sax-tubes, the lowest and the highest—on the G clef, as horns are written; with this difference only, that if, for the horn in low C, we have to represent the real sound an octave below the note written on the G clef, we must—for certain very low instruments of Sax—represent it two octaves below.

Small very high Saxhorn, in C, or in Bb.

With the chromatic intervals.

Effect of the small very high Saxhorn in C. That in the key of Bb is a note lower.

Very difficult in the key of C.

The extreme lower notes are of rather a bad quality of tone, and this instrument should rarely be employed beneath the low A. But there is nothing more brilliant, more neat, more devoid of shrillness—notwithstanding their vivid appeal,—than all the notes of the latter octave. This quality of tone is besides so clear and so penetrating, that it allows a single very-high sax-horn to be distinguished through a considerable mass of other wind instruments. The very-high sax-horn in Bb is more used than the one in C; and although it is a note lower than the other, there is already much difficulty—or at least much care—for the performer to bring out the two last sounds:

Real sounds.

In Bb.

It requires, therefore, to be very sparing of these precious notes, and to introduce them with skill.

Soprano Saxhorn in Eb. A fifth below the preceding, in Bb.

Effect.

Commencing with the soprano sax-horn in Eb, we will no longer indicate the first low note of the tube's resonance. It is too bad to be employed.

We will merely forewarn composers that, if they indicate an instrument with four cylinders, the chromatic compass of the low part of this instrument no longer stops at the F, but goes down to the first C.

Alto Saxhorn in Bb. A fourth below the preceding.

Effect.

Tenor Saxhorn in Bb. A fifth below the preceding.

Effect.

Baritone Saxhorn, and Bass Saxhorn in Bb. A fourth below the preceding.

Effect.
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...see two sax-horns,—the baritone and bass,—the same compass in the high part of the ment. The tube is only rather smaller for the ne. The bass, which has almost always four tubers, has a tube somewhat wider, which allows descending lower and more easily.

There are, moreover, the low double-bass sax-horn 7, and the drone sax-horn in B♭, which are at tave below the two preceding; but of which the medium notes should be employed in a rate movement.

**Saxotrombas.**

These are brass instruments with mouth-piece, and three, four, or five cylinders, like the preceding. tube, being more contracted, gives to the sound it produces, a character more shrill,—par- g at once of the quality of tone of the trumpet f that of the bugle.

The number of the members of the family of rombas equals that of sax-horns. They are sed in the same order, from high to low; and as the same compass.

**Sax-Tubas.**

These are instruments with mouth-piece and a anism of three cylinders; they are of enormous ouness, carrying far, and producing extraordini-effect in military bands intended to be heard in pen air.

They should be treated exactly like sax-horns; by taking into account the absence of the low le-bass in E♭, and of the drone in B♭.

Their shape—elegantly rounded—recalls that of the trumpets on a grand scale.

**The Concertinas.**

This is a small instrument, with plates of brass into vibration by a current of air. The accor-which for some years was a musical toy, formed roundwork of the concertinas; and subsequently, of the melodium. The sound of the concertina once penetrating and soft; notwithstanding its ness, it carries tolerably far: it allies itself well the quality of tone of the harp, and with that e pianoforte. Still more so, it unites with the 1 of the melodium, which is now the head of its y. But there would be little advantage in ng such an association; since the melodium has a quality of tone analogous to that of the concertina, produces the same effects, and moreover possesses many others which the concertina does not possess.

The concertina is a kind of small elastic box; which is held horizontally between both hands. It is played by means of knobs, pressed with the points of the fingers, and which, raising a valve, cause to pass over the plates or reeds of brass, the column of air supplied by a bellows placed between the two sides of the box. These sides are formed by two tablets: which hold, on the outside, the key-board of knobs; and, on the inside, the vibrating plates. The bellows, having no valve, can only fill and empty itself by means of the set of reed-valves, which in-spire and ex-pire, each in turn, the air necessary for the vibration of the reeds.

The concertina has its small family complete; independently of its relationship with the melodium. There is the bass concertina, the alto, and the soprano. The bass concertina has the compass of the violoncello; the alto, that of the viola; and the soprano, that of the violin. The soprano concertina is almost the only one used.

We will presently give the compass of the concertina; which the popularity that it has acquired in England has caused to be called the English concertina.

It will be perceived,—in these two chromatic scales (one of which represents the notes of the left tablet, and the other those of the right tablet)—that the maker of the English concertina has established, in the three first octaves, enharmonic intervals between the A♭ and the G♮, and between the E♭ and the D♭, giving a little more elevation to the A♭ than to the G♮, and to the E♭ than to the D♭; thus conforming to the doctrine of the acousticians,—a doctrine entirely contrary to the practice of musicians.

This is a strange anomaly.

It is very evident that the concertina, being an instrument with fixed sounds, like the pianoforte, the organ, and the melodium, should, like these instruments, be tuned according to the law of temperament.

In its present state, its enharmonic notes in fact prevent it from being played with a pianoforte, with an organ, or with a melodium, without producing discords when the musical phrase or the harmony introduce unisons between the enharmonic A♭ or the G♮, the E♭ or the Fb♭ of the concertina, and these same notes tempered on the other instrument; since the A♭ and the G♮, as well as the E♭ and the D♭, are identical on the instruments tuned in temperament, while they are not so on the concertina; and that neither the one nor the other of the enharmonic sounds (A♭ and G♮ of the concertina) will be in strict union with the A♭ or the G♮ of the tempered instrument, which preserves the middle between the two sounds of the concertina. Moreover, the effect of this disposition of a portion of the scale will be still more frightful, if the concertina play a duet with an instrument having moveable sounds, such as the violin; musical prac- tice, musical sense, the ear, in short, of all people with whom modern music is cultivated, establishing, that, in certain cases, these notes called...
obedient to the attraction exercised over them by their upper tonic, and the minor sevenths and ninths, obedient to the attraction of the upper note upon which they make their resolution, the first, the leading note, may become slightly sharper than it would be in the temperamentless scale, and the second slightly flatter.

The \( G \frac{3}{4} \) (too flat) of the concertina could not, then, be in tune with the \( G \frac{3}{4} \) (too sharp) of the violin; nor the \( A \frac{3}{4} \) (too sharp) of the other: each of the performers, obeying two diametrically opposite laws (the law of the calculation of vibrations, and the musical law), if the violinist, yielding to the necessity of effecting strict unison, did not play in such manner as to assimilate with the sound (whatever it might be) of the instrument having fixed intonations,—and, in consequence, really false. This takes place even—in less proportions, and without hurting the ear,—unconsciously with violins, when these latter play with the pianoforte and other temperamentless instruments. But the fantastic proceeding, which should reconcile the system of the English concertina, with the musical system of ascending leading notes, and descending sevenths, would consist in adopting the exact reverse of the acoustician's opinion on the enharmonics, by employing the \( A \frac{3}{4} \) in place of the \( G \frac{3}{4} \), and vice-versa. The violin executing then this passage musically.

This ancient endeavour of the acousticians to introduce at all risks the result of their calculations into the practice of an art, based especially on the study of the impressions produced by sounds upon the human ear, is no longer maintainable now-a-days. So true is it, that Music rejects it with energy; and can only exist by rejecting it.

So true is it, even, that the contrary modifications of the interval, between two sounds which are mutually attracted (in musical practice), are extremely delicate gradations, which artists and singers should employ with great precaution, from which orchestral performers should in general abstain, and which composers, with the due foresight of their office, should treat in a special manner.

So true is it, in short, that the immense majority of musicians instinctively avoid them, in harmonious combinations. Whence it results that the sounds so-called irreconcilable by the acousticians, are perfectly reconciled by musical practice; and that those relations declared false by calculation, are accepted as true by the ear, which takes no account of inappreciable differences, nor of the reasonings of mathematicians. There is scarcely a modern score, where, either to facilitate execution, or for some other reason,—nay, frequently without any reason,—the composer has not written harmonial or melodial passages, at once in the sharpened key for one portion of the orchestra or choir, and in the flattened key for the other:

---

*Les Epaves.*

- Mythological.

Glai - ves pi - eux Saint- es é - pé - ces.

---

*Clarinet in Ab.*

These two parts are in unison.
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Here, the violoncellos and the double-basses seem to play in G minor, while the trombones appear to play in B♭ minor.

In this example, if the violoncellos and the double-basses made their F♯ too sharp, and if the trombones made their G♭ too flat, doubtless a discord would be heard; but supposing that the execution is good, this need not be the case; then, the two sounds,—each of which has a tendency contrary to that of the other,—will be perfectly in tune together.

On all such occasions, the orchestra becomes a large temperamented instrument. It becomes so, even, in a number of other cases; and when the musicians who constitute it, are not aware of the fact.

In the celebrated chorus of demons, in his Orfeo, Gluck has established an enharmonic relation between two parts, in an indeterminate key. I allude to the passage, on which J. J. Rousseau and others have written so many follies, grounded upon the difference which they believed they discovered between the G♭ and the F♯.

If it were true that the execution permitted any difference to be perceived here between the F♯ of the chorus and the G♭ of the basses (pizzicato), this difference—I repeat—could produce only an intolerable and anti-musical discord; the ear would be revolted, and that is all. Far from this, the hearer is profoundly stirred by an emotion of awe, most grand, and musical. He knows not, it is true, the precise key that he hears. Is it B♭? Is it G minor? He cannot tell—he cares little; but nothing hurts his ear in the association of the various instrumental and vocal parts. The F♯ of the chorus, and of the second orchestra, produces the prodigious effect we feel, on account of the unexpected way in which it is introduced, and the accent of wildness imparted by this indefiniteness of key,—and not on account of its assumed and monstrous discordance with the G♭. One must be, moreover, childishly ignorant of the phenomena of sound, not to be aware that this discordance could not in any case cause the effect produced, since the G♭ pizzicato of several basses playing piano is necessarily covered,—or, more properly, extinguished,—by the sudden entrance of fifty or sixty men's voices in unison, and by all the rest of the mass of stringed instruments attacking (with the bow) the F♯ fortissimo.

These ridiculous arguings, these ramblings of men of letters, these absurd conclusions of the learned, possessed—all of them—with the mania of speaking and writing upon an art of which they are ignorant, can have no other result than that of making musicians laugh. But it is a pity: knowledge, eloquence, genius, should always remain surrounded by the admiration and respect due to them.

After this long digression, I return to the English concertina; of which, this is the barbarous scale:

The concertina, notwithstanding the disposition of the preceding example, is written on a single line, and on the G clef. The shake is practicable on all the notes of the scale; less easily, however, in the lower extremity. The double shake (in thirds) is easy.

Diatonic and chromatic passages, or arpeggios, of tolerable rapidity, may be executed on this instrument. It is possible to add to the principal part,—if not several other complicated parts, as on the pianoforte and organ,—at least a second part proceeding nearly parallelly with the melody, and struck chords of four to six notes, or richer still:

The German concertina—much used also in England—is not constructed on the system of the preceding. Its scale, which extends farther below (it goes down to C and B♭), contains no enharmonic interval. It is consequently constructed according to the law of temperament.

The compass of concertinas varies with the number of keys, knobs, or stops, given to them; and this number changes according to the caprice of the makers. Finally, this instrument—like the guitar—requires, that the composer who would turn it to
advantageous account, should have a knowledge of its mechanism, and be able to play it himself, more or less well.

The Melodion Organ (Alexandre's).

This instrument has a key-board, like the organ built with pipes. Its sound results—like that of the concertina—from the vibration of free metallic reeds, over which passes a current of air. This current of air is produced by a bellows, put in motion by the feet of the performer; and according to the mode in which the feet act upon this blowing mechanism, in certain conditions wherein the instrument may be placed, the sounds acquire more or less intensity.

The melodion organ thus possesses crescendo and diminuendo; it is expressive. Hence the name of "Register of Expression," given to the particular mechanism it possesses. The fingering of the key-board, is the same as that of the organ key-board. It is written on two lines, and even on three; like the organ. Its compass is five octaves—

This compass, however, is not limited to the above, for melodions with more than one stop. The number of stops is very variable. The most simple melodion—the one with a single stop, of which we have just shown the compass—contains two different qualities of tone; the quality of tone of the corno inglesse for the left half of the key-board, and that of the flute for the right half.

The others,—according to the will of the maker,—may have, by different combinations, bassoon, clarion, flute, clarinet, fife, and hautboy stops (so called, on account of the analogy which then exists between the quality of tone of the melodion, and that of those instruments); and moreover, the Grand stop, the Forte, and the Expressivo. These stops give to the melodion a compass of seven octaves, although its key-board has only five.

They are placed at the command of the performer by means of a mechanism like that of the organ, placed on each side of the body of the instrument, and put in action by drawing forward a wooden handle with either hand.

Some other stops are obtained by a similar mechanism, placed beneath the body of the instrument, and which are moved by pressure from left to right, and from right to left, with the knee of the performer. This mechanism constitutes what is called "the register."

The melodion does not possess the movable stops of the organ, the effect of which excites in many people a traditional admiration; but which, in reality, have a horrible tenacity to noise; it has only double and single octave stops, by means of which each key makes speak, with its note, the octave and the double octave of this note, or the double octave without the single, or even the upper octave and the lower octave of this note at the same time.

Many ignorant players and lovers of noise, make plenteous use of these octave stops. Thence results also a barbarism, less, it is true, than that of the movable stops of the organ, which give to each note the simultaneous sound of the two other notes of the major common chord, that is to say, of its major third, and of its fifth; but still an actual barbarism, because,—besides the harmonic thickening produced,—it necessarily introduces into the harmony the most frightful disorder, by the inevitable inversion and spreading of the chords: since ninths thus produce seconds and sevenths; seconds, sevenths and ninths; fifths, fourths; fourths, fifths, &c.; and because, in order to remain in true musical condition with such stops, it would be needful to use them only in pieces written in counterpoint insertable in octaves,—which is not done.

It is to the ignorance of the middle ages, groping blindly for laws of harmony, that we must doubtless attribute the introduction of these monstrosities into organs; which mere custom has preserved and transmitted to us, and which we must hope will by degrees disappear.

The sounds of the melodion being of rather slow emission, like the sounds of the organ with pipes, render it better adapted to the legato style than to any other; and peculiarly suitable to sacred music, to soft and tender melodies, of slow movement.

Pieces of a skipping, petulant, or violent character, executed on the melodion, will always attest,—in my opinion,—the bad taste of the performer, the ignorance of the composer, or the bad taste and ignorance of both.

To impart to the sounds of the melodion a religious and dreamy character,—to render them susceptible of all the inflexions of the human voice, and of the majority of instruments,—such is the object Mr. Alexandre has both proposed and accomplished.

The melodion is at once a Church instrument, and a Theatre instrument; a drawing-room, and a concert-room instrument. It occupies but little space; and it is portable. It is therefore a servant of indisputable utility for composers and amateurs. Since Messrs. Meyerbeer, Halévy, Verdi, have employed the organ in their dramatic works, how many provincial theatres in France, and even Germany, not possessing organs, have found difficulty in executing these works; and to how many mutilations and re-arrangements (more or less clumsy) of scores, this absence of organs has given rise! The directors of these theatres would now be inexcusable to tolerate such misdeeds; since, for a very moderate sum, they may have,—in lieu of an organ with pipes—a melodion organ very nearly sufficing to replace it.

The same thing applies to small churches, where music hitherto has not been possible. A melodion, played by a musician of good sense, might and could introduce there harmonie civilization; and cause, in time, a banishment of those grotesque howlings which still, in such places, mingle with religious service.
Piano and Melodiums (by Alexandre) with prolonged sound.

The prolongation of sound is the most important recent musical invention that has been brought into key-boarded instruments. This invention, applied now-a-days to pianos and melodium organs, gives the player the power of sustaining for an indefinite time, by a simple movement of his knee, a note, a chord, or an arpeggio, in all the compass of the key-board, after his fingers have ceased to press the keys. And during this steady sustaining of a larger or less number of notes, the player, having his hands at liberty, can not only strike and make speak other notes which make no part of the sustained chord, but also the prolonged notes themselves. It will at once be perceived to what a multitude of various and charming combinations this invention affords scope on the melodium organ and piano. They are absolute orchestral effects: and of the nature of those which are produced when the stringed instruments execute four or five parts diversely designed amidst a sustained harmony of the wind instruments (flutes, hautboys, and clarinets); or, better still, like those which result from a piece in several parts, played by wind instruments, during a harmonious holding-on of divided violins; or when the harmony and the melody are moving above or below a pedal point.

Moreover, the effect of prolongation may take place with different degrees of intensity, on the melodium; according as the Forte-register which is appended to it be opened or shut.

Two knee-pieces are placed beneath the key-board in such a way as to be readily put in action by a touch of the player's knees. The one,—the right,—produces the prolongation of the sounds on the right half of the key-board; the other prolongs them on the other half. In order to prolong the sound, the key should be put down at the same time that the knee-piece is pressed. Thus:

But if this fresh pressure on the knee-piece stop the effect of prolongation produced by the preceding pressure, it is also immediately replaced by a fresh effect, if one or more fresh keys be struck at the same time; thus:

If it be wished, after a brief chord, to produce the prolongation of a single note of this chord, the movement of the knee must be made only after quitting the keys corresponding with the sounds that are not desired to be held on, but while the finger still presses the key of the note wished to be sustained; after which, the hand becomes entirely free. A similar series of movements is made for changing the notes held on; besides another supplementary movement, while the finger still puts down the key of that note desired to be sustained, for stopping the prolongation of those notes of the chord not required to be held on.

This applies indifferently to both knee-pieces, whether for the piano or for the melodium.

It is necessary, in writing for the piano or organ melodium with prolonged sounds, to employ at least three lines, and often four; reserving, in this latter case, the upper line for the high or intermediate sustained-notes, and the lower line for the low sustained-notes. The two middle lines then remain for the parts executed by the two hands:
The Octo-bass.

M. Vuillaume, a musical-instrument maker of Paris, whose excellent violins are so much esteemed, has just enriched the family of stringed instruments by a fine and powerful member,—the octo-bass.

This instrument is not—as many imagine—the low octave of the double-bass; it is but the low octave of the violoncello. It consequently descends lower—by a third—than the four-stringed double-bass.

It has only three strings, tuned in fifth and fourth:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Octo-bass} & : \text{Sv. bass} \\
\text{in fifths and fourths} & :
\end{align*}
\]

The left-hand fingers of the player not being sufficiently long, nor sufficiently strong, to act fitly on the strings (for the octo-bass is of colossal dimension), Mr. Vuillaume has contrived a set of movable keys, which, pressing the strings with energy, bring them on to frets placed on the neck of the instrument, for producing the tones and semitones. These keys are moved by levers, which the left hand seizes and draws up and down behind the neck of the instrument; and by seven other pedal-keys, upon which the foot of the player acts.

It suffices to say that the octo-bass cannot execute any rapid succession; and that it must have assigned to it a special part, differing in many respects from the double-bass part. Its compass is an octave and a fifth only:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Chromatically} & \\
\text{Sv. bass} & :
\end{align*}
\]

This instrument has sounds of remarkable power and beauty,—full and strong, without roughness. It would be of admirable effect in a large orchestra; and all Festival orchestras, where the number of the instrumentalists amounts to more than 150, should have at least three.

We shall not here contest the opinion that tends to consider the recent inventions of instrument-makers as fatal to Musical Art. These inventions exercise, in their sphere, the same influence that all marches of civilization exercise; the abuse that may be made of them,—that even which indisputably is made—proves nothing against their value.

The Orchestra.

The orchestra may be considered as a large instrument capable of uttering at once or successively a multitude of sounds of different kinds; and of which the power is mediocre or colossal, according as it comprises the whole or a part only of those executive means belonging to modern music, and according as those means are well or ill chosen and placed in acoustic conditions more or less favorable.

The performers of all kinds, whose assemblage constitutes it, thus seem to be its strings, its tubas, its pipes, its plains of wood or metal; machines intelligent it is true, but subject to the action of an immense key-board, played upon by the conductor, under the direction of the composer.

I have already said, I believe, that it seemed to me impossible to indicate how fine orchestral effects are to be found; and that this faculty,—developed doubtless by practice and rational observation,—is like the faculties of melody, of expression, and even of harmony; and is of the number of those precious gifts which the musician-poet, the inspired inventor, must receive from Nature herself.

But certainly it may be demonstrated easily, and in a method almost exact, the art of making orchestras fit to render faithfully compositions of all shapes and dimensions.

Theatrical orchestras and concert orchestras should be distinguished the one from the other. The former, in certain respects, are generally inferior to the latter.

The place occupied by the musicians, their disposal on a horizontal plane or on an inclined plane, in an
enclosed space with three sides, or in the very centre
of a room, with reverberators formed by hard bodies
fit for sending back the sound, or soft bodies which
absorb and interrupt the vibrations, and more or less
near to the performers, are all of great importance.
Reverberators are indispensable; they are to be
found variously situated in all enclosed spaces. The
nearer they are to the point whence the sounds
proceed, the more potent is their influence.
This is why there is no such thing as music in the
open air. The most enormous orchestras placed in
the middle of an extensive garden open on all sides
—like that of the Tuileries—would produce no effect.
The reverberation from the palace walls even, were
it placed against them, is insufficient; the sound
instantaneously losing itself on all the other sides.
An orchestra of a thousand wind instruments, with
a chorus of two thousand voices, placed in a plain,
would not have a twentieth part of the musical action
that an ordinary orchestra of eighty players with a
chorus of a hundred voices would have if well dis-
persed in the concert-room at the Conservatoire.
The brilliant effect produced by military bands in
the streets of great towns comes in support of this
statement, which it seems to contradict. But the
music is not then in the open air; the walls of high
houses skirting the streets right and left, avenues of
trees, the fronts of grand palaces, neighbouring
monuments, all serve as reverberators; the sound
revolves and circulates freely in the circumscribed
space thus surrounding it, before escaping by the
points left open; but let the military band, pursuing
its march, and continuing to play, leave the large
street for a plain devoid of trees and habitations, and
the diffusion of its sounds is immediate, the orchestra
vanishes, there is no more music.
The best way of disposing the performers, in a
room whose dimensions are proportioned to their
number, is to raise them one above another in a
series of steps, arranged in such a way that each row
may send out its sounds to the hearer without any
intermediate obstacle.
All well-organised concert orchestras should be
thus arranged in steps. If it have been erected in
a theatre, the stage should be completely closed in at
the back, at the sides both right and left, and above,
by an enclosure of wooden panels.
If, on the contrary, it be erected in a room
dedicated to the purpose, or in a church where it occupies
one of the extremities, and if, as it frequently hap-
pens in such cases, the back of this space be formed
of massive building which sends back with too much
force and hardness the sound of the instruments
placed against it, the force of the reverberation may
easily be mitigated,—and consequently the too great
resounding,—by hanging up a certain number of
draperies, and by bringing together at this point such
bodies as will break the motion of the waves of sound.
Owing to the construction of our theatres, and to
the exigencies of dramatic representation, this am-
phitheatre disposal is not possible for orchestras
intended for the performance of operas. The
instrumentalists brought together, on the contrary,
in the lowest central point of the theatre, before the
footlights, and on a horizontal plane, are deprived of
the majority of the advantages resulting from the
arrangement I have just indicated for a concert
orchestra; hence, what lost effects, what unperceived
delicate gradations in opera orchestras, in spite of
the most admirable execution! The difference is
such, that composers are almost compelled to bear
this in mind, and not to instrument their dramatic
scores quite in the same way, as symphonies, masses,
or oratorios, intended for concert-rooms and churches.
Opera orchestras were always formerly composed
of a number of stringed instruments proportioned to
the mass of other instruments; but it has not been
thus for many years. A comic-opera orchestra in
which there were only two flutes, two hautbois, two
clarinets, two horns, two bassoons, rarely two trump-
ets, and hardly ever any kettle-drums, had enough
then in nine first violins, eight second violins, six
violas, seven violoncellos, and six double-basses; but
as four horns, three trombones, two trumpets, a long
drum, and cymbals figure there now and then, without
the number of stringed instruments having been
increased, the balance is destroyed, the violins are
scarcely to be heard, and the result of the whole is
detestable. The orchestra of the grand opera, where
there are, beside the wind instruments already named,
two cornets à pistons and an ophicleide, then the
instruments of percussion, and sometimes six or eight
harpers—has not enough either in twelve first violins,
eleven second violins, eight violas, ten violoncellos,
and eight double-basses; it should have at least fif-
teen first violins, fourteen second violins, ten violas,
and twelve violoncellos, which would be well left
unused in all those pieces where the accompaniments
are to be very soft.
The proportions of a comic-opera orchestra would
suffice for a concert orchestra intended for the
performance of Haydn’s and Mozart’s symphonies.
A larger number of stringed instruments would
be even, on several occasions, too much for the deli-
cate effects which these two masters have usually
assigned to the flutes, hautbois, and bassoons alone.
For Beethoven’s symphonies, Weber’s overtures,
and modern compositions conceived in the grand and
impassioned style, there needs, on the contrary, the
mass of violins, violas, and basses which I have just
indicated for the grand opera.
But the finest concert orchestras, for a room scarcely
larger than that of the Conservatoire,—the most
complete, the richest in gradations, in varieties of
tone, the most majestic, the most powerful, and at
the same time the most soft and smooth, would be an
orchestra thus composed:—

| 21 First Violins. | 2 Hautbois. |
| 20 Second do. | 1 Corno Inglese. |
| 18 Violas. | 2 Clarinetas. |
| 8 First Violoncellos. | 1 Corno di Bassetto, or one Basse-
| 7 Second do. | Clarinet. |
| 10 Double-Basses. | 4 Bassoons. |
| 4 Harpas. | 4 Horns with Cylinders. |
| 2 Piccolo Flutes. | 2 Trumpets with Cylinders. |
| 2 Large Flutes. | 2 Cornets à Pistons (or with
| | Cylinders). |
| | 3 Trombones × 1 Alto. |
| | or 3
| | Tenors. × 2 Tenors. |
| | 1 Great Bass Trombone. |
| | 1 Ophicleide in Bb (or a Bass-
| | Tuba). |
| | 2 Pairs of Kettle-Drums, and 4
| | Drummer. |
| | 1 Long Drum. |
| | 1 Pair of Cymbals. |
If a choral composition were to be executed, such an orchestra would require:

46 Sopranos { Firsts and

and

40 Tenors { Firsts and

Second.

40 Basses { Firsts and

Second.

By doubling or tripling in the same proportions, and in the same order, this mass of performers, a magnificent Festival orchestra might doubtless be obtained. But it is erroneous to believe that all orchestras should be constituted according to this system, based on the predominance of stringed instruments; very admirable results may be obtained from a contrary system. The stringed instruments,—too weak to prevail over masses of clarinets and brass instruments,—then serve as a harmonious link with the thrilling sounds of the wind instruments; softening their brilliancy in some cases, and animating their effect in others, by means of the tremolo, which renders musical even the roll of the drums by blending with them.

Common sense tells the composer,—unless he be compelled to a different course by any particular form of orchestra,—that he should combine his mass of performers according to the style and character of the work he brings forth; and according to the nature of the principal effects which the subject induces. Thus, in a Requiem, and in order to deliver musically the grand images of this hymn of the dead, I have employed four small orchæstras of brass instruments (trumpets, trombones, cornets, and ophicleides), placed at reciprocal distance, at the four corners of the main orchestra, formed of an imposing body of stringed instruments, of all the other wind instruments doubled and tripled, and of ten drummers playing on eight pairs of kettle-drums tuned in different keys. It is quite certain that the particular effects obtained by this novel form of orchestra, were absolutely unattainable by any other.

There occurs here an opportunity to remark upon the importance of the various points of procedure for the sounds. Certain parts of an orchestra are intended by the composer to interrogate and answer each other; now, this intention can only be made manifest and of fine effect, by causing the groups between which the dialogue occurs to be placed at sufficient mutual distance. The composer should therefore, in his score, appoint for them severally the disposal which he judges proper.

For the drums, long drums, cymbals, and kettle-drums, for instance, if they be employed to strike certain rhythms all at once,—after the common mode of proceeding—they may remain together; but if they have to execute an interlocutory rhythm, of which one fragment is struck by the long drums and cymbals, and the other by the kettle-drums and drums, there is no doubt the effect will be incomparably better, finer, and more interesting, by placing the two masses of instruments of percussion at the two extremities of the orchestra, and consequently at a sufficient distance from one another. Hence it arises, that the constant uniformity of the executive masses is one of the great obstacles to the production of sterling and really new works; it besets composers more from old custom, routine, laziness, and want of reflection, than from motives of economy,—
motives unfortunately but too important, in France especially, where Music is so far from forming a part of the moral being of the nation, where the government does everything for theatres, and nothing at all for music properly so called, where great capitalists are ready to give 50,000f. and more for some great master’s picture, because that represents an intrinsic value, yet would not lay out 50f. to render feasible, once a year, some solemnity worthy of a nation like ours, and fitted to display the very numerous musical resources which it really possesses without the capability of making them of use.

It would nevertheless be curious to try for once, in a composition written ad hoc, the simultaneous employment of all the musical forces which might be gathered together in Paris. Supposing that a master had at his disposal, in a vast space adapted for this purpose by an architect who should be well versed in acoustics and a good musician, he ought, before writing, to determine with precision the plan and arrangement of this immense orchestra, and then to keep them always present to his mind while writing. It is obvious that it would be of the highest importance, in the employment of so enormous a musical mass, to take account of the distance or the nearness of the different groups which compose it; and this condition is one of the most essential in deriving the utmost advantage from it, and in calculating with certainty the scope of its effects. Until now, at the Festivals, merely the ordinary orchestra and chorus have been heard quadrupled or quintupled in their several parts, according to the greater or less number of the performers; but in the case proposed it would be quite another affair; and the composer who should attempt exhibiting all the prodigious and innumerable resources of such an instrument, would assuredly have to fulfill an entirely new task.

Here, then, is how—with time, care, and the necessary outlay—it could be effected in Paris. The disposal of the groups would remain at the will, and subject to the particular intentions, of the composer; the instruments of percussion, which exercise an irresistible influence on the rhythm, and which always lag when they are far from the conductor, should always be placed sufficiently near him to be able instantaneously and strictly to obey the slightest variations of movement and measure:—

120 Violins, divided into two, or three, and four parts.

40 Violas, divided or not into firsts and seconds; and of which ten at least should be ready to play, when needed, the Viole d’amour.

45 Violoncellos, divided or not into firsts and seconds.

18 Double-Basses with 3 strings, tuned in fifths (G, D, A).

16 other Double-Basses with 4 strings, tuned in fourths (E, A, D, G).
4 Octo-Basses.
6 Large Flutes.
4 Third-Flutes (in E♭), improperly called in F.
2 Octave Piccolo Flutes.
2 Piccolo Flutes (in D♭), improperly called in E♭.
6 Hautbois.
6 Corni Inglezi.
5 Saxophones.
4 Bassons-quinque.
12 Bassoons.
4 Small Clarinets (in E♭).
8 Clarinets (in C, or in B♭, or in A).
3 Bass-Clarinet (in B♭).
16 Horns (of which six should be with pistons).
8 Trumpets.
6 Cornets à Pistons.
4 Alto-Trombones.
6 Tenor-Trombones.
2 Great Bass-Trombones.
1 Ophiclide in C.
2 Ophiclides in B♭.
2 Bass-Tubas.
30 Harps.
30 Pianofortes.
1 very low Organ, provided with stops of at least 16 feet.
8 Pairs of Kettle-Drums (10 Drummers).
6 Drums.
3 Long Drums.
4 Pairs of Cymbals.
6 Triangles.
6 Sets of Bells.
12 Pairs of Ancient Cymbals (in different keys).
2 very low Great Bells.
2 Gongs.
4 Pavillons Chinois.

457 Instrumentalists.

40 Children Sopranos (firsts and seconds).
100 Women Sopranos (firsts and seconds).
100 Tenors (firsts and seconds).
120 Basses (firsts and seconds).

360 Chorus-singers.

It will be perceived that in this aggregate of 827 performers, the chorus-singers do not predominate; and even thus, there would be much difficulty in collecting in Paris three hundred and sixty voices of any excellence.—so little is the study of singing at present cultivated or advanced.

It would evidently be necessary to adopt a style of extraordinary breadth, each time the entire mass should be put in action; reserving the delicate effects, the light and rapid movements, for small bands which the author could easily arrange, and make them discourse together in the midst of this musical multitude.

Beside the radiant colours which this myriad of different qualities in tone would give out at every moment, there would be unheard-of harmonic effects to be deduced from them.

From the division into eight or ten parts of the 120 violins, aided by the 40 violas, in their high notes, for the angelic aerial accent, and for the pianissimo tint.

From the division of the violoncellos and double-basses below in slow movements, for the melancholy religious accent, and for the mezzo-forte tint.

From the union, in a small band, of the very low notes of the clarinet family, for the gloomy accent, and for the forte and mezzo forte tints.

From the union, in a small band, of the low notes of the hautbois, corni inglesi, and bassons-quinque, mingled with the low notes of the large flutes, for the religiously mournful accent, and the piano tint.

From the union, in a small band, of the low notes of the ophiclides, bass-tuba, and horns, mingled with the pedals of the tenor-trombones, with the lowest notes of the bass-trombones, and of the 16 feet stop (open flute) of the organ, for profoundly grave, religious, and calm accents, and in the piano tint.

From the union, in a small band, of the highest notes of the small clarinets, flutes, and piccolo flutes, for the shrill accent, and the forte tint.

From the union, in a small band, of the horns, trumpets, cornets, trombones, and ophiclides, for a pompous and brilliant accent, and for the forte tint.

From the union, in a large band, of the 30 harps with the entire mass of bowed instruments playing pizzicato, and thus forming together another gigantic harp with nine hundred and thirty-four strings, for graceful, brilliant, and voluptuous accents, in all tints and graduations.

From the union of the 30 pianofortes with the six sets of bells, the twelve pairs of ancient cymbals, the six triangles (which might be tuned, like the ancient cymbals, in different keys), and the four pavillons chinois, constituting a metallic orchestra of percussion, for joyous and brilliant accents, in the mezzo forte tint.

From the union of the eight pairs of kettle-drums with the six drums, and the three long drums, forming a small orchestra of percussion, and almost exclusively rhythmical, for the menacing accent, in all tints.

From the mixture of the two gongs, the two bells, and the three large cymbals, with certain chords of trombones, for the Ingrubious and sinister accent, in the mezzo forte tint.

How to enumerate all the harmonic aspects under which each of these different groups associated with other groups either sympathetic or antipathetic with them would appear!:

There might be given grand duets between the band of wind instruments and the stringed band.

Between one of these two bands and the chorus; or between the chorus and the harps and pianofortes only.

A grand trio between the chorus in unison and in octave, the wind instruments in unison and in octaves, and the violins, violas, and violoncellos also in unison and in octave.

This same trio accompanied by a rhythmical form designed by all the instruments of percussion, the double-basses, the harps, and the pianofortes.
A simple chorus, double or triple, without accompaniment.

An air for violins, violas, and violoncellos together, or for wooden wind instruments together, or for brass instruments together, accompanied by a vocal band.

An air for sopranos, or for tenors, or for basses, or for all the voices in octaves, accompanied by an instrumental band.

A small choir singing, accompanied by the large choir, and by some instruments.

A small band playing, accompanied by the large orchestra, and by some voices.

A grand deep melody, executed by all the bowed basses; and accompanied above by the violins divided, and the harps and pianofortes.

A grand deep melody, executed by all the wind basses and the organ; and accompanied above by the flutes, hautboys, clarinets, and the violins divided.

Etcetera, etcetera.

The system of rehearsals requisite for this colossal orchestra cannot be doubtful; it is that which must be adopted whenever there may be the intention of getting up a work of grand dimensions, the plan of which is complex, and certain parts or the whole of which offers difficulties in performance; it is the system of partial rehearsals. This is how the conductor will have to proceed in this analytical operation.

I take for granted that he knows thoroughly, and in its minutest details, the score which he is about to have performed. He will first appoint two sub-conductors, who should—marking the beats of the bar in the general rehearsals—keep their eyes continually upon him, in order to communicate the movement to those masses too far removed from the centre. He will then select rehearsers for each of the vocal and instrumental groups.

He will first make them rehearse themselves, that they may be well instructed in the way in which they will have to direct the portion of study allotted to them.

The first rehearsal will rehearse isolatedly the first sopranos, then the seconds, and then the firsts and seconds together.

The second rehearsal will proceed in the same way the first and second tenors.

The third rehearsal will do the same by the basses. After which, three choirs, each composed of a third of the total mass, will be formed; and then lastly, the whole chorus will be practised together.

As an accompaniment to these choral studies, either an organ, or a pianoforte may be used; assisted by a few wind instruments, violins and basses.

The sub-conductors and the orchestral rehearsers will practise isolatedly in the same way:

1stly. The first and second violins separately; then all the violins together.

2ndly. The violas, violoncellos, and double-basses separately; then all together.

3rdly. The entire mass of bowed instruments.

4thly. The harps alone.

5thly. The pianofortes alone.

6thly. The harps and pianofortes together.

7thly. The wooden wind instruments alone.

8thly. The brass wind instruments alone.

9thly. All the wind instruments together.

10thly. The instruments of percussion alone; particularly teaching the kettle-drummers to tune their kettle-drums well.

11thly. The instruments of percussion joined with the wind instruments.

12thly. Lastly, the whole vocal and instrumental mass united, under the direction of the conductor himself.

This method of proceeding will have the result of inducing, first, an excellence of execution that never could be obtained beneath the old system of collective study; and next, of requiring from each performer but four rehearsals at most. It should not be neglected to have a profusion of tuning-forks of the exact pitch, among the members of the orchestra; it is the sole means by which the accurate tuning of this crowd of instruments of such various nature and temperament can be ensured.

Vulgar prejudice stigmatizes large orchestras as noisy; but if they be well constituted, well practised, and well conducted; if they perform sterling music, they should be called powerful; and certainly, nothing is more dissimilar than those two expressions. A trumpery little vandeville orchestra may be noisy, when a large body of musicians properly employed shall be of extreme softness; and shall produce—even in their lowest effects—sounds the most beautiful. Three ill-placed trombones will seem noisy, insufferable; and the instant after, in the same room, twelve trombones will strike the public by their noble and powerful harmony.

Moreover, unisons acquire real value only when multiplied beyond a certain number. Thus, four violins of first-rate skill playing together the same part will produce but a very poor effect,—nay, perhaps, even detestable; while fifteen violins of ordinary talent shall be excellent. This is why small orchestras—whatever the merit of the performers who compose them—have so little effect, and consequently so little value.

But in the thousand combinations practicable with the vast orchestra we have just described, would dwell a wealth of harmony, a variety of qualities in tone, a succession of contrasts, which can be compared to nothing hitherto achieved in Art; and above all, an incalculable melodic, expressive, and rhythmical power, a penetrating force of unparalleled strength, a prodigious sensitiveness for gradations of aggregate and of detail. Its repose would be majestic as the slumber of ocean; its agitations would recall the tempest of the tropics; its explosions, the outbursts of volcanos; therein would be found the plaints, the murmurs, the mysterious sounds of primeval forests; the clamours, the prayers, the songs of triumph or of mourning of a people with expansive soul, ardent heart, and fiery passions; its silence would inspire awe by its solemnity; and organizations the most rebellious would shudder to behold its crescendo spread roaringly,—like a stupendous conflagration!
THE ORCHESTRAL CONDUCTOR.

Theory of his Art.

Music appears to be the most exacting of all the Arts, the most difficult to cultivate, and that of which the productions are most rarely presented in a condition which permits an appreciation of their real value, seeing clearly their physiognomy, or discovering their real meaning and their true character. Of all producing artists, the composer is almost the only one, in fact, who depends upon a multitude of intermediate agents between the public and himself; intermediate agents, either intelligent or stupid, devoted or hostile, active or inert, capable—from first to last—of contributing to the brilliancy of his work, or of disfiguring it, misrepresenting it, and even destroying it completely.

The singers have often been accused of forming the most dangerous of these intermediate agents; but, in my opinion, without justice. The most formidable, to me, among them, was the conductor of the orchestra. A bad singer can spoil only his own part; while an incapable or malevolent conductor ruins all. Happy, also, may that composer esteem himself, when the conductor into whose hands he has fallen, is not at once incapable and imbecile. For nothing can resist the pernicious influence of this person. The most admirable orchestra is then paralysed, the most excellent singers are perplexed and rendered dull; there is no longer any vigour or unity; under such direction, the noblest boldnesses of the author appear extravagances, enthusiasm holds its soaring flight checked, inspiration is violently brought down to earth, the angel’s wings are fallen, the man of genius passes for a madman or an idiot, the divine statue is precipitated from its pedestal and dragged in the mud. And, what is worse, the public, and even those auditors endowed with the highest musical intelligence, are reduced to an impossibility (if a new work be in question, which they are hearing for the first time) of recognizing the ravages perpetrated by the orchestral conductor, of discovering the follies, faults, and crimes he commits. If they clearly perceive certain defects of execution, it is not him, but his victims, who are in such cases made responsible. If he have caused the chorus-singers to fail in taking up a point in a finale, if he have allowed a discordant waveering to take place between the choir and the orchestra, or between the two extreme sides of the instrumental body, if he have absurdly hurried a movement, if he have allowed it to linger unduly, if he have interrupted a singer before the end of a phrase, they exclaim:—

“ ‘The singers are detestable! The orchestra has no firmness; the violins have disfigured the principal design; everybody has been wanting in vigour and animation; the tenor was quite out, he did not know his part,—the harmony is confused; the author is no accompanist; the voices are, &c., &c., &c.”

It is hardly, except in listening to the great works already known and esteemed, that intelligent hearers can distinguish the true culprit, and allot to each his due share of blame; but the number of these is still so limited, that their judgment has little weight:

and the bad conductor,—In presence of the same public who would pitilessly hiss a vocal accident of a good singer,—reigns, with all the calm of a bad conscience, in his baseness and inefficiency. Fortunately, I here attack an exception; for the malevolent orchestral conductor—whether capable or not—is very rare.

The orchestral conductor full of good-will, but incapable, is, on the contrary, very common. Without speaking of the innumerable mediocrities, directing artists, who, frequently, are greatly their superiors, an author, for example, can scarcely be accused of conspiring against his own works; and yet, how many are there, who, fancying they are able to conduct, innocently injure their best scores.

Beethoven, it is said, more than once ruined the performance of his symphonies; which he would conduct, even at the time when his deafness had become almost complete. The musicians, that they might keep together, agreed at length to follow the slightest indications of time which the concert-master (first violin-leader) gave them; and not to attend to Beethoven’s conducting-stick. Moreover, it should be observed, that conducting a symphony, an overture, or any other composition whose movements remain continuous, very little, and contain few nice gradations, is child’s-play in comparison with conducting an opera, or the like work, where there are recitatives, airs, and numerous orchestral designs preceded by pauses of irregular length.

The example of Beethoven, which I have just cited, leads me at once to say that if the direction of an orchestra appear to me very difficult for a blind man, it is indisputably impossible for a deaf one; whatever may have been his technical talent, before losing his sense of hearing.

The orchestral conductor should see and hear; he should be active and vigorous, should know the composition, the nature and compass of the instruments, should be able to read the score, and possess,—besides the especial talent of which we are going to endeavour to explain the constituent qualities,—other almost indefinable gifts, without which an invisible link cannot establish itself between him and those he directs; the faculty of transmitting to them his feeling is denied him, and thence, power, empire, and guiding influence completely fail him. It is then no longer a conductor, a director, but a simple beater of the time,—supposing he knows how to beat it, and divide it, regularly.

They should feel that he feels, comprehends, and is moved; then his feeling, his emotion communicate themselves to those whom he directs, his inward fire warms them, his electric glow electrifies them, his force of impulse excites them; he throws around him the vital irradiations of Musical Art. If he be inert and frozen, on the contrary, he paralyses all about him, like those floating masses of the polar seas, the approach of which is perceived from the sudden cooling of the atmosphere.

His task is a complicated one. He has not only to conduct, in the spirit of the author’s intentions, a work with which the performers have already become acquainted, but he has also to give them this ac-
quaintance, when a work is in question that is new to them. He has to criticise the errors and defects of each, during the rehearsals, and to organise the resources at his disposal in such a way as to derive the best use he can of them, with the utmost promptitude. For, in the majority of European cities now-a-days, Musical Artisanship is so ill distributed, performers so ill paid, and the necessity of study so little understood, that economy of time should be reckoned among the most imperative requisites of the orchestral conductor's art. Let us now examine what forms the mechanical part of this art.

The talent of beater of the time, without demanding very high musical attainments, is nevertheless sufficiently difficult to obtain; and very few persons really possess it. The signs that the conductor should make,—although generally very simple—nevertheless become complicated under certain circumstances, by the division and even the subdivision of the time of the bar.

The least of all, is bound to possess a clear idea of the principal points and character of the work of which he is about to superintend the performance or study; in order that he may, without hesitation or mistake, at once determine the time of each movement desired by the composer. If he have not had the opportunity of receiving his instructions directly from this latter, or if the times have not been transmitted to him by tradition, he must have recourse to the indications of the metronome, and study them well; the majority of composers having now-a-days the precaution to write them at the head and in the course of their pieces. I do not mean by this to say that it is necessary to imitate the mathematical regularity of the metronome; all music so performed would become of freezing stiffness, and I even doubt whether it would be possible to observe so flat a uniformity during a certain number of bars. But the metronome is none the less excellent to consult, in order to know the original time, and its chief alterations.

If the conductor possesses neither the author's instructions, tradition, nor metronome indications,—which frequently happens in the ancient masterpieces, written at a period when the metronome was not invented,—he has no other guide than the vague terms employed to designate the time to be taken, and his own instinct; his feeling—more or less distinguishing, more or less just—of the author's style. We are compelled to admit, that these guides are too often insufficient and delusive. Of this we have proof, in seeing how old operas are given in towns where the traditional mode of performance no longer exists. In ten different kinds of time, there will always be at least four taken wrongly. I once heard a chorus of Iphigenia in Tauride performed in a German theatre allegro assai, two in the bar, instead of allegro non troppo, four in the bar; that is to say, exactly twice too fast. Examples might be multiplied of such disasters, occasioned either by the ignorance or the carelessness of conductors of orchestras; or else by the real difficulty which exists for even the best-gifted and most careful men, to discover the precise meaning of the Italian terms used as indications of the time to be taken. Of course no one can be at a loss to distinguish a Largo from a Presto. If the Presto be two in a bar, a tolerably sagacious conductor, from inspection of the passages and melodic designs contained in the piece, will be able to trace the degree of quickness intended by the author. But if the Largo be four in a bar, of simple melodic structure, and containing few notes, what means would the hapless conductor have of discovering the true time? And in how many ways might he not be deceived? The different degrees of slowness that might be assigned to the performance of such a Largo are very numerous; the individual feeling of the orchestral conductor must thence become the sole authority; and after all, it is the author's feeling, and not his, which is in question. Composers therefore ought not to neglect placing metronome indications in their works; and orchestral conductors are bound to study them closely. The neglect of this study on the part of the latter, is a sin not of homegrown but of adopted ignorance. I will now suppose the conductor to be perfectly well acquainted with the times of the different movements in the work of which he is about to conduct the performance or rehearsals; he wishes to impart to the musicians acting under his orders, the rhythmical feeling within him, to decide the duration, of each bar, and to cause the uniform observance of this duration by all the performers. Now, this precision and this uniformity can only be established in the more or less numerous assemblage of band and chorus, by means of certain signs made by their conductor.

These signs indicate the principal divisions, the accents of the bar, and, in many cases, the subdivisions, and the half-accentas. I need hardly here explain what is meant by the 'accents' (accented and unaccented parts of a bar); I am pre-supposing that I address musicians.

The orchestral conductor generally uses a small light stick, of about a foot in length, and rather whitish than of a dark colour (it is seen better), which he holds in his right hand, to make clearly distinct his mode of marking the commencement, the interior division, and the close of each bar. The bow, employed by some violinist-conductors (leaders), is less suitable than the stick. It is somewhat flexible; this want of rigidity, and the slight resistance it also offers to the air, on account of its appendage of hair, render its indications less precise.

The simplest of all times,—two in a bar,—is likewise beaten simply.

The arm and the stick of the conductor being raised, so that his hand is on a level with his head, he marks the first beat, by dropping the point of his stick perpendicularly from up to down (by the bending of his wrist, as much as possible; and not by lowering the whole arm), and the second beat by raising perpendicularly the stick by a contrary gesture.

The time—one in a bar,—being in reality, and particularly for the conductor, but the time of
two in a bar extremely rapid, should be beaten like
the preceding. As the conductor is obliged to raise
the point of his stick, after having lowered it, more-
over necessarily divides this into two portions.

In the time—four in a bar—the first gesture,
from up to down, is universally adopted for
marking the first accented part, the commence-
ment of the bar.

The second movement made by the conducting-
stick, from right to left, rising,

the second beat (first unaccented part). A third,
transversely, from left to right, indicates
the third beat (second accented part); and a fourth,
obliquely, from down to up, indicates the fourth
beat (second unaccented part). The combination
of these four gestures may be figured thus—

It is of importance
that the conductor, in
delivering thus his dif-
ferent directions, should
not move his arm much;
and consequently, not
allow his stick to pass
over much space; for
each of these gestures
should operate nearly in-
nstantaneously; or at least, take but so slight a move-
ment as to be imperceptible. If this movement
become perceptible, on the contrary, multiplied by
the number of times that this gesture is repeated, it
ends by throwing the conductor behindhand in the
time he is beating, and by giving to his conducting
a tardiness that proves injurious. This defect, more-
over, has the result of needlessly fatiguing the con-
ductor, and of producing exaggerated evolutions,
verging on the ridiculous, which attract the spec-
tators' attention, and become very disagreeable to
witness.

In the time, three in a bar, the first gesture made
from up to down, is likewise univer-
sally adopted, for marking the first
beat; but there are two ways of
marking the second. The majority of
orchestral conductors indicate it by
a gesture from left to right; thus:

Some German Kapel-meisters do the contrary;
and carry the stick from right to left; thus:

This way has the disadvantage,—
when the conductor turns his back
to the orchestra, as takes place in
theatres,—of permitting only a small
number of musicians to perceive
the very important indication of the
second beat; the body of the conductor then hiding
the movement of his arm. The other method of pro-
ceeding is preferable; since the conductor stretches
his arm outside, withdrawing it from his chest;
and his stick, which he takes care to raise slightly
above the level of his shoulder, remains perfectly
visible to all eyes. When the conductor faces the
players, it is immaterial whether he mark the second
beat to the right, or to the left.

However that may be, the third beat of the time,
three in a bar, is always marked like the last of the
time, four in a bar; by an oblique movement
upwards.

The times,—five and seven in a bar,—would be
more comprehensible for the performers, if, instead
of indicating them by a particular series of gestures,
they were treated as though the one were composed
of three and two in a bar, and the other composed
of four and three.

Then, these times would
be beaten thus:

Example of seven in a bar:

These different times, in order to be divided in
this way, are assumed to belong to movements of
moderate measure. It would not hold good, if their
measure were either very quick or very slow.

The time, two in a bar, I have already signified,
cannot be beaten otherwise than as we have before
seen—whatever its degree of rapidity. But if, as an
exception, it should be very slow, the conductor
ought to subdivide it.

A time, four in a bar, very rapid, on the contrary,
should be beaten two in a bar; the four accustomed
gestures of a moderate movement becoming then so
hurried, as to present nothing decided to the eye,
and serving only to confuse the performer instead of
giving him confidence. Moreover,—and this is of
much more consequence,—the conductor, by making
uselessly those four gestures in a quick movement,
renders the pace of the rhythm awkward, and loses
the freedom of gesture which a simple division of the time into its half, would leave him.

Generally speaking, composers are wrong to write, in such a case, the indication of the time as four in a bar. When the movement is very brisk, they should never write any other than the sign \( \text{C} \), and not that of \( \text{C} \), which might lead the conductor into error.

It is exactly the same for the time, three in a bar, fast \( \frac{3}{4} \), or \( \frac{4}{2} \). Then, the gesture of the second beat must be omitted; and, by remaining the period of a beat longer on the first, only raise the stick at the third. 

It would be absurd to attempt to beat the three in a bar of one of Beethoven's scherzos.

The contrary is the case for these two times, as for that of two in a bar. If the movement be very slow, each time must be divided; and consequently eight gestures must be made for the time, four in a bar, and six for the time, three in a bar, repeating (and shortening) each of the principal gestures we have before instanced.

Example of four in a bar, very slow:

Example of three in a bar, very slow:

The arm should remain wholly unaided to the little supplementary gesture, instanced for the subdivision of the bar; merely the wrist causing the stick to move.

This division of the different times is intended to prevent the rhythmical divergences which might easily take place among the performers, during the interval which separates one beat from the other. For the conductor not indicating anything during this long period (rendered somewhat considerable by the extreme slowness of the movement), the players are then entirely left to themselves, without conductor; and as the rhythmical feeling is not the same with all, it follows that some hurry, while others slacken, and unity is soon destroyed. The only exception that could be made to this rule, would be in conducting a first-rate orchestra, composed of performers who are well acquainted with each other, are accustomed to play together, and know almost by heart the work they are executing. And even then,—under these circumstances,—the inattention of a single player might occasion an accident. Why incur its possibility? I know that certain artists feel their self-love hurt to be thus kept in leading-strings (like children, they say); but with a conductor who has no other view than the excellence of the ultimate result, this consideration can have no weight. Even in a quartet, it is seldom that the individual feeling of the players can be left entirely free to follow its own dictates: in a symphony, it is that of the conductor which must rule; the art of comprehending it, and fulfilling it with unanimity, constitutes the perfection of execution; and individual wills,—which, besides, can never agree one with another,—should never be permitted to manifest themselves.

This being fully understood, it will be seen that subdivision is still more essential for very slow times; as those of \( \frac{4}{4}, \frac{6}{4}, \frac{8}{4}, \frac{9}{4}, \frac{10}{4}, \&c. \)

But these times,—where the triple rhythm plays so important a part,—may be divided in various ways.

If the movement be brisk or moderate, it is well scarcely ever to indicate other than the simple beats of these times, according to the procedure adopted for the analogous simple times.

The times of \( \frac{3}{4} \) allegretto, and of \( \frac{4}{4} \) allegro, therefore, are to be beaten like those of two in a bar: 
\( \text{C} \) or \( \frac{4}{2} \) or \( \frac{3}{2} \); the time, \( \frac{3}{4} \) allegro, should be beaten like that of three in a bar: \( \frac{3}{4} \) moderato, or like that of \( \frac{3}{2} \) andante; and the time, \( \frac{4}{4} \) moderato or allegro, like the time, simple four in a bar. But if the movement is adagio, or, still more, largo-assai, andante-maestoso, it should be (according to the form of the melody, or the predominant design) beaten, either all the quavers, or a crotchet followed by a quaver for each beat.

It is unnecessary, in this time, three in a bar, to mark all the quavers; the rhythm of a crotchet followed by a quaver in each beat, suffices.

Then, as the subdivision, the little supplementary gesture for simple times, should be made; only, this subdivision will separate each beat into two unequal portions, since it is requisite to indicate visibly the value of the crotchet, and that of the quaver.

If the movement be still slower, there can be no hesitation; the only way to ensure unity of execution, is to beat all the quavers, whatever be the nature of the written bar:
In these three measures, with their indicated kind of movement, the conductor must beat three quavers at a time, three down, and three up, for the time of $\frac{3}{8}$:

Three down, three to the right, and three up, for the time of $\frac{3}{8}$:

Three down, three to the left, three to the right, and three up, for the time of $\frac{1}{8}$:

A dilemma sometimes presents itself; it is when, in a score, certain parts are given—for the sake of contrast—a triple rhythm, while others preserve the dual rhythm.

No doubt, if the wind-instrument parts in the above example be confided to players who are good musicians, there will be no need to change the manner of marking the bar, and the conductor may continue to subdivide it by six, or to divide it simply by two; the majority of players, however, in seeming to hesitate at the moment when, by employing the syncopated form, the triple rhythm intervenes amidst the dual rhythm, require assurance, which can be given by this means. The uncertainty occasioned them by the sudden appearance of this unexpected rhythm, and which the rest of the orchestra contradicts, always leads the performers to cast instinctively a glance towards the conductor, as if seeking his assistance. He should also look at them, turning rather towards them, and marking, by very slight gestures, the triple rhythm, as if the time were really three in a bar, in such a way that the violins and other instruments playing in dual rhythm, may not observe this change, which would quite put them out. From this compromise, it results that the new rhythm of three-time being marked furtively by the conductor, is then executed with steadiness; while the two-time rhythm, already firmly established, continues without difficulty, although no longer indicated by the conductor. On the other hand, nothing, in my opinion, can be more blamable or more contrary to musical good sense, than the application of this procedure to passages where two rhythms of opposite nature do not co-exist; and where merely syncopations are introduced. The conductor, dividing the bar by the number of accents he finds contained in it, then destroys (for all the auditors who see him) the effect of syncopation; and substitutes a flat change of time, for a play of rhythm of the most bewitching interest. This is what takes place, if the accents be marked, instead of the beats, in the following passage from Beethoven's Pastoral Symphony:

And if the six gestures above indicated be made instead of the four previously maintained, which display and make better felt the syncopation:

This voluntary submission to a rhythmical form which the author intended to be thwarted, is one of the gravest faults in style that a beater of the time can commit.

There is another dilemma, extremely troublesome for a conductor; and which demands all his presence of mind. It is that presented by the super-addition of different bars. It is easy to conduct a bar in two dual times placed above or beneath another bar in two triple times, if both be in the same kind of movement; they are then equal in duration, and there needs only to divide them in half, marking the two principal beats—

But if, in the middle of a piece slow in movement, there be introduced a new form, brisk in movement, and if the composer (either for the sake of facilitating the execution of the quick movement, or because it was impossible to write otherwise) have adopted for this new movement the short bar which corresponds with it, there may then occur two, or even three short bars super-added to a slow bar:
The conductor's task is to guide and keep together these different bars of unequal number and dissimilar movement. He attains this, by commencing with dividing the beats in the andante bar No. 1, which precedes the entrance of the allegro in 3, and by continuing to divide them still; but taking care to mark this division yet more. The players of the allegro in 3 then comprehend that the two gestures of the conductor represent the two beats of their short bar, while the players of the andante take these same gestures merely for a divided beat of their long bar.

Here, the three bars allegro-assai, which precede the allegretto, are beaten in simple two-time, as usual. At the moment when the allegretto begins, the bar of which is double that of the preceding, and of the one maintained by the violas, the conductor marks two divided beats for the long bar, by two equal gestures down, and two others up:

The two large gestures divide the long bar in half, and explain its value to the hautbois without perplexing the violas, who maintain the brisk movement, on account of the little gesture which also divides in half their short bar.

From bar No. 3, the conductor ceases to divide thus the long bar by four, on account of the triple rhythm of the melody in 3, which this gesture interferes with. He then confines himself to marking the two beats of the long bar; and the violas already launched in their rapid rhythm continue it without difficulty, comprehending exactly that each stroke of the conductor's stick marks merely the commencement of their short bar.

And this last observation exhibits with what care should be avoided dividing the beats of a bar, when a portion of the instruments or voices come to execute triplets upon these beats. This division, by cutting in half the second note of the triplet, would render its execution uncertain. It is necessary even to abstain from this division of the beats of a bar into two, just before the moment when the rhythmical or melodial design is about to be divided by three; in order not to give previously to the players, the impression of a rhythm contrary to that which they are going to hear given:
this example, the subdivision of the bar into six, or the union of the beats into two, is 1: and offers no inconvenience during bar No. 1. The ring gesture is then made:

It is requisite to cease it, from the beginning of bar No. 2; making the simple gestures,—

count of the triplet on the third beat, and on of the one following it, which the doubles would much interfere with.

the famous ball-scene of Mozart’s Don Giovanni; the difficulty of keeping together the three strains, written in three different measures, is less might be thought. It is sufficient, always to downwards each beat of the tempo di minuetto:

ce entered upon the combination, the little 0 in 2, of which one whole bar represents one or one beat of that of the minuetto, and the allegro in 3, of which one whole bar represents thirds, or two beats, corresponding completely her, and with the principal theme; while the proceeds without the slightest confusion. All requisite, is, to make them come in properly. gross fault that I have seem committed, consists larging the time of a piece in common-time, the author has introduced into it triplets of as:

such a case, the third minim adds nothing to duration of the bar, as some conductors seem to me. They may, if they please, and if the may not be slow or moderate, make these passages sailing the bar with three beats; but the duration of the whole bar should remain precisely the same. case where these triplets should occur in a very bar in common-time (allegro-assai), the three regions then cause confusion; and it is absolutely sary to make only two,—one beat upon the first a, and one up upon the third. These gestures, 3 to the quickness of the movement, differ little, to the eye, from the two of the bar with two equal beats, and do not prevent the continuance of those parts of the orchestra which contain no triplets.

We will now speak of the conductor’s method of beating, in recitatives. Here, as the singer, or the instrumentalist is reciting, and being no longer subject to the regular division of the bar, it is requisite, while following him attentively, to make the orchestra strike with precision, and together, the chords, or instrumental passages, with which the recitative is intermingled; and to make the harmony change at the proper instant, when the recitative is accompanied, either by holding-notes, or by a tremolo in several parts, of which the least apparent, occasionally, is that which the conductor must most regard, since upon its motion depends the change of chord:

In this example, the conductor, while following the reciting part, not kept time to, has especially to attend to the viola part; and to make it move, at the proper moment, between the first and the second beat, from the F to the E, at the commencement of the second bar: without which, as this part is executed by several instrumentalists playing in unison, some of them would hold the F longer than the others, and a transient discord thence be produced.

Many conductors have the habit, when directing the orchestra in recitatives, of paying no heed to the written division of the bar; and of marking a beat, up, before that where a brief chord occurs which the orchestra has to strike, even when this chord occurs on an unaccented part of the bar:

In a passage such as this, they raise the arm at the rest which commences the bar, and lower it at the time of the chord.

I cannot approve such a method, which nothing justifies, and which may frequently occasion accidents in the execution. I do not see why, either, in recitatives, the bar should no longer be divided regularly, and the real beats be marked in their place, as in
music that is kept time to. I therefore advise—for the preceding example—that the first beat should be made down, as usual, and the stick carried to the left, for striking the chord upon the second beat; and so on, for other analogous cases; always dividing the bar regularly. It is very important, moreover, to divide it according to the time previously indicated by the author; and not to forget,—if this time be allegro or maestoso, and if the reciting part have been some time reciting, unaccompanied,—to give to all the beats, when the orchestra comes in again, the value of those of an allegro or of a maestoso. For when the orchestra plays alone, it is in general kept time to; it plays without measured time only when it accompanies a voice or instrument in recitative.

In the exceptional case where the recitative is written for the orchestra itself, or for the chorus, or for a portion of either orchestra or chorus, as it is requisite to keep together, whether in unison, or in harmony, but without regular time, a certain number of performers, then it is the conductor himself who becomes the real reciter, and who gives to each beat of the bar the duration he judges fit. According to the form of the phrase, he now divides and subdivides the beats, now marks the accents, now the semiquavers if there be any; and, in short, indicates with his stick the melodic form of the recitative.

It is an understood thing, that the performers, knowing their parts almost by heart, keep their eye constantly upon him; otherwise, neither security nor unity can be obtained.

In general, even for timed music, the conductor should require the players he directs, to look towards him as often as possible.

An orchestra which does not watch the conducting-stick, has no conductor. Often, after a pedal-point, for instance, the conductor is obliged to refrain from marking the decisive gesture which is to determine the coming in of the orchestra, until he sees the eyes of all the performers fixed upon him. It is the duty of the conductor, during rehearsal, to accustom them to look towards him simultaneously at the important moment.

If, in the above bar, of which the first beat, marking a pedal-point, may be prolonged indefinitely, the rule were not observed that I have just indicated, the passage—

\[ \text{Allegretto} \]

could not be uttered with firmness and unity; the players, not watching the conductor's stick, could not know when he decides the second beat, and resumes the movement suspended by the pedal-point. This obligation for the performers to look at their conductor, necessarily implies an equal obligation on his part to let himself be well seen by them. He should, whatever may be the disposal of the orchestra, whether on rows of steps, or on a horizontal plane,—place himself so as to form the centre of all surrounding eyes.

A conductor requires,—to exalt him and place him well in sight,—an especial platform, elevated in proportion as the number of performers is large and occupies much space. His desk should not be so high, as that the portion sustaining the score shall hide his face. For the expression of his countenance has much to do with the influence he exercises; and if there be no conductor for an orchestra that does not and will not watch him, there is hardly any either, if he cannot be well seen.

As to the employment of noises,—of any kind whatever, produced either by the stick of the conductor upon his desk, or by his foot upon the platform—they can call forth no other than unreserved reprehension. It is worse than a bad method; it is a barbarism. Only, if, in a theatre, the stage evolutions prevent the chorus-singers from seeing the conducting-stick, the conductor is compelled,—in order to ensure, after a pause, the taking up of a point by the chorus,—to indicate this point by marking the beat which precedes it, with a slight tap of his stick upon the desk. This exceptional circumstance, is the only one which can warrant the employment of an indicating noise; and even then, it is to be regretted that recourse must be had to it.

While speaking of chorus-singers, and of their operations in theatres, it may here be observed, that chorus-masters often allow themselves to beat time at the side-scenes, without seeing the conductor's stick, frequently even without hearing the orchestra. The result is, that, this time beaten more or less ill, not corresponding with that of the conductor, inevitably induces a rhythmical discordance between the choral and instrumental bodies, and subverts all unity instead of tending to maintain it.

There is another traditional barbarism, which lies within the province of an intelligent and active conductor to abolish. If a choral or instrumental piece be performed behind the scenes, without accompaniment from the principal orchestra, another conductor is absolutely essential to conduct it. If the orchestra accompany this portion, the first conductor, who hears the distant music, is then strictly bound to let himself be guided by the second; and to follow, by ear, his time. But if—as frequently happens in modern music—the sound of the chief orchestra hinders the conductor from hearing that which is being performed at a distance from him, the intervention of a special conducting mechanism becomes indispensable, in order to establish instantaneous communication between him and the distant performers. Many attempts, more or less ingenious, have been made of this kind; the result of which has not everywhere answered expectation. That of Covent Garden Theatre, in London, moved by the conductor's foot, acts tolerably well. But the electric metronome, put up by Mr. Van Brugh in the Brussels Theatre, leaves nothing to be desired. It consists of an apparatus of copper ribbons, leading from a Voltaic battery placed beneath the stage, being attached to the conductor's desk, and terminating in a movable stick fastened at one end on a pivot before
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The performers being grouped behind the scenes, their eyes fixed upon the stick of the electric metronome, are thus directly subjected to the conductor's sway; who could thus—were it needful—conduct from the midst of the Opera orchestra in Paris, a piece of music performed at Versailles.

It is merely requisite to agree beforehand with the chorus-singers, or with their conductor (if, as an additional precaution, they have one), the way in which the orchestral conductor beats the time; whether he mark all the principal beats, or only the first of the bar,—since the oscillations of the stick moved by electricity being always from right to left, they indicate nothing precise in this respect.

When I first used, at Brussels, the valuable instrument I have just endeavoured to describe, its action presented one objection. Each time that the copper key of my desk underwent the pressure of my left forefinger, it struck, underneath, another plate of copper; and, notwithstanding the delicacy of this contact, it produced a little hard noise, which, during the pauses of the orchestra, ended by attracting the attention of the audience—to the detriment of the musical effect.

I pointed out this defect to Mr. Van Brugs, who substituted for the lower plate of copper, the little cup filled with quicksilver, previously mentioned; and into which the upper protuberance entered, so as to establish the electric current without causing the slightest noise.

Nothing more remains now, attached to the use of this mechanism, but the cracking of the spark at the moment of its emission; and this cracking is too slight, for it to be heard by the public.

This metronome is not expensive to put up; it costs £16—at the utmost. Large lyceum theatres, churches, and concert-rooms, should long ago have been provided with one. Excepting at the Brussels Theatre, however, it is nowhere to be found. This would appear incredible, were it not that the carelessness of the majority of directors of institutions where music forms a feature, is well known; together with their instinctive aversion from whatever may disturb old-established customs, their indifference for the interests of the Art, their parsimony wherever a musical outlay is needed, and the utter ignorance of the principles of our Art among almost all those in whose hands rests the ordering of its destiny.

I have not yet said all on the subject of those dangerous auxiliaries named chorus-masters. Very few of them are sufficiently versed in the Art, to conduct a musical performance, so that the orchestral conductor can depend upon them. He cannot therefore watch them too closely, when he is compelled to submit to their coadjutorship.

The most to be dreaded are those whom age has deprived of activity and energy. The maintenance of all time of any vivacity is an impossibility to them. Whatever may be the degree of quickness printed at the head of a piece that is confined to their conducting, little by little they slacken its rate, until the rhythm be reduced to a certain medium slowness, that seems to harmonize with the pace at which their blood flows, and the general feebleness of their organization.

It must in truth be added, that old men are not the only ones with whom composers run this risk. There are men in the prime of life, of a lymphatic temperament, whose blood seems to circulate more slowly. If they have to conduct an allegro assai, they gradually slacken it to moderato; if, on the contrary, it be a largo or an andante sostenuto, provided the piece be prolonged, they will attain,—by dint of a progressive animation, long before the end,—at a moderato. The moderato is their natural pace; and they recur to it as infallibly as a pendulum would, after having been a moment hurried or slackened in its oscillations.

These sort of people are the born enemies of all characteristic music; and the greatest destroyers of style. May Fate preserve the orchestral conductor at any cost from their co-operation.

Once, in a large town (which I will not name), there was to be performed behind the scenes a very simple chorus, written in allegretto. The aid of the chorus-master became necessary. He was an old man.

The time in which this chorus was to be taken, having been first agreed upon by the orchestra, our Nestor followed it pretty decently during the first few bars; but soon after, the slackening became such that there was no continuing without rendering the piece perfectly ridiculous. It was recommenced twice, thrice, four times; a full half-hour was occupied in ever-increasing vexations efforts; but always with the same result. The preservation of allegretto time was absolutely impossible to the worthy man. At last the orchestral conductor, out of all patience, came and begged him not to conduct at all; he had hit upon an expedient:—He caused the chorus-singers to simulate a march-movement, raising each foot alternately, without moving on. This movement, being in exactly the same time as the dual rhythm of the $\frac{3}{4}$ in a bar, allegretto, the chorus-singers, who were no longer hindered by their director, at once performed the piece as though they had sung marching; with no less unity than regularity, and without slackening the time.

I acknowledge, however, that many chorus-masters, or sub-conductors of orchestras, are well disposed.
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of real utility, and are even indispensable for the
maintenace of unity among very large masses of
performers. When these masses are obliged to be
so disposed as that one portion of these players or
chorus-singers turn their back on the conductor; he
needs a certain number of sub-beaters of the time,
placed before those of the performers who cannot see
the chief conductor, and charged with repeating all
his signals. In order that this repetition shall be
precise, the sub-conductors must be careful never to
take their eyes off the chief conductor's stick for a
single instant. If, in order to look at their score,
they cease for only three bars, to watch him, a dis-
crepancy arises immediately between their time and
his; and all is lost.

In a festival where 1200 performers were assembled
under my direction, at Paris, I had to employ four
chorus-masters, stationed at the four corners of the
vocal mass, and two sub-conductors, one of whom
directed the wind-instruments, and the other the
instruments of percussion. I had earnestly brough-
ted them to look towards me incessantly; they did not
omit to do so; and our eight sticks, rising and falling
without the slightest discrepancy of rhythm, estab-
lished amidst our 1200 performers the most perfect
unity ever witnessed.

With one or more electric metronomes now, it
seems no longer necessary to have recourse to this
means. One might, in fact, thus conduct without
difficulty chorus-singers turning their back towards
the chief conductor; but, attentive and intelligent
sub-conductors would always be, nevertheless, in
such a case, preferable to a machine. They have
not only to beat the time, like the metronomic staff;
but they have also to speak to the groups around
them, to call their attention to nice shades of execu-
tion, and, after bar-rests, to remind them at the
moment of their coming-in again.

In a space arranged as a semicircular amphitheatre,
the orchestral conductor, may alone conduct a con-
siderable number of performers; all eyes then being
able to look towards him. Nevertheless, the em-
ployment of a certain number of sub-conductors
appears to me preferable to the singleness of indi-
vidual direction, on account of the great distance
between the chief conductor and the extreme points
of the vocal and instrumental body.

The more distant the orchestral conductor is from
the performers he directs, the more his influence over
them is diminished.

The best way would be to have several sub-con-
ductors, with several electric metronomes beating
before their eyes the principal beats of the bar.

And now,—should the orchestral conductor give
the time, standing, or sitting down?

If in theatres, where they perform scores of
immense length, it is very difficult to support the
fatigue of remaining on foot the whole evening, it is
none the less true that the orchestral conductor, when
seated, loses a portion of his power, and cannot give
free course to his animation, if he possess any.

These, should he conduct, reading from a full score,
or from a first violin part (leader's copy), as is cus-
tomary in some theatres? It is evident that he
should have before him a full score. To conduct, by
means of a part containing only the principal instru-
mental coming-in points, the bass, and the melody,
needlessly demands an effort of memory from the
conductor, who has not at hand the full score; and
exposes him, moreover, if he happen to tell one of
the performers that he is wrong, whose part he can-
not examine, to the chance of this latter's replying:

"How do you know?"

The disposal and grouping of the players and
chorus-singers comes also within the province of the
orchestral conductor; particularly for concerts. It
is impossible to indicate arbitrarily the best method
of grouping the assemblage of performers in a theatre
or concert-room; the shape and the arrangement of
the interior of these places, necessarily influences the
course to be taken in such a case. Let us add, that
they depend, moreover, upon the number of per-
formers requiring to be grouped; and, on some oc-
casions, upon the style of composition adopted by
the author whose work is to be performed.

In general, for concerts, the disposal of the orchest-

The orchestra which seems best, is this:—An amphi-
theatre of eight, or, at the least, five rows is indis-
pensable. The semicircular form is the best, for this
amphitheatre. If it be large enough to contain the
whole orchestra, the entire mass of instrumentalists
will be disposed along these rows; the first violins
in front, on the right, facing the public; the second
violins in front on the left; the violas, in the middle,
between the two groups of violins; the flutes, haut-
boys, clarinets, horns, and bassoons before the first
violins; a double rank of violoncellos and double-
basses behind the second violins; the trumpets, cor-
nets, trombones, and tubas behind the violas; the
rest of the violoncellos and double-basses behind the
wooden wind instruments; the harps in the fore-
ground, close to the orchestral conductor; the kettledrums, and other instruments of percussion behind
or in the centre of the brass instruments; the
orchestral conductor, turning his back to the public,
at the base of the orchestra, and near to the foremost
decks of the first and second violins.

There should be a horizontal flooring, or stage,
more or less wide, extending in front of the first rows
of the amphitheatre. On this flooring the chorus-
singers should be placed, in form of a fan, turned
three-quarters towards the public, so that all shall be
able easily to see the motions of the orchestral con-
ductor. The grouping of the chorus-singers is in con-
sonance with their respective order of voice, will
differ, according as the author has written in three,
four, or six parts. At any rate, the woman—soprano
and contralto—should be in front, seated; the tenors
standing behind the contraltos; and the basses
standing behind the sopranos.

The solo-singers should occupy the centre, and
foremost part of the front stage; and should always
place themselves in such a way as to be able, by
slightly turning the head, to see the conducting-stick.

For the rest, I repeat, these indications can be but
approximative; they may be, for many reasons,
modified in various ways.

At the Conservatoire, in Paris, where the music
Theatre is composed of only four or five rows, not circular, and cannot consequently contain the whole orchestra, the violins and violas are on the stage; while the bases and wind instruments alone occupy the rows; the chorus is seated on the front of the stage, facing the public, and the women sopranos and contraltos, turning their backs directly upon the orchestral conductor, are under an impossibility of ever seeing his motions. Such an arrangement is very inconvenient for this portion of the chorus.

It is everywhere of the greatest consequence that the chorus-singers placed on the front of the stage, shall occupy a plane somewhat lower than that of the violins; otherwise they would considerably deaden the sound of these latter.

For the same reason, if, in front of the orchestra, there are not other rows for the choir, it is absolutely needful that the women should be seated, and the men remain standing up; in order that the voices of the tenors and basses, proceeding from a more elevated point than those of the sopranos and contraltos, may come forth freely, and be neither stifled nor intercepted.

When the presence of the chorus-singers in front of the orchestra is not necessary, the conductor will take care to send them away; since this large number of human bodies injures the sonorosity of the instruments. A symphony, performed by an orchestra thus more or less stiffed, loses much of its effect.

There are yet other precautions, relative especially to the orchestra, which the conductor may also take, to avoid certain defects in performance. The instruments of percussion, placed, as I have indicated, upon one of the last rows of the orchestra, have a tendency to slat the rhythm, and to slacken the time. A series of strokes on the long drum struck at regular intervals in a quick movement, like the following:—

![Drum Beat](image)

will sometimes produce the complete destruction of a fine rhythmical progression, by checking the onward bound of the rest of the orchestra, and destroying the unity. Almost always, the long drum player, from want of remarking the original time given by the conductor, remains somewhat behind-hand in striking his first stroke. This retardment, multiplied by the number of strokes which follow the first one, soon produces—as may be imagined—a rhythmical discrepancy of the most fatal effect. The conductor,—all whose efforts are then in vain to re-establish unanimity,—has only one thing left to do; which is, to insist that the long drum player shall count beforehand the number of strokes to be given in the passage in question, and that, knowing his part, he shall no longer look into his copy, but keep his eyes constantly fixed upon the conducting-stick: by which means, he will at once follow the time without the slightest want of precision.

Another retardment, arising from a different cause, frequently takes place in the trumpet-parts; it is when they contain a quick flow of passages such as this:—

![Trumpet Passage](image)

The trumpet-player, instead of taking breath before the first of these three bars, takes breath at their commencement, during the quaver-rest A; and, not counting for anything the short time it has taken him to breathe, gives nevertheless its whole value to the quaver-rest, which thus becomes superadded to the value of the first bar. The result of this, is the following effect:—

![Trumpet Passage](image)

an effect, the worse, that the final accent, struck at the commencement of the third bar by the rest of the orchestra, comes a third of the time too slow in the trumpets; and destroys unity in the striking of the last chord.

To obviate this, the conductor must first previously warn the players of this inexactness, into which they almost all are led to fall unawares; and then, while conducting, must cast a glance towards them at the decisive moment, and anticipate a little, by beating the first beat of the bar where they come in: it is incredible how difficult it is to prevent trumpet-players from doubling the value of a quaver-rest thus placed.

When a long accelerando, little by little, is indicated by the composer, for passing from an allegro moderato to a presto, the majority of orchestral conductors hurry the time by jerks, instead of quickening it equally throughout, by insensible onward rate.

This should be cautiously avoided. The same remark applies to the converse proposition. It is even still more difficult to slacken smoothly, and without checks, a quick time so as to transpose it little by little into a slow time. Often, from a desire to testify zeal, or from defect of delivery in his musical feeling, a conductor demands from his players an exaggeration of nice gradations. He comprehends neither the character nor the style of the piece. The gradations then become so many blenishes; the accents, yells; the intentions of the poor composer are totally disfigured and perverted; while those of the orchestral conductor,—however politely meant they may be—are none the less injurious: like the carelessness of the As in the fable, who crushed his master when fondling him.

And now let us instance many deplorable abuses that have obtained in almost all the orchestras of Europe; abuses which reduce composers to despair, and which it is the duty of conductors to abolish as soon as possible.

Performers playing stringed instruments, will rarely give themselves the trouble to play a tremolo; they substitute for this very characteristic effect, a tame repetition of the note, half, and sometimes three-quarters slower than the one which results the tremolo: instead of demisemiquavers, they make triple or double ones; and in lieu of producing sixty-four notes in a bar in four-time (septies), they...
produce only thirty-two, or even sixteen. The action of the arm necessary for producing a true tremolo demands, doubtless, too great an effort. This idleness is intolerable.

Many double-bass players permit themselves—from idleness, also, or from a dread of being unable to achieve certain difficulties—to simplify their part. This race of simplifiers, be it said, has existed for forty years; but it cannot endure any longer. In ancient works, the double-bass parts were extremely simple; therefore there can be no reason to imperil them still more: those in modern scores are rather more difficult, it is true; but, with very few exceptions, there is nothing in them of impossible execution; composers, masters of their art, write them with care, and as they ought to be executed. If it be from idleness that the simplifiers pervert them, the energetic orchestral conductor is armed with the necessary authority to compel the fulfilment of their duty. If it be from incapacity, let him dismiss them. It is his best interest to rid himself of instrumentalists who cannot play their instrument.

Flute-players, accustomed to be above the other wind instruments, and not admitting that their part can be written below that of clarinets or hautboys, frequently transpose entire passages to an octave higher. The conductor, if he do not carefully peruse his score, if he be not thoroughly acquainted with the work he is conducting, or if his ear lack keenness, will not perceive this strange liberty taken by flautists. Nevertheless, multitudes of instances exist; and care should be taken to banish them entirely.

It occurs everywhere (I do not say in some orchestras only)—it occurs everywhere, I repeat, that violinsts who have, as is well known, to play ten, fifteen, twenty of them, the same part in unison, do not count their bars’ rest; and always from idleness, relying on the others doing it. Whence it follows, that scarcely the half of them come in again at the right moment; while the rest still hold their instrument under their left arm, and look about them: this would not generally weakened, if not entirely missed. I invoke the attention and rigour of orchestral conductors upon this insufferable habit. It is nevertheless so rooted a one, that they will only ensure its extirpation by rendering a large number of violinsts amenable for the fault of a single player; by inflicting a fine, for example, upon a whole row, if one of them misses coming-in. Even were this fine no more than half-a-crown, as it might be inflicted five or six times upon the same individuals in the course of one performance, I will answer for it that each of the violinsts would count his rests, and keep watch that his neighbours did the same.

An orchestra—the instruments of which are not in tune each, and with each other,—is a monstrosity: the conductor, therefore, should take the greatest care that the musicians tune accurately. But this operation should not be performed in presence of the public; and moreover, every instrumental rumour,—every kind of prolonging, between the acts, constitutes a real offence to all civilized auditors. The bad training of an orchestra, and its musical mediocrity, is to be inferred from the impertinent noise it makes during the periods of quiet, at an Opera or Concert.

It is also imperative for a conductor not to allow clarinet-players to use always the same instrument (the clarinet in B♭), without regard to the author's indications. Just as if the different clarinets—those in D and in A, particularly—had not a special character of their own, of which the intelligent composer knows the exact value; and as if the clarinet in A had not moreover a low semitone more than the clarinet in B♭,—the C♯ of excellent effect, produced by the E, which E gives only the D, on the clarinet in B♭.

A habit, as vicious, and still more pernicious, has crept in since the introduction of horns with cylinders and pistons, in many orchestras; it is that of playing in open sounds, by means of the new mechanism adapted to the instrument, those notes intended by the composer to be produced in closed sounds, by means of the right hand within the bell. Moreover, the horn-players now a-days, on account of the facility afforded by the pistons or cylinders of putting their instrument into different keys, use only the horn in F, whatever may be the key indicated by the author. This custom gives rise to a host of inconveniences, from which the conductor should use all his efforts to preserve the works of composers who know how to write; for those of others, it must be confessed, the disaster is of much less consequence.

He should also set his face against the economical fashion adopted by certain theatres—called lyric—of causing the cymbals and the long drum to be played by the same performer. The sound of the cymbals when attached to the long drum—as they must be to render this economy feasible,—is an ignoble noise, not only for hands at tea-gardens. This custom, moreover, leads mediocre composers into the habit of never employing one of these instruments without the other, and of considering their use assolely confined to forcible marking of the accented parts of the bar. This is an idea fruitful in noisy platitudes; and one that has brought upon us the ridiculous excesses beneath which, if there be not a stop put to them, dramatic music will sooner or later sink.

I conclude, by expressing sincere regret at beholding choral and orchestral studies still so badly organized. Everywhere, for grand choral and instrumental compositions, the system of rehearsals in the mass, is maintained. They make all the chorus-singers study at once, on the one hand; and all the instrumentalists at once, on the other. Deplorable errors, innumerable mistakes, are thus committed,—particularly in the intermediate parts; errors which the chorus-master and the conductor do not perceive. Once established, these errors degenerate into habits; and become part and parcel of the execution.

The hapless chorus-singers, moreover, during their studies, such as they are, are by far the worst treated
of all the performers. Instead of giving them a good conductor knowing the times of the different movements accurately, and proficient in the art of singing, to beat the time, and make critical observations; a good pianist, playing from a well-arranged piano-forte score, upon a good piano; and a violinst, to play in unison or in octave with the voices each part learned alone: instead of these three indispensable artists, they commit them (in two-thirds of the lyric theatres of Europe) to the superintendence of a single man, who has no more idea of the art of conducting, than of that of singing, generally very little of a musician, selected from among the worst pianists to be found, or rather who cannot play the pianoforte at all, some old superannuated individual, who, seated before a battered out-of-tune instrument, tries to decipher a dislocated score which he does not know, strikes false chords, major when they are minor, or vice-versa, and under the pretext of conducting and of accompanying by himself, employs his right hand in setting the chorus-singers wrong in their time, and his left hand in setting them wrongly in tune.

One might believe oneself in the Dark Ages, upon witnessing such an exhibition of Gothicish economy.

A faithful, well-coloured, clever interpretation of a modern work, even when confided to artists of a high order, can only be obtained, I firmly believe, by partial rehearsals. Each part of a chorus should be studied singly, until it be thoroughly known, before admitting it collectively. The same step should be taken with regard to the orchestra, for a symphony at all complicated. The violins should first be practised alone; the violas and bases by themselves; the wooden wind instruments (with a small band of stringed instruments, to fill in the rests, and accustom the wind instruments to the points of re-entrance); the brass instruments the same; and very often it is necessary to practise alone the instruments of percussion; and lastly, the harps, if they be numerous. The studies, in combination, are then far more profitable, and more rapid; and there is then good hope of attaining a fidelity of interpretation, now, alas, but too rare.

The performances obtained by the old method of study, are merely approaches to achievement; beneath which so very many master-pieces have succumbed. The superintending conductor, after the butchering of a master, none the less serenely lays down his stick with a satisfied smile; and if some misgivings remain with him as to the mode in which he has fulfilled his task, should no one venture at the close to dispute its accomplishment, he murmurs aside:—"Bah! vae victis!"

Hector Berlioz.

THE END.
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